LIURAI

THE ROLE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS IN THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF TIMOR-LESTE

A Historical, Cultural, and Strategic Inquiry Toward a Sovereign Nation Founded on Cultural Identity

Salustiano Filipe Ximenes

Powered by OpenAI

Timor-Leste, 2025

LIURAI: THE ROLE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS IN THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF TIMOR-LESTE

A Historical, Cultural, and Strategic Inquiry Toward a Sovereign Nation Founded on Cultural Identity

In the turbulent and protracted course of Timor-Leste's history, the *liurai*—traditional leaders—have long served as foundational pillars of the sociocultural and spiritual order of society. However, their historical and strategic significance has often been marginalized within the official narratives of the nation-state.

This book emerges as a scholarly and cultural endeavor to systematically and objectively reconstruct the contributions of the *liurai* from the prehistoric period, through Portuguese colonization and Indonesian occupation, to the era of independence. Structured into five parts and sixteen chapters, this volume integrates historical inquiry, international theoretical frameworks, and indigenous wisdom still vibrant within customary communities. More than an academic treatise, *LIURAI* stands as a cultural and political manifesto—a clarion call affirming that the future of a sovereign and just Timor-Leste must be built upon the foundations of its ancestral identity and customary values.

This is an essential reading for anyone seeking a comprehensive understanding of Timor-Leste—academics, policymakers, students, and all citizens who cherish their ancestral homeland.

Author:

Salustiano Filipe Ximenes

Dili, Timor-Leste, May 7, 2025

Contact Information:

Phone: (+670) 77336285

Email: salustianofilipeximenes@gmail.com

Facebook: www.facebook.com/SalustianoFXOfficial

Powered by OpenAI

FOREWORD

This book has been composed as an act of reverence and critical reflection on the long and arduous journey of the people of Timor-Leste in preserving their cultural identity, social values, and indigenous wisdom rooted in the vital role of the *liurai*. The history of Timor-Leste—rich in layers of culture and tradition—cannot be disentangled from the existence of the *liurai*, the traditional leaders who, for centuries, have served as custodians of custom, mediators of social relations, and shapers of community development from prehistoric times to the modern era.

The contributions of the *liurai* across the various historical epochs—pre-colonial, Portuguese colonial, Indonesian occupation, and post-independence—represent a narrative often marginalized or even neglected in the official history of the state. Through this work, I endeavor to reconstruct and reilluminate their strategic role in shaping the historical and cultural landscape of Timor-Leste, while asserting the enduring relevance of that contribution for sustainable development in both present and future contexts.

Employing a historical approach and critical analysis, this study aims to deepen understanding of the *liurai* as a sociocultural force whose significance is not confined to the past, but who possess considerable potential to serve as strategic partners in fostering an inclusive and just national development process. This book invites readers to transcend conventional conceptions of modern political and economic systems by re-engaging with the social and cultural resources that have long been cultivated within Timor-Leste's customary communities.

This work is intended not only for academic audiences but also for the broader public, policymakers, and all who are interested in how traditional heritage and indigenous social structures may serve as foundational pillars in the process of national

reconstruction. In an era of increasingly complex globalization, an in-depth understanding of the *liurai* and customary systems opens pathways for the emergence of development strategies that are rooted in national identity—culturally grounded, united, and sustainable.

I sincerely hope that this book will broaden horizons and enhance appreciation for the role of the *liurai* in the historical evolution of Timor-Leste, and that it may serve as a stimulus for further discourse on how traditional values can inform the design of a more just and dignified future for all citizens of Timor-Leste.

Finally, I express my gratitude to all those who have supported this endeavor, whether directly or indirectly, throughout the writing and preparation of this book. May this work serve as a meaningful contribution to the understanding and strengthening of the *liurai*'s role in the history and development of Timor-Leste.

Respectfully,
Salustiano Filipe Ximenes

ACRONYMS

Acronym	Full Form	Contextual Meaning in the Book
APODETI	Associação Popular Democrática Timorense	A pro-integration political party advocating for Timor-Leste's integration into Indonesia.
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations	Regional political and economic organisation in Southeast Asia.
CAVR	Comissão de Acolhimento, Verdade e Reconciliação de Timor-Leste	Truth and Reconciliation Commission (Chega! Report) – cited in relation to liurai and occupation-era trauma.
CNRT	Congresso Nacional de Reconstrução de Timor	National Congress for Timorese Reconstruction – modern political party.
CPLP	*Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa*	Community of Portuguese Language Countries.
FALINTIL	*Forças Armadas de Libertação Nacional de Timor-Leste*	Armed Forces for the National Liberation of East Timor.
FRETILIN	*Frente Revolucionária de Timor-Leste Independente*	Revolutionary Front for an Independent East Timor.
INTERFET	International Force for East Timor	Multinational peacekeeping force deployed post-1999 referendum.
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization	Used in general discussions on local development and civic actors.
PNTL	Polícia Nacional de Timor-Leste	National Police of Timor-Leste.
RDTL	República Democrática de Timor-Leste	The sovereign nation-state of Timor-Leste. Repeatedly used

Acronym	Full Form	Contextual Meaning in the Book
		throughout as a legal-political reference.
TNI	Tentara Nasional Indonesia	Indonesian National Armed Forces – discussed critically in the occupation chapters.
UDT	União Democrática Timorense	Political party during decolonization; rival of FRETILIN.
UNAMET	United Nations Mission in East Timor	UN body that organized the 1999 referendum.
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme	Referenced in the context of governance and customary justice.
UNTAET	United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor	UN interim government in Timor-Leste (1999–2002).
WHO	World Health Organization	Mentioned in context of traditional medicine and global health systems.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD 2

ACRONYMS 4

TABLE OF CONTENTS 6

PART I: TIMOR-LESTE FROM PREHISTORIC TIMES TO THE PORTUGUESE PRE-COLONIAL ERA 21

CHAPTER 1: THE GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF TIMOR ISLAND 21

1.1. Introduction 21

1.2. Geological Origins and Tectonic Structure of Timor Island 22

1. Rock Formations of Timor 22

2. Tectonic Structures and Relief 23

3. Socio-Economic Implications 23

1.3. Climate, Topography, and Their Influence on Human Settlement on Timor Island 25

1. Climate and Seasonal Patterns 25

2. Topography and Geography 25

3. Environmental Adaptation 26

4. Community Structure and Social Organization 26

1.4. Geological Impacts on Traditional Social Structures 27

1. Geological Influence on Community Formation 27

2. Social Structure and Local Power 28

3. Defense Strategies and Territorial Protection 29

1.5. Conclusion 29

CHAPTER 2: MIGRATION TO TIMOR ISLAND 31

2.1. Introduction 31

2.2. Early Migration Waves and Archaeological Evidence 32

1. Initial Arrival of *Homo sapiens* on Timor Island 32

2. Ecological Adaptation and Early Social Life 33

3. Austronesian Migration and the Formation of Hybrid Societies 33

4. Cultural Integration and the Evolution of Socio-Political Systems 34

2.3. The Origins of Clans and Ancestral Identity in Timorese Oral Tradition 35

1. Oral Tradition as an Epistemological Source 35

2. Myths of Origin and the Sacred Geography 35

3. Social Structure: Genealogy, Totemism, and Alliance 36

4. The Role of Liurai and the Legitimacy of Leadership 36

5. Inter-Clan Mobility and the Dynamics of Identity 37

2.4. Clan Consolidation and the Origins of Early Liurai Leadership 37

1. Early Dynamics of Clan Consolidation in Timor 37

2. The Emergence of the Liurai: Spiritual and Political Leadership 38

3. Social Structure and Traditional Political Alliances 39

4. The Role of Custom, Spirituality, and Social Mobilization 39

5. Historical Significance of Clan Consolidation 40

2.5. Conclusion 40

CHAPTER 3: THE FORMATION OF CLAN COMMUNITIES AND EARLY *LIURAI* INSTITUTIONS ON TIMOR ISLAND 42

3.1. Introduction 42

3.2. The Social Structure of Clan Communities 43

1. Internal Structure of Clan Communities 44

2. Inter-Clan Relations 44

3. Clan Leadership and the Role of the Liurai 45

3.3. The Function of Uma Lulik and the Socio-Cosmological Relations of the Clan 45

1. **Spiritual Center and Clan Identity** 46
2. **Legitimacy of Power and the Customary System** 46
3. **Cosmological and Ecological Relations** 47
4. **Symbol of Integration and Social Resilience** 47

3.4. Formation of Local Kingdoms and the *Liurai* as Supreme Leaders 48

1. **Social Evolution Towards Kingdom Structures** 48
2. **The *Liurai*: A Sacred and Political Figure** 48
3. **Diplomatic Networks and Inter-Kingdom Conflicts** 49
4. **Political and Cultural Legacy of the *Liurai*** 50

3.5. Conclusion 50

CHAPTER 4: BEFORE THE ARRIVAL OF COLONIALISM 52

4.1. Introduction 52

4.2. Autonomous Socio-Political Structures 53

1. **Basic Social Unit: Clan and Uma Lulik** 54
2. **Traditional Power Hierarchy** 54
3. **Legal and Justice Mechanisms** 55
4. **Local Autonomy and Territorial Sovereignty** 55

4.3. Trade Relations and Foreign Influence 56

1. **Sandalwood: Timor's Strategic Commodity** 56
2. **Regional Maritime Trade Networks** 56
3. **Cultural Adaptation and Negotiation** 57

4.4. Indigenous Belief Systems and Customary Law 57

1. **The Concept of Lulik: Sacredness as the Core of Life** 58
2. **Roles of Customary Elders and Spiritual Healers** 58
3. **Customary Law (*Tara Bandu*) and Sanction Mechanisms** 59
4. **Cosmic Balance and Ecological Ethics** 59

4.5. Conclusion 60

PART II: PORTUGUESE COLONIZATION 62

CHAPTER 5: THE EARLY PHASE OF PORTUGUESE COLONIZATION 62

5.1. Introduction 62

5.2. Background of Portuguese Expansion to Timor 63

1. Sandalwood as the Primary Driver of Expansion 64

2. Evangelization and the Spirit of the Reconquista 64

3. Local Political Fragmentation as a Colonial Opportunity 65

4. A Geopolitical, Economic, and Spiritual Project 65

5.3. Mechanism of Initial Penetration: Alliances and Fragmentation 66

1. Political Alliances and Religious Co-optation 66

2. Internal Fragmentation and Divide-and-Rule Politics 66

3. The Dual Role of the Liurai: Collaborators and Custodians of Tradition 67

4. Initial Consolidation of Colonial Power 67

5.4. The Role of Missionaries in the Colonial Process 68

1. Dual Function: Religious and Political 68

2. Baptism as a Legitimizing Mechanism 68

3. Education, Assimilation, and the Implantation of Ideology 69

4. The Church as an Instrument of Cultural Penetration 69

5.5. Reactions and Responses of the Local Liurai 70

1. Tactical Acceptance: Adaptive Strategies and Instruments of Power Consolidation 70

2. Open and Covert Resistance 70

3. Spiritual and Cultural Autonomy as Resistance 71

4. Liurai as Mediators between Local and Colonial Worlds 71

5.6. Conclusion 72

CHAPTER 6: THE DYNAMICS OF 450 YEARS OF COLONIAL RULE 74

6.1. Introduction 74

6.2. Transformation of Traditional Power Structures 75

1. Institutionalization of Traditional Authority as "Reino" 76

2. Reconstruction of Succession Mechanisms 76

3. Emergence of a New *Liurai* Class and Strategic Accommodation 77

4. Between Erosion and Transfiguration of Tradition 77

6.3. The Dual Role of the *Liurai*: Colonial Collaborator and Local Balancer 78

1. Strategic Collaboration within the Colonial Power Structure 78

2. Mediators between the Colonial System and Customary Order 79

3. Enduring Customary and Spiritual Functions 79

4. Strategic Use of Colonial Institutions for Local Interests 79

5. Ambivalence and Internal Tensions 80

6.4. The Colonial Legacy in Local Social and Political Structures 80

1. Integration of Customary Authority into the Colonial System 81

2. Social Stratification and the Legacy of Colonial Elitism 81

3. Cultural Assimilation and the Resilience of Local Values 82

4. Postcolonial Bureaucracy and Governance Systems 82

5. Psychosocial Legacy and Political Culture 82

6.5. Conclusion 83

CHAPTER 7: THE PORTUGUESE POSTCOLONIAL PERIOD 85

7.1. Introduction 85

7.2. Urgent Decolonization and the Crisis of Transition 86

1. The Sudden Decolonization Process 86

2. Structural Inadequacy and Political Fragmentation 87

3. The Dilemma of Traditional Authority in Modern Political Dynamics 87

4. A Vacuum for External Intervention 88

7.3. The Role of the *Liurai* During the Transitional Period 89

1. Guardians of Social Order and Community Security 89

2. Symbols of Local Legitimacy and Communal Identity 89

3. Mediators Between Traditional Structures and Modern Politics 90

4. Cultural Resilience and Customary Law Amidst Institutional Absence 90

5. Marginalization in National Historiography 91

7.4. The End of the Post-Colonial Period and the Beginning of the Indonesian Occupation 91

1. The Collapse of the Portuguese Colonial Structure and Institutional Vacuum 91

2. Indonesian Military Invasion and the Crisis of Sovereignty 92

3. The Fate of the *Liurai* and Customary Structures 92

4. Symbolism of National Crisis and Geopolitical Complexity 93

7.5. Conclusion 94

PART III: THE INDONESIAN OCCUPATION 95

CHAPTER 8: THE INDONESIAN INVASION AND MILITARY OCCUPATION (1975–1999) 95

8.1. Introduction 95

8.2. Political and Strategic Reasons for Indonesia's Invasion 96

1. Fear of Communism and National Stability 97

2. Geopolitical Interests and Regional Expansion 97

3. Tacit Support from Western Powers 97

4. Internal Political Crisis in Timor-Leste and the Integration Maneuver 98

5. Consequences and International Response 98

8.3. The Dynamics of Local Power: *Liurai* under the Occupation Regime 99

1. Co-optation of *Liurai* by the Military Administrative Structure 99

2. Ambiguity of Roles: Collaboration or Covert Resistance 100

3. Marginalization of Traditional Authority 101

4. Social Fragmentation and Community Polarization 101

5. Resilience and Adaptation of *Liurai* under a Repressive Regime 102

8.4. Structural Violence and Social Resistance 102

1. Systematic Violence and Social Disruption 103

2. Marginalization of Local Languages and Cultures 103

3. Transformation of Land and Economic Structures 104

4. Guerrilla Resistance and Indigenous Community Support 104

5. Cultural Resistance and Social Diplomacy 105

8.5. Conclusion 106

CHAPTER 9: LOCAL AND INTERNATIONAL RESISTANCE TO THE INDONESIAN OCCUPATION (1975–1999) 108

9.1. Introduction 108

9.2. The Armed Resistance Movement: Falintil and the Role of the People 109

1. The Formation and Evolution of Falintil 110

2. Guerrilla Strategy and Support from Local Communities 110

3. The Involvement of *Liurai* and Traditional Social Structures 110

4. The Participation of Women in Resistance Networks 111

5. The Moral and Ideological Resilience of the Timorese People 111

9.3. Civil and Cultural Resistance 112

1. The Role of the Catholic Church in Protection and Resistance 112

2. Alternative Education and Identity Awareness 113

3. Cultural Preservation as a Form of Resistance 113

4. Art and Literature as a Medium of Resistance 114

5. Social Mobilization and Solidarity Networks 114

9.4. International Solidarity: Diplomacy and Global Opinion 115

1. **The Role of the Timorese Diaspora and Grassroots Diplomacy** 115
2. **The Impact of the Santa Cruz Massacre on Global Opinion** 116
3. **The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations and Human Rights Activists** 116
4. **The UN's Position and the Legitimacy of International Law** 116
5. **Diplomatic Victory and the 1999 Referendum** 117

9.5. Conclusion 117

CHAPTER 10: REFERENDUM, TRANSITION, AND VIOLENCE IN 1999 119

10.1. Introduction 119

10.2. The Road to the Referendum and the Role of the International Community 120

1. **Political Context in Post–New Order Indonesia** 120
2. **Establishment and Mandate of UNAMET** 121
3. **Referendum Implementation: Popular Participation and Outcome** 122
4. **The Active Role of the International Community** 122
5. **The Significance of the Referendum in the History of Self-Determination** 123

10.3. Post-Referendum Violence and the Humanitarian Crisis 123

1. **Background of Organized Violence** 123
2. **Patterns of Violence: Massacres, Displacement, and Infrastructure Destruction** 124
3. **Military Responsibility and Gross Human Rights Violations** 124
4. **Humanitarian Crisis and International Response** 125
5. **Community Resilience and the Role of Liurai** 125

10.4. International Intervention and the Transitional Period toward Independence 126

1. **International Response to the Crisis** 126
2. **INTERFET Operations and the Restoration of Stability** 126

3. Establishment of UNTAET and the Transitional Government 126

4. Local Participation in Reconstruction and Reintegration 127

5. Declaration of Independence and the Legacy of Intervention 127

10.5. Conclusion 128

PART IV: THE ERA OF INDEPENDENCE IN TIMOR-LESTE 130

CHAPTER 11: THE PROCLAMATION AND INTERNATIONAL RECOGNITION OF TIMOR-LESTE'S INDEPENDENCE 130

11.1. Introduction 130

11.2. The Official Proclamation of 20 May 2002 131

1. Background of the Transition from UNTAET Administration 131

2. The Proclamation Ceremony at Tasi Tolu 132

3. Inauguration of the President and the Symbolism of National Leadership 132

4. Promulgation of the National Constitution 132

5. Cultural and Moral Dimensions of the Proclamation 133

11.3. International Recognition and Global Membership 134

1. Immediate Diplomatic Recognition Following the Proclamation 134

2. Membership in the United Nations (UN) 134

3. Participation in the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP) 135

4. Relations with ASEAN and the Southeast Asian Region 135

5. Strategic Significance of International Recognition 135

11.4. The Role of the *Liurai* and Cultural Symbols in Independence 136

1. Integration of Tradition in the Proclamation of Independence 136

2. Representation of the *Liurai* as a Bridge Across Historical Epochs 136

3. Cultural Symbolism as the Foundation of National Identity 137

4. The Role of the *Liurai* in Reconciliation and Social Reconstruction 137

11.5. Conclusion 138

CHAPTER 12: REVITALIZATION OF CULTURE AND CUSTOMARY SYSTEMS IN THE MODERN STATE 140

12.1. Introduction 140

12.2. Constitutional Recognition and Revitalization of Cultural Values and Customary Systems in Timor-Leste 142

1. Legal and Constitutional Framework 142

2. Culturally Based Education Programs 143

3. Preservation of Tangible and Intangible Cultural Heritage 143

4. Recognition and Integration of Customary Structures 144

5. Challenges and Prospects for Sustainability 144

12.3. Implementation of Cultural Policies in National Development 144

1. Culturally-Based Education 145

2. Preservation and Strengthening of Cultural Heritage 145

3. Integration of Traditional Structures in Local Governance 146

4. Customary-Based Reconciliation and Social Justice 146

5. Culture as a Driver of Local Economy 146

12.4. The Role of Youth and the Digitalization of Cultural Heritage 147

1. Youth Engagement in Cultural Revitalization 147

2. Digitalization of Cultural Heritage 147

3. Challenges in Cultural Revitalization 148

4. Strategic Prospects for Cultural Revitalization 148

12.5. Conclusion 149

CHAPTER 13: THE LIURAI AND THEIR ROLE IN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT 151

13.1. Introduction 151

13.2. The Socio-Political Context of Liurai Authority 152

1. Historical Roots and Traditional Legitimacy 152

2. Transformations During Colonial and Occupation Periods 153

3. *De Facto* Authority in the Modern Nation-State 153

4. *Liurai* and Local Identity in National Development 154

5. Challenges and Opportunities in Governance Integration 154

13.3. The Role of the Liurai in the Socio-Cultural Sphere 155

1. Custodians of Cultural Heritage and Local Identity 155

2. Social Mediators and Guardians of Community Cohesion 155

3. Cultural Educators and Preservers of Local Values 156

4. Cultural Diplomats Among Clans and Ethnic Groups 156

5. Pillars of Social Stability in Sustainable Development 156

13.4. The Role of the *Liurai* in Economic Development and Infrastructure 157

1. Mobilization of Community Participation in Infrastructure Projects 157

2. Customary and Sustainable Natural Resource Management 158

3. Mediation of Land Access for Development Purposes 158

4. Advocacy of Local Interests to Government and NGOs 158

5. Support for Traditional Economies and Social Innovation 159

6. Strategic Role in Sustainable and Inclusive Development 159

13.5. Challenges and Opportunities for the Integration of the Liurai into the National Development System 160

1. Challenges of Integration 160

2. Opportunities for Integration 161

13.6. Conclusion 163

PART V: HISTORICAL VISION AND STRATEGIC FUTURE 164

CHAPTER 14: *LIURAI* IN THE NATIONAL HISTORICAL NARRATIVE 164

14.1. Introduction 164

14.2. The Liurai as Historical Actors Since the Pre-Colonial Period 165

1. **Social Structure and Legitimacy of Power** 166
2. **Political and Social Functions of the Liurai** 166
3. **Inter-Liurai Relations and Local Confederations** 166
4. **Contributions to National Identity and Structure** 167
5. **Historical Relevance in the National Narrative** 167

14.3. The Liurai in the Context of Colonialism and Resistance 167

1. **Strategies of Co-optation and Coexistence** 168
2. **The Liurai as Agents of Resistance** 168
3. **Transformation of Traditional Power Structures** 169
4. **The Position of Liurai During the Indonesian Occupation** 169
5. **Historical Legacy and the Reinterpretation of the Liurai Role** 169

14.4. Erasure and Marginalization in Official History 170

1. **Centralized Narratives and the Modernization Project** 170
2. **Reduction of the Liurai's Role in National Historiography** 171
3. **Impact of Marginalization on Youth and Cultural Identity** 171
4. **Efforts Toward Revitalization and Historical Reconciliation** 171
5. **The Imperative of an Inclusive Historical Reconstruction** 172

14.5 Historical Reinterpretation for National Reconciliation 172

1. **Bridging Local and National Narratives** 173
2. **Recognizing the Complexity of Liurai History** 173
3. **Foundation for Socio-Cultural Reconciliation** 174
4. **Implications for Education and Public Policy** 174
5. **Strategy Toward an Inclusive Historical Narrative** 174

14.6. Conclusion 175

CHAPTER 15: INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF CUSTOMARY ROLES IN THE STATE GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK 177

15.1. Introduction 177

15.2. Constitutional and Cultural Rationalization 178

1. **Constitutional Recognition of Traditional Values** 178
2. **Social, Cultural, and Historical Legitimacy of Customary Institutions** 179
3. **The Urgency of Integrating Customary Institutions into the Modern State** 179
4. **Normative Principles of Integration** 180
5. **Looking Forward: From Tolerance to Active Integration** 181

15.3. Models of Integration: A Comparative Study 181

1. **Coexistence Model: The Case of West Africa** 181
2. **Formal Integration Model: The Botswana Experience** 182
3. **Cultural Autonomy Model: Bolivia and Ecuador** 182
4. **Customary Representation Model: Vanuatu and Samoa** 183
5. **Implications and Relevance for Timor-Leste** 183

15.4. Institutionalization Strategies in Timor-Leste 184

1. **Constitutional Recognition and Customary Legislation** 184
2. **Establishment of National and Local Customary Institutions** 185
3. **Integration of Customary Leaders in Local Governance** 186
4. **Education, Certification, and Empowerment of Customary Leadership** 186
5. **Digitalization and Documentation of the Customary System** 187
6. **Harmonization between State Law and Customary Law** 187

15.5. Challenges and Risks 188

1. **Conceptual and Ideological Challenges** 188
2. **Risks of Political Instrumentalization and Clanism** 189
3. **Representation Imbalances and the Risk of Marginalization** 190
4. **Fragmentation of Legal and Governance Systems** 191

15.6. Conclusion 191

CHAPTER 16: THE STRATEGIC CONTRIBUTION OF LIURAI TO THE FUTURE OF TIMOR-LESTE 193

16.1. Introduction 193

16.2. Strategic Pillars: Culture, Peace, and Sustainable Development 194

1. **Cultural Pillar: Revitalizing National Identity** 194
2. **Peace Pillar: Social Mediation and Customary Conflict Resolution** 195
3. **Sustainability Pillar: Integrating Local Values into Development** 195
4. **The Role of *Liurai* in Cultural Education and Local Economic Empowerment** 196
5. **Synergy Between the Modern State and Customary Institutions** 196

16.3. Reconstructing the Role of the Liurai in National Governance 197

1. **Socio-Political Rationalization: The Need for Cultural Integration** 197
2. **Dimensions of Reconstruction: Legislation, Institutions, and Functions** 198
3. **Hybrid Governance Model: Synergy between State and Custom** 198
4. **Preconditions for Successful Reconstruction** 199
5. **Strategic Contributions to the Nation's Future** 199

16.4. Cultural Diplomacy and Timor-Leste's Global Position 200

1. **The Concept of Cultural Diplomacy in the Timorese Context** 200
2. **The Strategic Role of the Liurai in Cultural Diplomacy** 201
3. **Identity Diplomacy and Timor-Leste's Comparative Advantage** 202
4. **Challenges and Strategies for Enhancement** 202
5. **Future Opportunities: Timor-Leste's Soft Power in the Global Arena** 203

16.5. Strategic Recommendations and Public Policy 203

1. **Constitutional Recognition and Legal Reinforcement** 204
2. **Integration into Local Governance and Participatory Development** 204
3. **Reform of Education Based on Local Culture** 205
4. **Empowerment of Indigenous Economies and Cultural Diplomacy** 205

5. **Establishment of National and Customary Institutions** 205

6. **Monitoring, Evaluation, and Standardization of Customary Roles** 206

7. **Protection of Collective Rights and Customary Territories** 206

16.5. Conclusion: Toward a Sovereign State Rooted in Culture 207

1. **The Liurai as Pillars of Historical Reconciliation and National Identity** 207

2. **The Democratic-Customary State: A New Paradigm of Sovereignty** 208

3. **Strategic Integration: From Documentation to Transformation** 209

4. **Toward a Free, Just, and Culturally Sovereign Timor-Leste** 209

GLOSSARY 210

REFERENCES 213

PART I: TIMOR-LESTE FROM PREHISTORIC TIMES TO THE PORTUGUESE PRE-COLONIAL ERA

CHAPTER 1: THE GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF TIMOR ISLAND

1.1. Introduction

An understanding of the geological history of Timor Island constitutes a critical foundation for interpreting the historical trajectory, cultural evolution, and the development of the social structures of Timor-Leste, including the emergence of local communities and the traditional leadership system of the *liurai*. Situated at the southeastern extremity of the Malay Archipelago, the island of Timor lies at an active tectonic boundary between the Indo-Australian Plate and the Eurasian Plate. This complex geodynamic process has shaped a rugged physical landscape that has significantly influenced human migration patterns and settlement configurations since prehistoric times (Charlton, 2000; Hall, 2002).

The existence of steep mountain ranges, deep valleys, and active fault zones on Timor has contributed to geographic fragmentation, encouraging the formation of isolated communities. The island's geology thus functions not merely as a physical backdrop but as a foundational structure that has shaped the socio-political ecology of local populations (Audley-Charles, 1986). This context elucidates why traditional leadership systems—such as the *liurai*—developed with strong territorial specificity and were inherently connected to the unique geopolitical and ecological features of each region.

As Fox (1980) articulates, the relationship between the natural landscape and societal governance in Timor is organic and deeply rooted in local cosmology. The *liurai* system is not solely based on hereditary succession but also on territorial control, access to

natural resources, and the capacity to mediate within communities isolated by challenging topography. Thus, to understand Timor's geology is to grasp the logic of territoriality, the legitimacy of customary leadership, and the enduring socio-cultural continuity maintained amidst political transformations across history.

The geological landscape of Timor Island, shaped by regional tectonic activity, remains an essential element in comprehending the deep historical roots of the nation. The island's geohistory constitutes the material foundation for the emergence of local civilizations, the earliest social structures, and the *liurai* system, which has played a vital role in ensuring sociopolitical continuity. A thorough understanding of the island's geology facilitates a holistic analysis that integrates the interactions among nature, human agency, and power within the historical and cultural context of Timor-Leste.

1.2. Geological Origins and Tectonic Structure of Timor Island

The island of Timor was formed through the tectonic collision between the Indo-Australian Plate and the Eurasian Plate, a process that began during the Late Miocene epoch approximately 10 million years ago and continues to the present day (Charlton, 2002; Hall, 2002). This ongoing collision has given rise to an active orogenic zone known as the Timor Trough, which has produced fold mountains, active fault lines, and uplifted rock complexes (Audley-Charles, 1986). These geological processes have played a central role in shaping the island's topography and tectonic structure, significantly influencing its geohydrological conditions as well as the sociopolitical configurations of the region.

1. Rock Formations of Timor

The bedrock of Timor Island is predominantly composed of deep marine sedimentary formations, including shale and limestone, as well as ophiolitic complexes and low-

grade metamorphic rocks. The ophiolite formations, remnants of oceanic crust uplifted through subduction and obduction processes, are among the defining features of Timor's geology (Harris, 2011). The presence of volcanic rocks throughout the region reflects ongoing geotectonic dynamism. Additionally, metamorphic rocks in the area bear evidence of intensive subduction-related processes. This unique geological composition has endowed Timor with significant natural resource potential, including marble, manganese, and hydrocarbons, although economic exploitation of these resources remains limited.

2. Tectonic Structures and Relief

Timor exhibits a highly complex tectonic structure, characterized by major fault systems such as the Lolotoi Fault and the Wetar Thrust. These faults, in conjunction with a series of folds and fractures, generate extreme topographical relief across various parts of the island. Such geological features influence geohydrological systems, leading to uneven distribution of springs and rivers and limiting the availability of arable land in mountainous regions (Audley-Charles, 1986; Charlton, 2002).

These tectonic structures delineate several fault zones that not only define natural boundaries between communities but also shape the island’s hydrological pathways. As a result, river systems and freshwater springs are irregularly distributed. This situation significantly impacts local ecosystems and the accessibility of agricultural land and natural resources for local populations.

3. Socio-Economic Implications

These prolonged geological processes have not only shaped the island’s physical and ecological characteristics but have also contributed to the configuration of traditional

social and political structures. Spatial fragmentation resulting from mountainous terrain and active fault systems has established natural territorial boundaries, thereby influencing patterns of social interaction among scattered communities. From a sociopolitical perspective, the geographical constraints imposed by extreme topography have fostered localized forms of governance based on territorial autonomy and sovereignty. This has led to the development of traditional leadership institutions, most notably the *liurai* system, which emerged in tandem with the island's geographical and ecological evolution (Fox, 1980).

The presence of natural resources such as marble, manganese, and potential hydrocarbon reserves has shaped local economic patterns and has been a key factor in the historical dynamics of colonialism and external resource exploitation. Although modern extraction remains limited, these resources continue to feature prominently in the historical narrative linking geology, political power, and community resilience in Timor (Harris, 2011).

In conclusion, the geological origins and tectonic structure of Timor Island provide a fundamental basis for understanding its distinctive geographical and ecological features. The fragmented landscape and extreme relief influence local social, economic, and political structures, shaping leadership systems and intercommunity relations. These geological conditions have not only molded the island's physical form but have also played a foundational role in the socio-economic and cultural history of Timor-Leste, including the enduring legacy of the *liurai* institution.

1.3. Climate, Topography, and Their Influence on Human Settlement on Timor Island

1. Climate and Seasonal Patterns

Timor Island, encompassing the territory of Timor-Leste, is characterized by a tropical monsoonal climate with two primary seasons that have profoundly shaped human settlement patterns since prehistoric times. The rainy season extends approximately from November to April, whereas the dry season occurs between May and October. These seasonal patterns are influenced by the variable interplay of the Asian and Australian monsoons, which fluctuate significantly from year to year (Monk et al., 1997; Fox, 2003). Additionally, uneven rainfall distribution and limited groundwater availability across much of the island make access to water a critical factor in determining settlement locations.

2. Topography and Geography

Timor Island's topography is highly diverse, dominated by steep mountainous terrain, karst hills, and narrow valleys, all of which contribute to a significant degree of ecological fragmentation. The highland regions located in the central and southern parts of the island offer relatively fertile soils and cooler microclimates. These areas are sustained by natural water sources such as perennial springs, mountain rivers, and volcanic lakes, making them ideal locations for early human habitation and the ancestral roots of modern indigenous communities (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2007). Conversely, the northern coastal plains, which are drier and more prone to seasonal drought, have historically served as routes for trade, maritime navigation, and intergroup migration, although they are less suitable for large-scale sedentary agriculture.

3. Environmental Adaptation

The island's harsh and fragmented geography has influenced the development of decentralized and autonomous settlement patterns. In the highlands, communities developed environmentally adaptive agricultural techniques such as shifting cultivation, terracing, and the conservation of sacred forests, which serve not only ecological functions but also spiritual purposes, thereby maintaining a cosmological balance (Fox, 2003; McWilliam, 2007). Meanwhile, in the lowland areas, communities have relied more on maritime activities, intercommunal trade, and collective management of arid lands to meet their economic needs.

4. Community Structure and Social Organization

Geographic isolation has fostered the emergence of autonomous and self-sustaining local communities. Each community or clan developed socio-political structures based on their ecological territories, often led by a liurai (traditional leader) whose legitimacy is derived from ancestral lineage, control of strategic ecological zones, and a spiritual relationship with sacred ancestral lands (*rai lulik*) (Traube, 1986). Geographically strategic positions—such as hilltops or proximity to permanent water sources—have historically contributed to the social and political legitimacy of liurai as local leaders.

This condition has resulted in an autonomous socio-political system wherein each community possesses its own governance and deeply rooted traditions. The liurai institution, born from a profound interrelationship between people, land, and the sacred, functions not merely as a political leadership structure but also as a guardian of ecological equilibrium, embedded within spiritual relationships between nature and ancestry.

The climate, topography, and geographic conditions of Timor Island have exerted a considerable influence on human settlement patterns, social structures, and political organization. The island's monsoon-driven climate and fragmented, rugged landscape have fostered the rise of independent and self-regulating communities. In response to these conditions, sustainable agricultural systems and collective natural resource management practices have been developed. More significantly, the deep spiritual connection to land and ancestors has formed the foundation for robust social structures in Timorese society, where traditional leadership plays a dual role as both a political authority and a spiritual steward of the natural world.

1.4. Geological Impacts on Traditional Social Structures

1. Geological Influence on Community Formation

The geological conditions of Timor Island have significantly contributed to the formation of traditional social structures within local communities. The natural relief, comprising steep mountains, deep valleys, and rocky terrains, created ecological isolation among groups. Such geographical constraints fostered the development of self-sufficient social units rooted in kinship systems and control over local resources. These communities typically formed clan-based (uma) leadership systems and settled strategically in locations such as hilltops, springs, or areas with natural fortification. These positions provided them with strategic advantages for defense against external or internal threats (McWilliam, 2003; Therik, 2004).

Within this context, traditional sacred houses (*uma lulik*) emerged as symbols of communal power and identity. The *liurai*, as traditional leaders, were not merely clan heads or military figures but also served as spiritual custodians of the land and maintainers of harmony among humans, ancestors, and nature. Consequently, the authority of the *liurai* was deeply intertwined with spiritual legitimacy tied to specific

geographical features—such as mountains, coral rocks, caves, springs, and forests—deemed sacred (*lulik*). These geological elements symbolized power and collective identity and were safeguarded through customary rituals, including *tarabandu* (customary prohibitions), fertility ceremonies, and inter-clan pacts (Fox, 2003; Traube, 1986).

2. Social Structure and Local Power

The island's diverse geological landscape also created natural boundaries that reinforced territorial segmentation. This segmentation facilitated the emergence of tribal federations or confederations typically led by a *liurai* supreme, who acted as a unifying figure among communities. This political structure was founded on inter-territorial relations and consensus among local *liurai*, reflecting a proto-democratic model organically shaped by the island's challenging geography (Niner, 2009). Accordingly, the Timorese social system was not entirely centralized but rather rooted in mutual respect for geographical boundaries and the differentiated roles of each *liurai*.

The authority of the *liurai* was not absolute but derived from consensus and a profound spiritual relationship between humans and the natural world. This indicates that traditional leaders functioned as mediators between the human and spiritual realms, ensuring harmony in human relationships and with the environment. This conceptual framework is also interconnected with agricultural systems and the management of natural resources, often based on principles of spiritual ecology and the conservation of sacred natural sites (McWilliam, 2005).

3. Defense Strategies and Territorial Protection

Beyond social and spiritual roles, geological conditions also influenced traditional defense strategies in Timor. Settlements were strategically established in elevated or rugged areas, offering strategic advantages for surveillance and protection against external threats. Communities situated on hilltops or within narrow, inaccessible valleys were better positioned to defend themselves (Fox, 1980). The *liurai* served as territorial guardians and as peacemakers who negotiated boundaries with neighboring communities.

Thus, the geology of Timor Island has not only shaped the physical landscape but also fostered complex social and political structures. The *liurai*, as historical and cultural entities, have played a crucial role in maintaining the identity and resilience of local communities throughout Timorese history. Their role is inseparable from the ecological, spiritual, and geopolitical context unique to Timor-Leste.

1.5. Conclusion

The geological history of Timor Island has played a fundamental role in shaping the geographical, ecological, and sociocultural identity of Timor-Leste. The tectonic and geomorphological processes that unfolded over millions of years have created a unique and challenging landscape—one that not only produced a complex physical environment but also established the ecological framework upon which traditional communities in this region emerged.

The rugged topography, harsh seasonal climate, and limited availability of natural resources have significantly influenced the patterns of livelihood and settlement of the Timorese people. The geographically isolated conditions fostered the development of self-sufficient social groups, each with distinct leadership systems. Within this context,

the *liurai*, as traditional leaders, held a central role not only in administrative and military functions but also as custodians of harmony among human beings, nature, and ancestral spirits. Their legitimacy was rooted in profound cosmological and ecological ties to the land and environment they inhabited.

Understanding the geological history of Timor is of critical importance, as it not only provides insight into the island's physical past but also serves as a foundational step toward constructing a more holistic historical narrative. This history integrates physical, ecological, spiritual, and social dimensions that have shaped the traditional social structures of Timor-Leste. Throughout the long historical journey of the Timorese people, geology has served as the stage of civilization, and the *liurai* have played a central role as historical and cultural actors deeply embedded within the landscape they inhabited and governed.

CHAPTER 2: MIGRATION TO TIMOR ISLAND

2.1. Introduction

Human migration to Timor Island constitutes an integral component of the long history of ethnic, linguistic, and social identity formation among the peoples of Timor-Leste. Strategically located along prehistoric human migration routes from Southeast Asia toward Oceania, Timor Island has served both as a final destination and a transitional hub for various migrating groups over thousands of years (Bellwood, 2007; Spriggs, 2011).

Archaeological and linguistic evidence indicates that migration to Timor occurred in successive waves, beginning as early as the Paleolithic period around 40,000 years ago and continuing through the Neolithic and late prehistoric eras (Bellwood, 2005; O'Connor, 2003). These early migrations were marked by the arrival of Austro-Melanesoid hunter-gatherer groups, followed by a significant influx of Austronesian-speaking populations who introduced agricultural technologies, maritime innovations such as boat-building, and more complex social systems.

Each wave of migration brought with it people, technologies, cultures, value systems, and kinship structures that subsequently adapted to the island's unique geographic and ecological context. The interaction between newcomers and established communities gave rise to processes of ethnogenesis—the formation of new ethno-cultural groups that synthesized diverse cultural elements (Fox, 1996). From these early developments emerged the foundational structures of clan systems, sacred houses (*uma lulik*), and the institution of the *liurai* as traditional leaders.

Migration also contributed to the emergence of Timor-Leste's current linguistic diversity. Today, the nation exhibits a mosaic of Austronesian and non-Austronesian (Papuan) languages, each with distinct territorial distributions and sociocultural functions (Hull, 2004; Schapper, 2021). This linguistic diversity reflects the historical legacy of migration, intercultural contact, and complex local adaptation.

Beyond linguistic and cultural dimensions, the origin narratives of *liurai* in many Timorese communities also reflect migration as a source of legitimacy. Claims of descent from distant or "trans-local ancestral lands" are often employed to strengthen the spiritual and political authority of *liurai* leaders (Therik, 2004). Thus, human migration to Timor Island functioned not only as a demographic process but also as a mythological and political foundation for social structures.

This section aims to systematically examine the routes and waves of human migration to Timor Island based on archaeological, linguistic, and ethnographic evidence. It also analyzes how migration dynamics shaped the early social structures and enduring cultural values within Timor-Leste society. Such an analysis is crucial for understanding the roots of traditional power systems, especially the role of the *liurai* as symbols of cultural continuity rooted in the deep historical trajectories of human mobility.

2.2. Early Migration Waves and Archaeological Evidence

1. Initial Arrival of *Homo sapiens* on Timor Island

The earliest phase of migration to Timor Island was part of the broader dispersal of anatomically modern humans (*Homo sapiens*) from mainland Southeast Asia into the Wallacean region and western Oceania, including the Lesser Sunda Islands (O'Connor, 2007; O'Connor, Ono, & Clarkson, 2011). Archaeological discoveries from coastal cave

sites such as Jerimalai, Lene Hara, and Matja Kuru in the Lautém region of Timor-Leste provide evidence of human habitation on the island dating back at least 42,000 years.

This initial migratory wave likely consisted of Australo-Melanesoid hunter-gatherer groups who traversed maritime routes through the Lesser Sunda Islands. These populations settled in coastal caves and were highly dependent on marine resources. The presence of lithic tools, marine faunal remains, and pelagic fish bones—such as those of tuna and marlin—suggests advanced maritime technology and the capacity for deep-sea fishing from an early period (O'Connor et al., 2011; Anderson, 2017).

2. Ecological Adaptation and Early Social Life

The first inhabitants of Timor demonstrated remarkable ecological adaptability to the island's rugged and arid environment. They developed subsistence strategies centered on the sustainable exploitation of marine resources, practiced seasonal agriculture in dryland areas, and established social systems based on clan affiliation and ancestral spirituality. These systems were marked by sacred ritual practices and a profound cosmological connection between humans and natural elements such as mountains, large stones, and springs (McWilliam, 2007).

Archaeological evidence thus not only attests to the physical presence of humans but also illustrates the socio-ecological dynamics that enabled them to establish stable communities in geographically challenging contexts.

3. Austronesian Migration and the Formation of Hybrid Societies

Between approximately 4,000 and 3,000 years ago, a second major migratory wave occurred as part of the Austronesian expansion. This wave introduced Austronesian-speaking groups who brought with them agricultural technologies, the domestication

of crops such as rice, yam, and taro, as well as animals such as chickens and pigs (Bellwood, 2005; Spriggs, 2011). These groups primarily settled in coastal and lowland regions and introduced more hierarchical social systems, including the institution of the *uma lulik* (sacred ancestral house) as the nucleus of social and ritual life.

Interaction between the indigenous populations and the incoming Austronesian migrants resulted in the formation of hybrid societies characterized by cultural synthesis. Local leadership structures such as the *liurai* system emerged as adaptive responses to the evolving socio-political environment and the need for more complex community organization (Fox, 1996; Traube, 1986).

4. Cultural Integration and the Evolution of Socio-Political Systems

Local origin narratives often integrate ancestral migration memories with sacred geological symbols, reflecting a deep interconnection between migration, spirituality, and political legitimacy. For instance, the socio-political authority of the *liurai* is frequently grounded in symbolic foundations that link the origins of the community with sacred natural features and ancestral migratory trajectories.

Thus, these early migratory processes constitute the historical foundation for the formation of local communities in Timor, which eventually evolved into traditional political units. The development of social structures such as the *liurai* reflects a long-term process rooted in human mobility, ecological adaptation, and cultural continuity spanning millennia (McWilliam, 2007).

2.3. The Origins of Clans and Ancestral Identity in Timorese Oral Tradition

1. Oral Tradition as an Epistemological Source

In Timorese societies, oral tradition functions as a primary epistemological source for understanding the origins of clans, ancestral migration patterns, and foundational social structures, particularly due to the absence of written records prior to the advent of colonialism (Traube, 1986; Fox, 1988). These narratives are transmitted across generations through ritual chants, creation myths, and ancestral stories. Beyond their narrative function, they constitute the very framework of community identity, sustaining local social structures and legitimizing leadership.

Oral tradition is not merely a means of recounting the past; it fundamentally shapes the spatial, temporal, and spiritual order of Timorese communities. It represents an integrated epistemic system that regulates human relationships with nature, ancestors, and spiritual forces, binding the community through a shared cosmological and ethical framework (McWilliam, 2003).

2. Myths of Origin and the Sacred Geography

Each clan (*uma*) possesses a distinct origin myth, often intricately tied to geographical features such as mountains, sacred rocks, caves, or springs, which are believed to mark the ancestral point of arrival or creation (Traube, 1986; Fox, 1988). These places are considered *lulik* (sacred) and serve as focal points for the construction of *uma lulik* (sacred ancestral houses), which function as cosmological bridges between humans, nature, and the spirit world.

Among many communities, ancestral narratives recount arrivals "from the sea" or "from the east," symbolizing early waves of migration from regions beyond Timor. These ancestors are often portrayed as powerful figures capable of clearing forests, subduing guardian spirits of the land, and establishing the first ritual centers, which would later evolve into loci of law, authority, and collective memory (McWilliam, 2003).

3. Social Structure: Genealogy, Totemism, and Alliance

The clan system within Timorese society is predominantly organized along patrilineal genealogical principles, whereby lineage and leadership are transmitted through the eldest male. Nonetheless, in practice, affinities forged through inter-clan marriages, cosmological alliances, and shared origin myths also play essential roles in reinforcing social cohesion (Fox, 1996).

Numerous clans maintain symbolic relationships with particular totems—animals, plants, or natural elements—which are regarded as manifestations of ancestral spirits or spiritual guardians. This system of totemism reinforces the integration of spiritual ecology within the social and cultural structure of Timorese society.

4. The Role of Liurai and the Legitimacy of Leadership

Within traditional governance structures, the *liurai* (ritual kings or sacred lords) emerge as central figures believed to be direct descendants of primordial ancestors. Their legitimacy is rooted in origin narratives, land ownership, ritual authority, and their custodianship of customary law (*tara bandu*) (Therik, 2004; Traube, 1986). The *liurai* are not merely political leaders but are also spiritual mediators, interpreters of cosmology, and custodians of the sacred bond between humans, nature, and the ancestral realm.

Timorese local authority structures have historically been cosmotheocratic, integrating both spiritual and administrative power, rooted in inherited rights to land and legitimized by cosmological narratives.

5. Inter-Clan Mobility and the Dynamics of Identity

Oral traditions also reflect the mobility of clans, particularly in the context of secondary migrations, inter-group conflicts, or the formation of new alliances. Many clans trace their ancestral origins to regions beyond Timor, such as Wetar, Ambon, Flores, or other parts of the archipelago, illustrating that Timorese identity has developed within a dynamic trans-local context, while remaining deeply grounded in the sacredness of ancestral land.

Thus, the origins of clans and ancestral identities in Timorese oral tradition cannot be reduced to mere folklore or myth. Rather, they constitute an epistemological and legitimating system that sustains social structures, forms collective memory, and reinforces the authority of the *liurai* as symbols of cultural continuity and spiritual cohesion within Timorese society.

2.4. Clan Consolidation and the Origins of Early Liurai Leadership

1. Early Dynamics of Clan Consolidation in Timor

The process of clan consolidation on the island of Timor did not occur uniformly or simultaneously but unfolded through a long historical dynamic influenced by geographical conditions, intergroup interactions, and ecological adaptations (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2003). Following the initial waves of migration and the establishment of community structures based on *uma* (clans), the need arose for a more stable and

organized social structure to regulate land rights, manage natural resources, and maintain harmony with both the spiritual and social realms.

As population density increased and inter-clan relations intensified, an internal hierarchy began to develop. Some clans rose to dominance due to their control over strategic territories, water sources, or sites of sacred ritual importance (Fox, 1993; McWilliam, 2005). Gradually, inter-clan alliances were formed to meet the needs of security, collective agriculture, resource management, and to reinforce group identity in relation to external groups (McWilliam, 2007).

2. The Emergence of the Liurai: Spiritual and Political Leadership

Within this context of consolidation, leadership figures emerged who possessed spiritual charisma, magical authority, and administrative capacity, later known as *liurai* (Traube, 1986; Niner, 2009). The term *liurai*, literally meaning "one who governs the land," signifies authority over land, resources, and community life within a particular territorial domain.

Early *liurai* did not serve merely as political leaders but also acted as custodians of the cosmological relationship between humanity and nature. Their authority stemmed from ancestral lineage tied to sacred founders, reinforced by clan origin narratives, participation in customary rites, and the ability to sustain social equilibrium (Therik, 2004).

In many traditional narratives, the *liurai* is portrayed as the descendant of the founder of the original *uma lulik* or the pioneer who first cleared the land (*rai hun*). The political legitimacy of a *liurai* was contingent upon spiritual recognition of ancestral lands and their efficacy in mediating conflicts and preserving community cohesion.

3. Social Structure and Traditional Political Alliances

The institution of early *liurai* did not develop as an absolute monarchy but rather as a form of collective power rooted in customary consensus. The clan-based socio-political structure evolved into semi-autonomous political units known as *reinu* or small kingdoms, governed by a *liurai* supported by customary advisors, clan elders, and ritual leaders.

Inter-*reinu* relationships were dynamic—sometimes cooperative through *feto sanan–umane* systems (marriage alliances and ritual exchange), and at other times competitive through local warfare. In certain regions such as Wehali, Biboki, or Lamaknen, political authority was dualistic and symbolic, involving a separation between spiritual leadership (*feto*) and political authority (*nain*) (McWilliam, 2007; Fox, 1993).

This political structure was also stratified and hierarchical, with major *liurai* presiding over broader territories, such as in Wehale (now South Central Timor) and Likusaen (now Liquiçá), functioning as symbolic and spiritual centers of power (McWilliam, 2007).

4. The Role of Custom, Spirituality, and Social Mobilization

The authority of a *liurai* was not primarily based on military power but on moral legitimacy, spiritual capacity, and the ability to maintain cosmological balance. Their functions included administering *tara bandu* (customary law), allocating land, and resolving disputes between communities (Therik, 2004; Traube, 1986).

Power was mobilized in limited and localized forms, emphasizing principles of harmony and social cohesion rather than physical domination. The *uma lulik* (sacred

house) served as a genealogical center and strategic decision-making venue, underscoring the importance of ancestral spirituality within local governance structures (Fox, 1993; McWilliam, 2003).

5. Historical Significance of Clan Consolidation

The process of clan consolidation and the formation of the *liurai* institution demonstrates the capacity of Timorese societies to develop governance systems grounded in customary values and spirituality, long before the advent of colonial rule. This model of authority laid the foundation for enduring local governance structures that survived into the colonial era and continued to influence the socio-political organization of post-independence Timor-Leste.

2.5. Conclusion

The history of migration to Timor Island constitutes a fundamental foundation for the formation of ethnic identities, social structures, and traditional leadership among the people of Timor-Leste. From the earliest waves of modern humans who inhabited coastal caves as early as 42,000 years ago to the arrival of Austronesian groups with their social systems and agricultural technologies, this migratory process generated a complex and dynamic pattern of cultural interaction (O'Connor, 2007; Bellwood, 2007).

The oral traditions of Timorese society play a central role in preserving and reproducing collective memory concerning clan origins and ancestral lineages. Through origin myths, ritual chants, and cosmological narratives, communities construct social and spiritual legitimacy over space, identity, and local leadership. Clans developed as socio-political units grounded in land and ancestral spirituality, forming the foundational base for the emergence of the *liurai* system—customary leaders and guardians of natural law (Traube, 1986; McWilliam, 2003).

The consolidation of clans and the establishment of the *liurai* institution not only represent structures of authority but also reflect a cultural ecology deeply rooted in experiences of migration, ecological adaptation, and collective management of sacred space. In this context, the *liurai* did not emerge as kings in the feudal sense but as custodians of the local cosmos—leaders who embody genealogical, spiritual, and social authority (Niner, 2009; Therik, 2004).

Therefore, this chapter demonstrates that the history of migration to Timor Island is not merely a narrative of human movement, but rather a long-term process of forming a living social structure. This legacy remains evident in the continued presence of the *liurai* as a symbol of historical continuity, collective identity, and indigenous wisdom in Timor-Leste.

CHAPTER 3: THE FORMATION OF CLAN COMMUNITIES AND EARLY *LIURAI* INSTITUTIONS ON TIMOR ISLAND

3.1. Introduction

The social history of Timor Island cannot be comprehensively understood without an in-depth examination of the formation of clan-based communities (*uma*) and the emergence of local power structures embodied in the *liurai* institution. Prior to European colonial intrusion, Timorese societies had already established complex socio-political systems deeply rooted in customary order, ancestral spirituality, and the island's diverse geographic configuration (Fox, 1980; Traube, 1986).

Clan structures in Timor reflected a segmentary societal organization, where the fundamental social unit was constituted through patrilineal descent. However, in socio-cultural practice, this lineage-based system was interwoven with networks of affinity such as inter-clan marriage, spiritual pacts, and ritual alliances. These elements reinforced social cohesion while simultaneously shaping flexible power structures within a complex customary spatial framework (McWilliam, 2003; Niner, 2009).

Clan leaders and *liurai* held roles that were not merely administrative but also ritualistic, juridical, and diplomatic. Within this framework, they functioned as custodians of internal and inter-community stability, balancing power and spirituality, and ensuring harmony among often fragmented clans. The mountainous terrain and highly fragmented geography of Timor necessitated a flexible yet cohesive social system. In such a context, the capacity of local leaders to manage conflict, maintain access to natural resources, and mediate inter-clan relations constituted essential competencies for the social-political legitimacy of the *liurai* (Therik, 2004; McWilliam, 2005).

The formation of clan communities and the early institutionalization of the *liurai* were also influenced by environmental factors that reinforced these social structures. The fragmented geography, linguistic diversity, and customary values that prioritize genealogical and spiritual relationships contributed to the *liurai* not being merely administrative figures, but guardians of the local cosmos—leaders combining genealogical, spiritual, and social authority (Fox, 1996; Niner, 2009). The *uma lulik* (sacred house) served as a central cosmological and symbolic hub of customary power, significantly shaping inter-clan relations within the broader social system.

This chapter systematically examines how clan communities were formed and how the *uma lulik* functioned as the nucleus of social cosmology and a symbol of customary authority. Furthermore, it analyzes the hierarchical structure of the *liurai*, from small community leaders to local kingdoms that emerged in strategic areas such as Wehali, Biboki, Fohorem, and Lamaknen. These regions exemplify early models of organized local governance that operated effectively under customary law prior to colonial intervention (Fox, 1996; McWilliam, 2007). Through this approach, it becomes evident that the *liurai* institution was not a colonial product but the outcome of a social evolution grounded in local wisdom, genealogical relations, and ritual-spiritual structures. A nuanced understanding of the early formation of clan communities and *liurai* institutions thus provides a critical foundation for reconstructing Timor-Leste's socio-political history in a holistic and contextually grounded manner.

3.2. The Social Structure of Clan Communities

Clan communities in the island of Timor represent the fundamental units of the traditional social structure that governs various aspects of communal life—spiritual, political, economic, and cultural. Each clan (*uma*) is composed of patrilineal descent groups, with a sacred ancestral house (*uma lulik*) serving as its symbolic and spiritual

nucleus. The *uma lulik* is not only a site for ancestral veneration but also a locus of legitimacy for political authority and collective identity (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2003).

1. Internal Structure of Clan Communities

The social structure within clan communities is hierarchical and determined by lineage and age. The highest authority in the clan, usually from the primary line of descent, is referred to as *katuas*, *na'in*, or *dato*, depending on the ethnographic region. This leader bears responsibility for ancestral ritual practices, the establishment of customary norms, and conflict resolution within the community. Moreover, he serves as a mediator between the human world and the spiritual realm, according to the *matebian* concept, which integrates the ecological and cosmological worldview of Timorese society (Traube, 1986; Therik, 2004).

Clans are composed of sub-clans or extended households, each of which holds specific socio-economic responsibilities, including agriculture, food processing, natural resource management, and territorial defense. These functions are carried out through a system of collective labor known as the mutual aid system, or *musán ba moris*, whereby every clan member is expected to contribute to communal activities such as the construction of the *uma lulik*, land clearing, or the execution of customary rituals (McWilliam, 2005).

2. Inter-Clan Relations

In inter-clan relations, principles of symmetry and reciprocity are held in high esteem. One of the primary mechanisms for forging social and political alliances is the *feto sanan–umane* system, which entails the exchange of women through marriage. This system extends beyond the familial domain and serves as a political and spiritual mechanism that strengthens kinship networks across clans. The gifting of women is

regarded as a sacred covenant binding both parties in reciprocal relations encompassing protection, cooperation, and conflict resolution (Fox, 1988).

3. Clan Leadership and the Role of the Liurai

In many communities, clan leadership structures are closely linked to the *liurai* system, which functions as the secular authority and coordinator of external affairs. In certain regions, such as Tetun Wehali or among the Mambai, there is a division between the *liurai* as the administrative (secular) leader and the *dato* or *na'in* as the ritual (spiritual) leader. Although these roles differ, they operate harmoniously and derive legitimacy from the same customary and cosmological foundations (McWilliam, 2007; Niner, 2009).

The social structure of Timorese clans, in all its diversity, illustrates the community's sophisticated capacity to develop stable and sustainable systems of order. These systems have endured and adapted to the island's fragmented and challenging geography, forming the foundational basis upon which local kingdoms were later established. The role of the *liurai* as central actors in the history of customary power and local development remains a critical element in the enduring resilience and continuity of social and political organization in Timor-Leste.

3.3. The Function of Uma Lulik and the Socio-Cosmological Relations of the Clan

The *uma lulik*, or sacred house, represents the spiritual, social, and political nucleus of traditional Timorese society, functioning as far more than a mere physical structure. As a symbol of authority, collective memory, and transcendental connection between human beings, ancestors, and the cosmos, the *uma lulik* constitutes an integral element in the structure of clan life. This sacred house functions as a conduit between

the human realm and the spiritual world, playing a central role in maintaining both social and cosmological equilibrium (Fox, 1980; Traube, 1986).

1. Spiritual Center and Clan Identity

The *uma lulik* houses sacred objects (*lulik*), including ancestral heirlooms, spiritual artifacts, and regal symbols that serve as markers of collective memory and the legitimacy of clan identity. Within its sacred confines, vital rites are performed—such as *lisan mate* (mortuary rites), *hori-ulu* (land-opening rituals), and *han-natar* (agricultural rites)—which regulate the life cycle and the community's relationship with *matebian* (ancestral spirits) (Traube, 1986; McWilliam, 2005). Through these rites, the *uma lulik* cultivates collective spiritual consciousness and binds clan members in an intergenerational continuum.

2. Legitimacy of Power and the Customary System

In Timorese society, a traditional leader or *liurai* cannot claim authority without a spiritual relationship and consecration rite conducted within the *uma lulik*. Leadership in Timor is fundamentally *cosmotheocratic*, representing a synthesis of social authority and spiritual validation rooted in this sacred institution (Fox, 1996; Therik, 2004). The installation of a leader is always accompanied by specific rites that affirm not only genealogical succession but also the leader's relationship with the ancestors and ancestral land. Additionally, the *uma lulik* functions as a form of "unwritten constitution" regulating collective decisions on resource management, conflict resolution, and political alliances—all of which are validated through customary norms preserved within the sacred house. Any violation of this order is subject to severe spiritual (*lulik badak*) and social sanctions.

3. Cosmological and Ecological Relations

Timorese cosmology conceives the world in three layers: *rai-laran* (sky), *rai-diak* (the good earth), and *rai-mean* (spirit world). The *uma lulik* is situated at the symbolic center of these three realms, functioning as a portal between the human and the supernatural worlds (McWilliam, 2003; Fox, 1988). As such, nearly all major communal activities—ranging from hunting and agriculture to warfare—are initiated with rites of ancestral invocation, conducted from the sacred house. This cosmological function is also closely tied to its ecological role, whereby the *uma lulik* serves as the locus for the declaration and enforcement of *tara bandu*, customary prohibitions against the overexploitation of forests, rivers, and sacred animals. Thus, the *uma lulik* operates as an ecological actor that mediates a harmonious relationship between humans and nature through a living collective spirituality (Therik, 2004; McWilliam, 2007).

4. Symbol of Integration and Social Resilience

As a symbol of social integration, the *uma lulik* reinforces internal clan cohesion and external solidarity. It serves as a space for reconciliation after conflict, mediation in inter-clan disputes, and a collective forum for local political negotiations. The presence of an *uma lulik* significantly influences a community's bargaining power within the federated structures of local kingdoms or broader systems of *liurai* authority (Niner, 2009). This integrative function enables communities to endure harsh environmental conditions and historical adversity, making the *uma lulik* a socio-cosmological adaptation mechanism and an institution that sustains long-term stability.

In conclusion, within Timorese tradition, the *uma lulik* is not merely a cultural symbol but a multidimensional institution regulating rites, power, spirituality, and ecology. The sociopolitical authority of the *liurai* is deeply embedded in their relationship with this

sacred house, which constitutes the foundational pillar of Timor-Leste's traditional power structures prior to colonial intervention.

3.4. Formation of Local Kingdoms and the *Liurai* as Supreme Leaders

Prior to the arrival of the Portuguese in the early 16th century, the island of Timor was already inhabited by various clan-based communities that gradually evolved into more complex political entities—local kingdoms. This transformation was a strategic response to the need for social stability, collective protection, regulated resource management, and conflict mediation across geographically dispersed, mountainous, and ecologically diverse territories (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2007).

1. Social Evolution Towards Kingdom Structures

Originally, Timorese society was egalitarian and kinship-based. However, over time, a more hierarchical structure emerged through strategic alliances, symbolic conquests, and spiritual acknowledgment of certain clans as centers of power. Through this process, local kingdoms arose, including *Wehali* in Belu (West Timor), *Likusaen* in Liquiça, *Luca* in Viqueque, and *Biboki* and *Sonbai* in the western and northern parts of Timor Island (Therik, 2004; Fox, 1996). This development illustrates the political capacity of indigenous Timorese societies to construct organically structured customary governance systems.

2. The *Liurai*: A Sacred and Political Figure

In the local kingdom system, *liurai* was the highest title given to a leader who embodied political, administrative, and spiritual authority. Etymologically, the term *liurai* derives from a concept meaning "to encircle the earth," reflecting the leader's

comprehensive authority over vast territories and communities (Fox, 1980). The *liurai* was not only responsible for administrative and military matters but also served as a protector of customary law (*tara bandu*), a guardian of spiritual harmony, and a unifying symbol of the kingdom.

The roles of the *liurai* included:

1. Dato or Nai Lulik: Custodian of sacred houses (*uma lulik*) and highest spiritual adviser;
2. Katuas Lia: Customary orator and executor of ritual and customary law;
3. Moro (Warlord): Military leader safeguarding territorial sovereignty;
4. Aifoho: Diplomatic envoy fostering relations with other kingdoms.

This structure represented a traditional governance system based on checks and balances, where the power of the *liurai* was circumscribed by customary laws and spiritual rites, rather than being absolute (McWilliam, 2005; Niner, 2009).

3. Diplomatic Networks and Inter-Kingdom Conflicts

The *liurai* established diplomatic networks and inter-kingdom alliances through political marriages, exchanges of sacred symbols, and customary treaties. Nonetheless, these kingdoms also experienced conflicts over spiritual legitimacy, territorial boundaries, and even inter-clan warfare. Among them, *Wehali* occupied a unique status as the cosmological center kingdom, with its *liurai* bearing the title *Maromak Oan* ("Child of God"), regarded as a source of legitimacy for other kingdoms, including those within the territory of present-day Timor-Leste (Therik, 2004).

4. Political and Cultural Legacy of the *Liurai*

The *liurai* system left a profound political and cultural legacy within Timorese social structures. Principles of leadership such as servanthood, bravery, morality, and spirituality became core values shaping the character of traditional leaders. This legacy continues to live on in the collective memory of Timorese society, persisting even through the period of independence and the formation of the modern state of Timor-Leste (Niner, 2009).

The initial encounters between the Portuguese and Timorese societies were not with amorphous communities, but with well-established local kingdoms led by *liurai*. In this context, traditional power structures played a significant role in determining the course of colonial interactions and became foundational in the cultural and political resistance against foreign domination.

Therefore, the *liurai* was not merely a head of customary government, but a symbol of Timorese civilizational continuity, deeply rooted in spirituality, ancestral ethics, and land-based identity. The precolonial local kingdom system reveals that the Timorese people possessed robust institutional capacities and sophisticated sociopolitical organization long before being introduced to modern colonial forms of governance.

3.5. Conclusion

The formation of clan communities and the institution of *liurai* in the island of Timor reveals the socio-political sophistication of pre-colonial Timorese society, deeply rooted in customary structures, ancestral spirituality, and adaptation to complex geographical conditions. The clan-based (*uma*) social system functioned not only as a genealogical unit but also as a normative and cosmological framework that unified community members through networks of ritual, social obligations, and communal

solidarity (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2003). The *uma lulik*, as a spiritual center and symbol of ancestral authority, played a critical role in affirming clan identity and governance. Its multidimensional functions—spiritual, ecological, political, and symbolic—demonstrate that Timorese social structure cannot be detached from the sacred value systems regulating relations among humans, nature, and ancestral spirits (Traube, 1986; Therik, 2004).

Upon this foundation emerged the local kingdom system led by the *liurai*—sacral leaders who embodied not only administrative authority but also spiritual and cosmological legitimacy. The evolution of customary governance reflected a proto-constitutional principle of checks and balances through distinct yet interrelated customary roles: from ritual narrators and spiritual advisors to military leaders (McWilliam, 2007). Kingdoms such as Wehali, Sonbai, Biboki, and others functioned not only as political entities but also as centers of ritual and inter-community diplomacy, constituting a localized pre-colonial geopolitical order. Through alliances, customary treaties, and spiritual networks, the *liurai* established an integrated governance system resilient to external disruptions.

Thus, Chapter 3 demonstrates that well before colonial intervention, Timorese society had constructed a coherent social and political order grounded in local wisdom, genealogical structures, and cosmological spirituality. The *liurai* were not merely political figures but custodians of ancestral values, symbols of cultural continuity, and foundational pillars of Timor-Leste's political identity in the modern era.

CHAPTER 4: BEFORE THE ARRIVAL OF COLONIALISM

4.1. Introduction

Prior to the advent of European colonial influence in the early 16th century, the societies of Timor had already developed autonomous and structured social, political, economic, and cultural systems rooted in customary principles, cosmological spirituality, and dynamic inter-community relations. This pre-colonial context demonstrates that Timor was neither an isolated nor a structurally deficient territory; rather, it was part of an active network of regional interaction, particularly through maritime trade routes and cultural exchanges (Fox, 1980; Hägerdal, 2012).

Timorese communities had established a variety of local kingdoms governed by traditional systems based on clans and sacred ancestral houses (*uma lulik*), with the *liurai* serving as both administrative and spiritual leaders. Social life was regulated by customary law (*tara bandu*), strict ritual structures, and a complex kinship system oriented toward sacred relationships with ancestral spirits (McWilliam, 2005; Traube, 1986).

Colonial narratives often depicted Timor as wild, fragmented, and uncivilized. However, postcolonial anthropological and historiographical studies suggest otherwise: pre-colonial Timorese societies maintained stable socio-political orders, practiced ecologically rooted agricultural systems, and engaged in active diplomatic and trade networks, particularly in valuable commodities such as sandalwood, beeswax, and slaves (Boxer, 1947; Hägerdal, 2012).

Maritime trade involving merchants from Java, Makassar, the Moluccas, China, and later the Portuguese, positioned Timor as a significant site of economic and political interaction in the Nusa Tenggara region. In this context, while not forming states in

the modern sense, local kingdoms such as Wehali, Sonbai, and Likusaen demonstrated effective and sovereign capacities in negotiation, diplomacy, and power mobilization (Therik, 2004; Fox, 1996).

This chapter aims to present an objective and critical depiction of Timorese society before colonial intervention, while dismantling Eurocentric assumptions that ignore local complexity. By tracing the dynamics of local polities, spiritual structures, trade patterns, and customary legal principles, this section provides a conceptual framework for understanding the processes of social and political transformation that would follow during the colonial era. Accordingly, an accurate understanding of pre-colonial Timorese society is a fundamental step toward constructing a more just and truthful historical narrative—one that acknowledges the agency, autonomy, and socio-political sophistication of Timor before the imposition of foreign domination.

4.2. Autonomous Socio-Political Structures

Prior to the advent of European colonialism, Timorese society had developed autonomous socio-political systems rooted in customary communities and deeply anchored in ancestral spirituality, local sovereignty, and collective consensus structures. The island of Timor was inhabited by various small, autonomous kingdoms (*reinu*), interconnected through customary alliances, intermarriage among noble families, and spiritual confederations, without any single hegemonic entity dominating the region permanently (Fox, 1980; Therik, 2004). Kingdoms such as Wehali, Luca, and Likusaen played strategic roles as political and spiritual centers within the region, yet upheld the principle of subsidiarity, whereby local clans retained full control over their territorial and social orders (McWilliam, 2005; Hägerdal, 2012).

1. Basic Social Unit: Clan and Uma Lulik

The foundational unit of Timorese society was the patrilineal clan (*uma*), which served as the core of social identity, cosmology, and political legitimacy. Each *uma* was associated with a mythological origin linked to spiritual ancestors and specific geographical entities. The symbolic and spiritual center of each clan was the *uma lulik* (sacred ancestral house), which functioned as the repository of heirlooms, oral manuscripts, and sacred relics. Ritual ceremonies held in the *uma lulik* were crucial in shaping collective memory and codifying customary law within the community (Traube, 1986; McWilliam, 2003).

2. Traditional Power Hierarchy

Although hierarchical in nature, traditional authority in Timor was not absolute but operated through customary deliberation and spiritual sanction. The highest leader was the *liurai*, whose authority relied on legitimacy conferred by the customary institutions accompanying him:

- *Dato / Nai Lulik* – Spiritual guardian and mediator between the *liurai* and the ancestors.
- *Katuas Lia* – Enforcer of customary law, ritual specialist, and ceremonial spokesperson.
- *Moro* / War Lord – Military leader and protector of the community.
- *Aifoho* – Customary envoy and diplomatic liaison among kingdoms and clans.

The leadership of the *liurai* depended on communal recognition, his ability to maintain harmony with nature, and his consistency in safeguarding the spiritual and social equilibrium (Fox, 1996; Niner, 2009).

3. Legal and Justice Mechanisms

Timorese communities implemented a customary legal system known as *tara bandu*, a set of sacred prohibitions and normative codes regulating social life. Violations of *tara bandu* were considered transgressions against ancestral will and nature spirits, potentially resulting in spiritual and social disturbances. Conflict resolution was achieved through the *sala ho tulun* rite (restoration and reconciliation), facilitated by customary elders and spiritual authorities. This positioned customary justice as a restorative system rather than a punitive one (Therik, 2004; McWilliam, 2007).

4. Local Autonomy and Territorial Sovereignty

No single power held dominion over the entire island of Timor. Instead, kingdoms and clan confederations exercised local sovereignty based on customary territories, spiritual relationships, and collective treaties. Inter-community relations were governed by marital alliances, exchanges of sacred symbols, and customary diplomacy, forming a system akin to a traditional federation (Hägerdal, 2012). This model reflected a high degree of local political maturity: it was non-centralized yet ensured stability, order, and coexistence among clans. Although structurally different from the modern state model, it effectively addressed the needs of Timor's multi-ethnic and multi-clan societies.

The autonomous socio-political structures developed by pre-colonial Timorese communities laid a foundational framework of cultural and institutional resilience. These systems enabled customary communities to preserve their identity, sovereignty, and spiritual traditions in the face of successive waves of colonization. Not only were they effective in regulating social and spiritual life, but they also provided a coherent basis for resisting external domination and embodying the unique character of Timor-Leste's traditional sovereignty.

4.3. Trade Relations and Foreign Influence

Although the island of Timor is often regarded as a peripheral region within the geopolitical context of Southeast Asia, in reality, pre-colonial Timorese society demonstrated a remarkable capacity for establishing trade and diplomatic relations with the outside world. Interactions with traders from Makassar, Bugis, Ternate, Tidore, Java, and even China and India serve as evidence that Timor was an integral part of a dynamic regional maritime network (Hägerdal, 2012; Boxer, 1947). One of the key commodities that made Timor widely known throughout Asia was sandalwood (*Santalum album*), which was highly demanded for religious rituals, medicine, and cosmetics in the Hindu, Buddhist, and Islamic worlds (Fox, 1980).

1. Sandalwood: Timor's Strategic Commodity

Sandalwood (*Santalum album*) was the primary commodity that brought the island of Timor to the attention of Asian traders as early as the first millennium. Widely utilized in India, China, and the Islamic world for incense, medicine, and perfume, sandalwood was in constant demand. Kingdoms such as Wehali, Luca, and Likusaen controlled sandalwood-producing areas and imposed taxes or tribute on foreign traders seeking access to this resource (Fox, 1980; Hägerdal, 2012). Sandalwood became a symbol of wealth and a source of political power for the *liurai* (local kings), who strategically managed its distribution to maintain their influence. Consequently, control over sandalwood regions frequently triggered both conflicts and alliances among rival kingdoms.

2. Regional Maritime Trade Networks

Traders from Makassar, the Bugis, Ternate, and Tidore regularly visited Timor to purchase sandalwood, beeswax, honey, and other agricultural products. In exchange,

they brought textiles, iron, ceramics, beads, and weaponry. Small ports such as Vemasse, Laleia, and Batugade functioned as entry points for this trade. Timor also maintained limited yet significant relations with Chinese and Indian traders, as evidenced by archaeological discoveries of Ming Dynasty ceramics and South Asian jewelry at specific sites (O'Connor & Veth, 2000; Christie, 1995). These findings point to substantial transregional maritime trade, even though no permanent trading colonies were established. This underscores the fact that Timor was neither isolated nor passive in intercultural exchanges.

3. Cultural Adaptation and Negotiation

The Timorese people did not receive foreign influence passively. Rather, they engaged in cultural selectivity, adopting technologies such as metalwork, firearms, and textiles while preserving their indigenous social structures and spiritual traditions. The *liurai* demonstrated diplomatic acumen, effectively negotiating and formulating political strategies to safeguard territorial sovereignty and communal socio-cultural systems (McWilliam, 2007). External influences also enriched local cultural elements, such as *tais* textile patterns, musical instruments, vocabulary, and symbolic systems, which were assimilated without eroding the Timorese cultural and spiritual identity.

The pre-colonial interactions with the outside world reveal that Timorese society was not a closed entity but rather a participant in a vibrant regional network of trade and cultural exchange. This historical foundation profoundly shaped the local population's response to the arrival of European colonialism.

4.4. Indigenous Belief Systems and Customary Law

Prior to the influence of Abrahamic religions and colonial rule, the Timorese people upheld a structured and comprehensive animistic belief system that functioned as a

cosmological, ethical, and political foundation. This belief system not only regulated the relationship between humans, ancestors, and cosmic forces, but also constituted the normative legal framework and communal governance in a manner that was both restorative and ecological (Traube, 1986; Therik, 2004). Central to this system was the concept of *lulik*—a term in Tetun and various local dialects referring to what is sacred, untouchable, and governed by moral and spiritual boundaries. *Lulik* served not only as the spiritual cornerstone of the community but also as an instrument to guarantee social cohesion and ecological protection.

1. The Concept of Lulik: Sacredness as the Core of Life

Lulik encompasses all entities regarded as sacred and directly linked to supernatural forces. It is manifest in objects, places, rituals, and social norms. Traditional houses (*uma lulik*), ancestral stones, sacred trees, and sacrificial sites constitute the centers for ritual activities such as marriage ceremonies, the inauguration of local rulers (*liurai*), fertility rites, and post-conflict purification rituals (Fox, 1980; McWilliam, 2003). Belief in *matebian* (ancestral spirits), *Maromak* (Creator God), and *rai lulik* (sacred land) instills a productive fear (*metan*) as a social mechanism that reinforces obedience to communal norms and maintains cosmic equilibrium.

2. Roles of Customary Elders and Spiritual Healers

Lia na'in (customary elders) and *matan dook* (spiritual shamans) hold central roles in interpreting ancestral messages, leading rituals, and enforcing customary law. Within the adat (customary) structure, their position is considered spiritually equal or even superior to that of the *liurai*, as they serve as intermediaries between the human world and the spirit realm. They act as guardians of collective morality and as administrators of spiritually grounded justice (Therik, 2004; McWilliam, 2007).

3. Customary Law (*Tara Bandu*) and Sanction Mechanisms

Tara bandu constitutes the customary legal system that governs all aspects of life: land ownership, ecological taboos, social ethics, and domestic conflicts. Violations of *tara bandu* are perceived not merely as social infractions but also as spiritual transgressions that invite collective misfortune—such as failed harvests, outbreaks of disease, or prolonged conflict (Niner, 2009). Customary sanctions are restorative rather than retributive. Offenders are required to provide compensation (such as buffalo, land, or communal labor) and to undergo communal purification rituals. This mechanism ensures the restoration of harmony rather than the mere imposition of punishment.

4. Cosmic Balance and Ecological Ethics

The Timorese belief system places high value on maintaining balance between humans and nature. Nature is not viewed as an object of exploitation but as a living entity within a sacred cosmological network that demands reverence. Customary laws prohibit activities such as cutting down sacred trees, hunting during mating seasons, and clearing land without ritual permission from forest spirits. In this regard, *tara bandu* functions as an ecologically rooted spiritual regulation, positioning Timorese communities as pioneers of customary conservation practices long before the emergence of modern ecological science (McWilliam, 2007; Therik, 2004).

4.5. Conclusion

This chapter has demonstrated that prior to the arrival of European colonialism, Timorese society possessed an autonomous socio-political structure, an adaptive economic system, and a complex, cohesive spiritual worldview. The Timorese exhibited a distinct capacity for self-governance, selective foreign engagement, and the construction of power systems rooted in spirituality and communal justice. Far from the primitive or backward image often perpetuated by colonial narratives, precolonial Timor reflected a unique indigenous civilization that was autonomous, resilient, and deeply meaningful (Fox, 1980; Hägerdal, 2012).

The customary governance system was administered through the leadership of the *liurai*, who ruled based on spiritual legitimacy and social consensus rather than centralized authoritarian power. This structure of authority was supported by a network of clan alliances, the customary legal system (*tara bandu*), and cosmological spiritual values that regulated every dimension of communal life. In this context, the *uma lulik* was not merely a cultural symbol, but rather the central institution of legal legitimacy, spirituality, and ecological ethics (Therik, 2004; Traube, 1986).

Regional trade, particularly in sandalwood commodities, signified Timor's active participation in the maritime networks of Southeast and East Asia. Kingdoms such as Wehali, Likusaen, and Luca played key roles in managing diplomatic and economic relations with external actors—from Buginese and Makassarese merchants to early influences from China and India. This affirms that Timorese societies were not isolated entities but rather cosmopolitan communities—locally sovereign yet selectively open to external engagement (Boxer, 1947; McWilliam, 2007).

Furthermore, the structured animistic belief system, with the *lulik* concept at its spiritual center, informed not only religious practices but also systems of law, morality,

and sustainable environmental management. The ecological dimension of customary law illustrates a deep environmental consciousness long before the emergence of modern environmental legal frameworks (McWilliam, 2003; Niner, 2009).

Accordingly, an informed understanding of precolonial Timor offers a vital foundation for interpreting cultural resistance, strategic adaptation, and the continuity of local identity that would shape the socio-political dynamics of Timor during the colonial era. The subsequent chapter will explore how these established customary systems interacted with, adapted to, and resisted the penetration of Portuguese colonialism, which spanned more than four centuries.

PART II: PORTUGUESE COLONIZATION

CHAPTER 5: THE EARLY PHASE OF PORTUGUESE COLONIZATION

5.1. Introduction

The arrival of the Portuguese on the island of Timor in the early 16th century marked the beginning of one of the longest-standing colonial regimes in world history, enduring for more than four and a half centuries. Unlike colonial models characterized by immediate military conquest and centralized domination, the Portuguese entry into Timor unfolded gradually—through trade routes, Catholic missionary activities, and customary diplomacy (Boxer, 1947; Hägerdal, 2012). The Portuguese were driven primarily by economic and religious motivations, particularly the pursuit of strategic resources such as sandalwood and the propagation of Catholicism as part of the Iberian Empire's global agenda following the Reconquista. Within this framework, the island of Timor was viewed as a peripheral yet resource-rich territory, politically difficult to control due to its fragmented social structures and autonomous customary power systems (Gunn, 1999).

The absence of a centralized nation-state in Timor required the Portuguese to engage directly with the *liurai*—traditional local leaders who wielded both spiritual and administrative authority within clan and customary kingdoms. The *liurai* played pivotal roles as mediators, resisters, or collaborators, and the dynamics of these relationships significantly shaped the trajectory of Portuguese colonization (Therik, 2004; McWilliam, 2007). In this initial phase, Portuguese domination was not established through direct military conquest but through symbolic and institutional influence, such

as the construction of forts, the appointment of allied *liurai*, religious dissemination, and trade monopolization.

Relations with kingdoms such as Wehali, Luca, and Likusaen were forged through tactical alliances and diplomatic negotiations, frequently mediated by Jesuit and Dominican missionaries (Fox, 1980; Hägerdal, 2012). It is essential to recognize that Portuguese colonialism in Timor was not a monolithic narrative of domination but rather a relational process marked by resistance, renegotiation, and cultural hybridity. The *liurai* were not mere auxiliaries of the colonial system; rather, they often emerged as key agents in shaping local history—whether in defending traditional sovereignty or in formulating adaptive strategies to cope with the evolving colonial structures (Niner, 2009).

Accordingly, this section will offer a detailed examination of the early stages of Portuguese colonization in Timor, with a focus on strategies of power penetration, the roles of trade and religion, and the dynamic interactions between colonial forces and indigenous *liurai* leadership. Understanding this phase is crucial to apprehending how the foundations of Portuguese colonialism were constructed through a complex process that was not solely dominated by foreign powers, but also actively shaped by the agency of local communities.

5.2. Background of Portuguese Expansion to Timor

The Portuguese expansion into the island of Timor was an integral part of the broader global imperial project carried out by the Kingdom of Portugal since the late 15th century. Following Vasco da Gama's successful voyage to India in 1498 and the conquest of Malacca in 1511, the Portuguese consolidated their control over the heart of Asian trade routes. This strategic advancement spurred the search for rare commodities, particularly *sandalwood* (*Santalum album*), which was abundantly found

in Timor (Boxer, 1965; Gunn, 1999). Timorese sandalwood was highly prized in markets across India, China, and Europe, for its religious, medicinal, and perfumery uses. It thus became a strategic commodity in the early modern global trade network (Fox, 1980). Consequently, Portuguese arrival in Timor was driven by powerful economic motives, intertwined with a Catholic evangelization agenda and maritime control in the eastern Indonesian archipelago.

Following the conquest of Malacca, the Portuguese recognized the untapped natural wealth of eastern Indonesia, including Timor. Timorese sandalwood, renowned for its durable and aromatic qualities, became a key export linking Timor to elite markets in Goa, Guangzhou, and Lisbon (Hägerdal, 2012). The Portuguese presence sought to secure both the sandalwood resource and its distribution routes before rival powers such as the Dutch or Asian traders could assert control.

1. Sandalwood as the Primary Driver of Expansion

As a highly sought-after commodity, Timorese sandalwood was the principal driver of Portuguese expansion into the region. The Portuguese dominance over Malacca and other strategic ports across the Indian Ocean gave them leverage over the spice trade and other luxury goods, including sandalwood. This positioned Timor as a critical node in the early economic globalization that interconnected Asia and Europe through transoceanic commerce.

2. Evangelization and the Spirit of the Reconquista

Beyond economic interests, the Portuguese expansion to Timor was also propelled by strong Catholic religious fervor, rooted in the spirit of the *Reconquista*—the Christian reconquest of the Iberian Peninsula from Muslim rule. This spiritual campaign extended globally and was carried out by religious orders, particularly the Dominicans

from 1556 onward, who served as agents of evangelization and political mediators between the Portuguese and local leaders (Gunn, 1999; Therik, 2004). For the Portuguese, evangelization and colonialism were inseparable aims: to transform the "pagan" native populations into adherents of the Catholic faith.

3. Local Political Fragmentation as a Colonial Opportunity

Internally, Timor was politically fragmented, composed of small kingdoms and clan confederations with no dominant central authority. This created an opportune environment for the Portuguese to expand their influence. The absence of a unifying military power on the island allowed the Portuguese to forge strategic alliances with various local rulers. Initial Portuguese bases in Solor and Lifau functioned as trade outposts and Catholic missions, serving as pivotal platforms from which influence could be further extended.

4. A Geopolitical, Economic, and Spiritual Project

Portuguese expansion into Timor must not be understood merely as territorial conquest but rather as part of a 16th-century imperial logic that integrated maritime power, religious doctrine, and economic interests into a mutually reinforcing system. Despite its peripheral location, Timor was integrated into the early globalization system, wherein relationships between the local population and European colonizers were shaped by complex interactions involving collaboration and resistance (Fox, 1996; Hägerdal, 2012). Therefore, understanding the background of Portuguese expansion is essential to analyzing the unique character of Portuguese colonialism in Timor—a long-lasting, decentralized process that involved the active participation of local actors, especially the *liurai*, in shaping their own historical trajectories.

5.3. Mechanism of Initial Penetration: Alliances and Fragmentation

The initial phase of Portuguese colonization in the island of Timor was not marked by large-scale military invasion but rather by a gradual strategy of penetration rooted in mechanisms of political alliance, spiritual co-optation, and social fragmentation. Given the absence of a centralized state in pre-colonial Timor, the Portuguese operated within a landscape defined by clan systems and small-scale polities led by autonomous liurai (local rulers) (Hägerdal, 2012; Gunn, 1999).

1. Political Alliances and Religious Co-optation

The Portuguese employed a strategy of symbolic co-optation to construct networks of local power through trade agreements, limited military protection, and, most significantly, mass baptisms administered by the Dominican religious order. Liurai who agreed to baptism and demonstrated loyalty to the Portuguese were granted privileged status, support in confronting internal rivals, and access to resources and trade networks controlled by the colonial regime (Boxer, 1965; Therik, 2004). Consequently, a class of colonial-affiliated local nobility emerged, simultaneously serving as heirs of local traditions and as agents of foreign authority. In this context, Catholicism functioned not only as a spiritual mission but also as an instrument of legitimation for the new regime.

2. Internal Fragmentation and Divide-and-Rule Politics

This alliance-based strategy gradually induced internal fragmentation among the liurai and local kingdoms. Not all leaders welcomed the Portuguese presence. Major polities such as Wehali, which claimed spiritual supremacy over the entire island of Timor, chose to maintain distance and preserve their ritual autonomy. In contrast, kingdoms

such as Likusaen and Luca became early allies of the Portuguese and reaped political benefits from such alliances (McWilliam, 2007). The Portuguese opportunistically capitalized on inter-liurai rivalries, fostering local polarities to preclude collective resistance. Cooperative agreements often became sources of conflict, depending on internal dynamics among communities. Thus, colonization advanced not merely through external domination, but through the internalization of local conflicts.

3. The Dual Role of the Liurai: Collaborators and Custodians of Tradition

Liurai should not be simplistically portrayed as mere victims of colonialism. They were strategic political actors who understood and exploited the Portuguese presence to consolidate territorial control, eliminate domestic rivals, or acquire new forms of legitimacy. Collaboration was frequently a tactical decision rather than outright submission. Simultaneously, many liurai continued to fulfill their roles as custodians of tradition and spiritual sovereignty, preserving local rituals, customary law, and the lulik system as forms of covert cultural resistance against full colonial assimilation. This produced a latent tension between the colonial structure and local cosmology, manifesting in both overt and covert negotiations throughout the colonial period.

4. Initial Consolidation of Colonial Power

Through the dual strategies of alliance and fragmentation, the Portuguese successfully established a flexible and adaptive foundation of colonial power that did not require extensive military occupation. They relied heavily on local intermediaries, particularly liurai who were willing to serve as bridges between the colonial world and customary society. This pattern is emblematic of the colonial history of Timor and explains the remarkable longevity of Portuguese rule, which endured for more than 450 years.

5.4. The Role of Missionaries in the Colonial Process

Catholic missionaries, particularly from the Dominican Order, played a pivotal role in the Portuguese colonial enterprise in Timor-Leste. They were not merely religious evangelists but also functioned as political agents, cultural mediators, and ideological instruments of colonial authority. Their presence in Timor began in the 16th century, with the first mission centers established in Solor and later in Lifau, illustrating the integration of religion and Portuguese colonial power (Boxer, 1965; Gunn, 1999; Hägerdal, 2012).

1. Dual Function: Religious and Political

Dominican missionaries arrived in Timor as part of the official policy of the Portuguese Crown, which unified Church and State in its colonial expansion. In practice, Catholic missions served as the spiritual arm of colonial administration, offering moral justification for foreign domination and restructuring local authority through Christian narratives. Acting as intermediaries in conflicts among local kingdoms, they facilitated diplomacy between the *liurai* (local kings) and conveyed official messages from colonial authorities. This role also strengthened the positions of baptized *liurai*, creating a Christian elite ideologically and politically aligned with Portuguese colonialism (Fox, 1980; Niner, 2009).

2. Baptism as a Legitimizing Mechanism

The baptism of *liurai* was often not solely a spiritual conversion but also a political consecration. Baptized *liurai* acquired new legitimacy as Christian rulers, acknowledged as extensions of the authority of the King of Portugal and the Roman Church. In many instances, baptism marked the end of traditional political autonomy and the integration of *liurai* into the colonial power structure. It also led to

transformations in the community's spiritual authority, replacing or renegotiating indigenous values such as *lulik*, *tara bandu*, and *uma lulik* (McWilliam, 2007).

3. Education, Assimilation, and the Implantation of Ideology

Missionaries also introduced basic education grounded in Latin literacy and Catholic teachings as a means of formalizing Christian identity as a new socio-political construct. This education provided social mobility for certain elite groups, but it simultaneously produced a value hierarchy between the "civilized Christian" and the "traditional pagan," reinforcing segregation within colonial society (Gunn, 1999). Missionaries were not only evangelists but also architects of a redefined social order—restructuring local power, gender dynamics, and societal norms.

4. The Church as an Instrument of Cultural Penetration

The Church functioned as a highly effective vehicle of cultural penetration and social control. It offered a symbolic framework for colonialism, advancing the narrative that colonization was a sacred mission to save pagan souls. In this context, missionaries reshaped the local understanding of power, sacred time, space, and authority (Therik, 2004; Traube, 1986). Although hybridization and resistance occurred, particularly among communities that preserved *lulik* and customary law, the Church remained the principal regulator of social norms within Portuguese-controlled territories.

The role of missionaries in the Portuguese colonial project extended far beyond religious activities. They were political and structural agents who played a central role in constructing the ideological foundation of colonialism, consolidating Portuguese control through spiritual conversion, social regulation, and the transformation of indigenous values. The Portuguese colonial enterprise in Timor-Leste must thus be understood as an integration of *cruz e coroa*—cross and crown—where religious

doctrine and temporal power were inseparably intertwined, with the *liurai* as key actors in the negotiation of authority (Therik, 2004).

5.5. Reactions and Responses of the Local Liurai

The arrival of the Portuguese on the island of Timor in the early 16th century triggered a variety of responses from the *liurai*, the traditional leaders and symbolic sovereigns of local customary communities. These responses were far from homogeneous; rather, they were deeply contingent upon the political, geographical, economic, and spiritual contexts surrounding each local kingdom or confederation (Hägerdal, 2012; McWilliam, 2007).

1. Tactical Acceptance: Adaptive Strategies and Instruments of Power Consolidation

Some *liurai* viewed the Portuguese presence as a strategic opportunity to bolster their positions amidst local rivalries. Portuguese support in the form of weaponry, military protection, and religious legitimacy via Catholic baptism was utilized as capital to consolidate control over contested territories. In cases such as Luca and Likusaen, *liurai* established mutually beneficial affiliations with the Portuguese, thus embedding themselves within the growing framework of colonial power (Gunn, 1999). However, such affiliations were primarily pragmatic rather than ideologically aligned. These leaders maintained local rituals, clan systems, and customary law, despite having symbolically affiliated themselves with the colonial structure.

2. Open and Covert Resistance

Conversely, many *liurai* exhibited both active and passive forms of resistance toward the Portuguese incursion. Open resistance took the form of attacks on mission posts,

rejection of baptism, severance of alliances, and the expulsion of colonial agents from traditional territories. Regions such as Wehali in central and southern Timor—claiming to be the island's spiritual center—consistently rejected foreign domination, preserving their social and spiritual structures uncompromisingly (Therik, 2004). Resistance also manifested in indirect ways, such as relocating political centers to more remote areas, refusing to comply with colonial legal norms, or concealing customary practices behind Catholic liturgies. These strategies indicate a high level of cultural adaptation and camouflage in safeguarding community identity.

3. Spiritual and Cultural Autonomy as Resistance

For many *liurai*, the preservation of spiritual and cultural autonomy constituted the core of their resistance. Although political alliances with colonial forces may have been forged, many leaders resolutely rejected the complete surrender of local identity to colonialism. They continued to perform traditional rituals, safeguard sacred houses (*uma lulik*), and uphold customary law (*tara bandu*) amid the pressures imposed by the moral and legal systems of the Portuguese missionaries and administrators (Fox, 1980). By maintaining spiritual relations with their ancestors, the *liurai* not only preserved their legitimacy as leaders but also upheld the meaningful social structures foundational to their communities. In this context, resistance became a cosmological act, not merely a political one.

4. Liurai as Mediators between Local and Colonial Worlds

The *liurai* also played a complex mediating role between the indigenous world and the colonial apparatus. In this position, they often had to negotiate between the interests of their communities and the demands of colonial authorities, including matters of taxation, legal administration, and religious conversion. Their success in playing this

mediatory role determined the extent to which local autonomy could be retained during the early phases of colonial domination.

The responses of the *liurai* to Portuguese colonization reflect a spectrum of local strategies in the face of foreign domination—from tactical acceptance to profound spiritual resistance. Far from being passive subjects of colonialism, the *liurai* were historical agents—adaptive, strategic, and resistant—who continuously sought to preserve the cultural and political integrity of their communities in the face of a long and complex imperialist project.

5.6. Conclusion

The initial phase of Portuguese colonization in Timor Island represented a critical transitional period marked by complex interactions between colonial forces and indigenous societies. Unlike models of colonialism based on direct military domination, Portuguese colonization evolved through strategies of symbolic penetration, diplomatic negotiation, religious co-optation, and internal fragmentation. This was not a linear process of domination, but rather a dynamic interplay involving negotiation, alliances, conflict, and adaptation.

Within this configuration, the local *liurai* (traditional rulers) must not be reduced to passive victims of colonialism. Instead, they emerge as strategic historical agents who played a decisive role in navigating foreign power, maintaining customary autonomy, and, in some cases, utilizing the Portuguese presence to strengthen their position within local power structures (Gunn, 1999; Hägerdal, 2012; McWilliam, 2007). The *liurai* engaged in tactical alliances, demonstrated flexible political adaptation, and, in many contexts, managed cultural and spiritual resistance to the imperialist project that sought to restructure local value systems.

The Catholic Church—particularly through the Dominican missions—played a dual role as both an agent of evangelization and an extension of colonial power. It reinforced hegemonic structures while simultaneously serving as a space of negotiation between the new faith and existing belief systems. Therefore, the early Portuguese colonization of Timor should not be understood as a straightforward imposition from the outside, but as a relational network shaped by conflict, collaboration, resistance, and accommodation.

Timor's experience during this phase underscores that colonialism was locally negotiated, and that the *liurai* were vital actors in sustaining cultural continuity, customary law, and the spiritual sovereignty of Timorese society.

CHAPTER 6: THE DYNAMICS OF 450 YEARS OF COLONIAL RULE

6.1. Introduction

The Portuguese colonization of Timor-Leste stands as one of the longest colonial periods in global history, lasting nearly four and a half centuries—from the arrival of the Portuguese in the early 16th century until their departure in 1975. Throughout this prolonged period, the power relations between the colonial administration and local communities underwent numerous transformations, ranging from religious mission-based trade dominance to the formalization of a modern colonial administrative system. This dynamic was far from static; rather, it unfolded through phases of conflict and compromise, acculturation and resistance, and significant shifts in local socio-political structures.

Portuguese colonialism in Timor-Leste exhibited a paradoxical character: weak in terms of administrative reach, yet strong in symbolic and ideological influence (Gunn, 1999; Hägerdal, 2012). Their control never fully encompassed the entire island but was instead limited to strategic enclaves such as Lifau, Dili, and other regions that were amenable to alliance. Nevertheless, through the influence of the Catholic Church, missionary activities, and networks of patronage involving local *liurai* (traditional rulers), the colonial system managed to endure across generations.

The relationship between the colonizers and local societies in Timor was not a singular form of domination, but a dialectical process involving resistance, adaptation, and accommodation. The *liurai*, as indigenous leaders, played a dual role within this system—acting both as collaborators and as opponents. They served as intermediaries between colonial authority and customary communities, and as key agents in preserving local autonomy and traditional governance structures.

This chapter aims to systematically and historically analyze these dynamics by focusing on four principal phases: 1) the transition from religious domination to formal colonial administration; 2) the dual role of the *liurai* within the colonial patron-client system; 3) local resistance and uprisings in response to colonial pressure; and 4) the structural impact of colonialism on local socio-political configurations. Particular emphasis will be placed on how the *liurai* maintained their strategic role throughout the colonial period, both as custodians of customary continuity and as agents of transformation within the shifting currents of colonial power.

6.2. Transformation of Traditional Power Structures

Over more than four centuries of Portuguese colonization in Timor-Leste, the traditional power structure rooted in customary authority underwent a fundamental transformation that shaped the contours of local political life up to the era of independence. Prior to colonial intrusion, local authority centered on the figure of the *liurai*, the customary leader who held not only administrative command over the community but also bore profound spiritual and cosmological responsibilities. The *liurai*'s position was understood as a manifestation of a sacred bond with the ancestors (*matebian*) and the land (*rai*), reinforced through ritual praxis and the genealogical organization of clans (Therik, 2004; Traube, 1986).

However, beginning in the 17th century, colonial intervention progressively displaced customary authority by imposing a colonial government system that introduced values, structures, and practices derived from European bureaucratic models. Through political alliances, religious conversion, and administrative restructuring, Portuguese authority gradually reduced the *liurai* to local agents within the colonial hierarchy, transforming them from autonomous leaders into intermediaries between the indigenous population and the colonial state (Gunn, 1999; Hägerdal, 2012).

1. Institutionalization of Traditional Authority as "Reino"

One of the most significant steps in this process was the classification of indigenous kingdoms into administrative units known as *reinos*. Each *reino* was led by a *liurai* formally recognized by the Portuguese authorities and assigned administrative titles such as *chefe de suco* or *chefe de posto*, depending on the hierarchy (McWilliam, 2007). This official recognition was strategic—the Portuguese appointed only loyal and effective leaders capable of maintaining order, collecting taxes, and spreading colonial influence through the patron-client system. This policy constructed a new hierarchical power order, based on political loyalty rather than customary values. The traditional mechanisms for selecting or appointing *liurai* through clan consensus or ancestral rites were thus supplanted by legitimacy granted by colonial rulers.

2. Reconstruction of Succession Mechanisms

Transformation also occurred in the mechanisms of power succession. Prior to colonization, the position of *liurai* was often determined through ritual mechanisms and clan consensus, taking into account genealogical legitimacy, spirituality, and leadership competence. Under the colonial system, this process was appropriated by colonial administrators. Political loyalty replaced cosmological legitimacy, and many local leaders were appointed based on their affiliation with colonial power rather than on customary endorsement (Fox, 1980). This led to internal conflicts within communities, particularly among clans who felt marginalized or deprived of their traditional rights. Social fragmentation and symbolic delegitimization followed, as many appointees were no longer perceived as representatives of ancestral spirits or territorial guardians.

3. Emergence of a New *Liurai* Class and Strategic Accommodation

Conversely, not all *liurai* resisted the new system. Some strategically navigated the colonial structure, leveraging access to missionary education, infrastructure, and political networks to reinforce their positions. They became part of a new colonial aristocracy: Catholic-educated, Portuguese-speaking, and directly affiliated with power centers in Dili and even Lisbon. This emerging class developed a dual identity—simultaneously guardians of local traditions and actors within the colonial system. This phenomenon illustrates that colonialism was not merely a process of domination, but also a site of negotiated power where local actors actively shaped hybrid structures between custom and colonial governance (Niner, 2009).

4. Between Erosion and Transfiguration of Tradition

Although many elements of customary power structures were eroded by colonial policies, not all were extinguished. In various contexts, customary order underwent transformation into new forms, adapting to colonial frameworks while preserving spiritual legitimacy. The *lulik* system, traditional houses, *tara bandu* rituals, and ancestral narratives remained as the moral and social foundation of local authority. Thus, Portuguese colonialism induced not a simple erosion, but a transfiguration. Custom and traditional authority were not wholly annihilated but rather reconstituted within the colonial structure, creating a gray zone between continuity and disruption, between coexistence and conflict.

The transformation of traditional power structures during Portuguese colonization was a complex, multilayered, and non-uniform process. The *liurai*, as principal actors within the local order, experienced a repositioning of their roles—from sacred leaders to colonial officials, from protectors of custom to mediators of foreign power. Nonetheless, in many instances, they remained custodians of local identity,

demonstrating that even within the prolonged framework of colonialism, the resilie nce of tradition and the strategic agency of local actors succeeded in safeguarding the spiritual and social heritage of Timor-Leste.

6.3. The Dual Role of the *Liurai*: Colonial Collaborator and Local Balancer

Within the Portuguese colonial context in Timor-Leste, the *liurai* exercised a complex dual role as both colonial collaborators and local balancers. This role reflected a political strategy of adaptation and negotiation undertaken by local elites to survive in a prolonged colonial condition characterized by structural fragility and instability (Gunn, 1999; Niner, 2009). The *liurai* acted as intermediaries between two distinct realms: the modern colonial authority and the traditional customary order.

1. Strategic Collaboration within the Colonial Power Structure

As collaborators, many *liurai* established formal relations with the Portuguese colonial government to preserve or expand their influence. Through official appointments as *chefe de suco* or *chefe de posto*, *liurai* gained access to the colonial administrative apparatus, including judicial authority and economic resources. However, this relationship was not entirely one of subordination. Many *liurai* utilized their positions to negotiate zones of autonomy, such as safeguarding customary land rights and protecting local laws from colonial policies deemed detrimental to traditional structures (McWilliam, 2007). Their collaboration was thus instrumental and situational, shaped by local contexts and each *liurai*'s negotiating capacity.

2. Mediators between the Colonial System and Customary Order

The *liurai* served as cultural and political mediators between the colonial system and the indigenous sociopolitical structures. They often translated colonial policies into forms more acceptable to customary communities. Acts such as delaying policy implementation, resisting land consolidation, or renegotiating tax systems were among the daily practices of *liurai* who were adept at interpreting both the logic of colonial authority and the social reasoning of local populations (Traube, 1986). This mediating role rendered them structural balancers within a colonial system that was vulnerable to resistance and fragmentation.

3. Enduring Customary and Spiritual Functions

Beyond their administrative roles, the *liurai* maintained their spiritual and customary functions. They were guardians of the *uma lulik* (sacred houses), officiants of *tara bandu* (ritual prohibitions), and symbolic custodians of land and ancestral legacy. In remote regions, their spiritual and customary authority often surpassed colonial power, and their leadership legitimacy rested on cosmological relations with ancestors and territory (Therik, 2004; Fox, 1980). This function was vital in maintaining communal cohesion amidst the persistent external pressures of colonialism and Catholic missionary endeavors.

4. Strategic Use of Colonial Institutions for Local Interests

Certain *liurai* strategically leveraged colonial institutions such as churches, schools, and local bureaucracies to strengthen their familial positions. They enrolled their children in Catholic mission schools to attain formal education, which opened pathways to elite strata within the colonial bureaucracy and political sphere. This practice gave rise to an educated generation of *liurai* descendants who would later play key roles in both

colonial administration and the nationalist movements of the twentieth century (Niner, 2009). The strategy fostered the emergence of an indigenous Catholic aristocracy fluent in Portuguese and integrated into political and economic networks, while still rooted in customary legitimacy.

5. Ambivalence and Internal Tensions

Despite functioning as stabilizers within the system, the *liurai* often faced internal tensions stemming from their ambiguous position. Collaboration with colonial authorities was at times perceived as betrayal of customary values, particularly when decisions contradicted communal consensus or harmed other factions within the clan structure. This engendered political and social ambivalence that marked the *liurai*'s position throughout the colonial era.

The dual role of the *liurai* as collaborators and local balancers generated a nuanced and complex dynamic in Timor-Leste's colonial history. They were not merely enforcers of colonial rule, but rather strategic actors who successfully maintained a balance between the demands of the colonial regime and the preservation of local sociocultural systems. Situated at the intersection of tradition and colonialism, the *liurai* emerged as central pillars in the political and cultural resilience of Timorese society.

6.4. The Colonial Legacy in Local Social and Political Structures

The Portuguese colonization of Timor-Leste for nearly five centuries left a complex and ambivalent legacy embedded in the country's social and political fabric. This legacy extends beyond institutional remnants, permeating cultural dimensions, power relations, and societal mindsets. Colonialism forged a hybrid structure that fused

administrative modernity with traditional continuity, generating ongoing negotiations between external domination and local wisdom (Hägerdal, 2012; Gunn, 1999).

1. Integration of Customary Authority into the Colonial System

One of the central legacies of colonialism was the co-optation of customary power structures into the colonial administrative framework. The *liurai*, who formerly held autonomous spiritual and political authority rooted in customary law, were gradually transformed into local rulers integrated within the Portuguese governance system through administrative titles such as *chefe de suco* or *chefe de posto* (McWilliam, 2007). This integration produced a hybrid leadership model, wherein customary and state authority simultaneously complemented and contradicted each other—an ambivalence that persists in the post-independence political landscape.

2. Social Stratification and the Legacy of Colonial Elitism

Colonialism also reinforced sharper social stratifications. Families of *liurai* who collaborated with the colonial regime were granted privileges such as missionary education, land ownership, and access to administrative positions. This produced a dominant local colonial elite with political and economic advantages, while the majority remained in subordinate and marginalized positions. These structures not only persisted but also evolved into new sociopolitical foundations in the postcolonial era, where positions in bureaucracy and politics were frequently inherited from the feudal-colonial hierarchy (Niner, 2009). Such historical inequalities have significantly influenced patterns of exclusion and unequal access to national resources in the post-independence period.

3. Cultural Assimilation and the Resilience of Local Values

Culturally, Portuguese colonialism exerted a profound influence through Christianization and the spread of the Portuguese language. The Catholic Church became a hegemonic institution in education, health, and public morality. Catholic values were internalized into the societal value system, often displacing—but also blending with—local customary values, thus producing a unique form of cultural syncretism (Traube, 1986). Nevertheless, Timorese society exhibited a high degree of adaptive resilience, whereby numerous customary elements—such as *tara bandu*, *uma lulik*, and ancestral rites—remained intact and have even undergone revitalization. This process reveals that local culture was not merely a victim of colonialism but an active agent in negotiating meaning, integrating new elements into a value system still deeply rooted in indigenous cosmology.

4. Postcolonial Bureaucracy and Governance Systems

Institutionally, many bureaucratic structures adopted in the post-independence era—both at central and local levels—retain the colonial legacy's framework. The hierarchical and formalistic model of governance often clashes with the fluidity of customary systems, especially in decision-making processes, power distribution, and the management of local resources (McWilliam, 2005). The tension between modern state structures and customary societies remains a central challenge to building participatory and inclusive governance.

5. Psychosocial Legacy and Political Culture

Beyond structural dimensions, colonialism also bequeathed a psychosocial legacy manifested in a paternalistic political culture. For centuries, the relationship between rulers and the ruled was framed within blind obedience and verticalism, diminishing

citizen participation in political processes and fostering resistance to deliberative democratic practices (Hohe, 2002). This culture has hindered the development of an active civil society and reinforced elite dominance.

The Portuguese colonial legacy in Timor-Leste cannot be reduced merely to physical or institutional remnants. It exists as a historical structure shaping power relations, social stratification, and political ethics, even in the independence era. To construct a nation-state grounded in cultural identity and social justice, a process of both structural and symbolic decolonization must be continuously pursued. This entails critically revising colonial legacies within state institutions, rehabilitating inclusive customary systems, and cultivating a participatory and egalitarian democratic culture.

6.5. Conclusion

The Portuguese colonization of Timor-Leste, which lasted for over 450 years, was not a process of total domination, but rather a historical dialectic marked by negotiation, resistance, adaptation, and transformation. During this period, the relationship between colonial power and indigenous society was neither singular nor linear. Instead, it unfolded through a dynamic tension between external imposition and internal resilience.

One of the most salient aspects of the colonial era was the adaptation of traditional power structures—especially those centered around the *liurai*—into the colonial framework. Through alliances, administrative co-optation, and symbolic integration with the Catholic religious mission, the *liurai* transitioned from autonomous spiritual and social leaders into ambivalent actors within the colonial structure. In this hybrid role, they retained customary legitimacy while also performing colonial functions imposed by Portuguese authority.

This transformation had a profound impact on local socio-political structures. On the one hand, it gave rise to a new local elite class that functioned as an extension of the colonial state. On the other hand, indigenous communities managed to preserve and transform their traditional value systems, even under the ideological pressures of the Catholic Church and colonial administrators. This interaction generated a hybrid cultural form that exemplifies the remarkable capacity of the Timorese people to absorb new elements without severing their cultural roots.

The legacy of colonialism is also evident in postcolonial institutional structures and social imaginaries, where bureaucracy, social stratification, and hierarchical power relations continue to reflect historical patterns. The persistent tension between the formal structures of the modern nation-state and customary law remains a central challenge in building Timor-Leste as a democratic state rooted in its cultural identity.

Therefore, a deep understanding of the long-term impacts of Portuguese colonization is essential for the socio-political reconstruction and structural decolonization of Timor-Leste. In this process, the *liurai* should not be perceived merely as symbols of the colonial past, but as enduring representations of local community resilience in defending cultural and spiritual sovereignty amidst the pressures of global power.

CHAPTER 7: THE PORTUGUESE POSTCOLONIAL PERIOD

7.1. Introduction

The Portuguese postcolonial period in the context of Timor-Leste refers to the transitional era that began with the Carnation Revolution in Portugal in 1974, which profoundly disrupted the foundations of Portuguese colonial authority worldwide. In Timor-Leste, this historical rupture opened a political space for the rise of nationalism, freer political articulation, and initiatives aimed at building an independent state. However, this postcolonial phase was notably brief, marked by a power vacuum, internal conflict among political factions, and culminating in Indonesia's military intervention in 1975.

Following nearly five centuries under Portuguese colonial rule, Timor-Leste entered a critical phase of transition. The sudden collapse of the Estado Novo regime in Portugal triggered complex socio-political dynamics at the local level. Political fragmentation, the absence of effective administrative authority, and foreign intervention led to profound instability within Timorese society. In this vacuum, the *liurai*—traditional leaders—played a crucial role in preserving social continuity and the cultural identity of their communities.

Some *liurai* became directly involved in the emerging political processes, while others acted as mediators and stabilizers, striving to maintain social harmony amid political chaos. Their role during this period was not merely symbolic; rather, it was deeply practical, as they were recognized and respected local leaders who provided guidance and stability in the absence of formal state authority. The *liurai* thus emerged as key figures in maintaining community cohesion while simultaneously participating in evolving local and national political dynamics.

Understanding the Portuguese postcolonial period is essential for tracing the roots of the 1975 political crisis and for analyzing how colonial legacies and traditional customary structures interacted to shape Timor-Leste's socio-political foundations in the lead-up to independence. This chapter aims to explore how the postcolonial phase shaped Timor-Leste's political and social landscape, with particular emphasis on the involvement and role of the *liurai* during the transition to national sovereignty.

7.2. Urgent Decolonization and the Crisis of Transition

1. The Sudden Decolonization Process

The decolonization of Timor-Leste occurred abruptly and without systematic planning following the fall of the *Estado Novo* regime through Portugal's Carnation Revolution in April 1974. The revolutionary government established in Lisbon swiftly adopted a policy of accelerated decolonization as part of its broader democratic reform agenda and categorical repudiation of colonial legacies (Taylor, 1991).

While progressive within the internal Portuguese context, this policy generated an administrative and political vacuum in colonial territories such as Timor-Leste, which lacked transitional institutions or viable local governance structures to supplant the outgoing colonial authority.

In Timor-Leste, this power vacuum created a fertile space for the rapid emergence of new political parties, notably the *União Democrática Timorense* (UDT), the *Frente Revolucionária de Timor-Leste Independente* (FRETILIN), and the *Associação Popular Democrática Timorense* (APODETI). These factions swiftly gained popular support; however, their rivalry quickly escalated into a violent confrontation, culminating in armed conflict in August 1975 (CAVR, 2005).

2. Structural Inadequacy and Political Fragmentation

This transitional crisis revealed three interrelated structural deficiencies:

- Administrative and institutional unpreparedness: The collapse of colonial structures was not accompanied by the establishment of credible alternative institutions capable of managing the independence process. Portugal failed to create a stable transitional mechanism, resulting in the breakdown of colonial administration before national institutions could be functionally constituted.
- Lack of political reconciliation among factions: The absence of effective dialogue platforms among the principal political parties led to deep fragmentation, inter-group antagonism, and mutual delegitimization among nationalist movements.
- Exclusion of customary-social structures from the decolonization process: Traditional leaders, or *liurai*, who historically maintained social cohesion and safeguarded community identities, were strategically excluded from formal political negotiations. This exclusion severed the continuity between colonial governance and the sociocultural foundations of the aspiring independent state (Therik, 2004).

Due to the absence of robust administrative structures and fragile political cohesion, competition among political groups rapidly degenerated into a brief civil war, severely undermining internal stability (Dunn, 1983).

3. The Dilemma of Traditional Authority in Modern Political Dynamics

Amidst this volatile transition, Timor-Leste's traditional social structures experienced a profound disorientation. The *liurai* found themselves caught in a dilemma: whether to preserve their traditional legitimacy or adapt to the emergent modern political order.

Many *liurai* adopted diverse positions—some aligned themselves with political parties whose platforms resonated with customary values, while others concentrated on safeguarding the integrity of their local communities from the destructive consequences of national conflict. Nonetheless, the broader marginalization of customary institutions during the decolonization process contributed to social fragmentation and the erosion of traditional authority, which had long underpinned societal stability (Therik, 2004).

4. A Vacuum for External Intervention

The rushed and unstructured decolonization process not only weakened Timor-Leste's internal cohesion but also created an opportunity for external intervention. Indonesia, supported by certain regional geopolitical actors, capitalized on this vacuum by launching a military invasion and annexation in December 1975 (Dunn, 1983).

This decolonization crisis constituted a critical turning point in Timor-Leste's history. The failure to construct solid institutional and political foundations, coupled with external geopolitical pressures, propelled the country into a new era of occupation that would endure for nearly a quarter of a century.

An in-depth understanding of this crisis is essential for tracing the roots of the political tensions that shaped Timor-Leste's arduous path toward sovereignty. The next chapter will explore how customary structures and the *liurai* continued to endure and contribute to preserving the collective identity of Timorese society amid a profoundly unstable socio-political environment.

7.3. The Role of the *Liurai* During the Transitional Period

The post-colonial transition period in Timor-Leste (1974–1975), following Portuguese withdrawal, was marked by a vacuum of formal authority, inter-factional political conflict, and the absence of a robust national governance structure. Amidst this disorder, the *liurai* (traditional local rulers) played a strategic role in maintaining social stability, symbolizing cultural continuity, and mediating between the traditional world and the emerging modern political order (Traube, 1986; Therik, 2004).

1. Guardians of Social Order and Community Security

With the collapse of Portuguese colonial authority, local communities naturally reverted to traditional systems of governance, placing renewed trust in the leadership of the *liurai*. These leaders mediated internal conflicts, preserved public order, and upheld social cohesion through widely respected customary institutions (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). In several areas, *liurai* assumed de facto administrative roles, effectively replacing the absent state apparatus.

During the national identity crisis, *liurai* served as social and moral anchors amidst the chaos, providing essential stability at the grassroots level (Therik, 2004).

2. Symbols of Local Legitimacy and Communal Identity

Amidst political uncertainty, the presence of *liurai* served as a symbol of local legitimacy that reinforced communal identities within customary societies. Their functions extended beyond administration to include spiritual and genealogical roles, linking communities to a long-standing tradition of local sovereignty (Traube, 1986). Often, trust in the *liurai* surpassed that placed in newly emergent political actors.

As respected authority figures, *liurai* played a critical role in preserving social cohesion, preventing the disintegration of customary communities in the face of sharp political polarization.

3. Mediators Between Traditional Structures and Modern Politics

Some *liurai* chose to engage in national politics by aligning with factions such as FRETILIN, UDT, or APODETI, while others adopted neutral positions to safeguard the integrity of their communities (CAVR, 2005). In this context, the *liurai* acted as intermediaries between nationalist elites and traditional populations unfamiliar with party politics and modern nationalist ideologies.

Their involvement demonstrates that traditional leadership did not merely endure but also adapted to the demands of a complex political transition.

4. Cultural Resilience and Customary Law Amidst Institutional Absence

In the absence of a functioning state, customary legal institutions such as *tara bandu* continued to operate under the authority of the *liurai* (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). Spiritual practices, customary law, and communal rituals persisted, safeguarding cultural identity and maintaining social order within Timorese society.

The *liurai* thus served as the final bastions of communal identity, defending local values amidst the onslaught of modern political changes that often disregarded traditional cultural dimensions.

5. Marginalization in National Historiography

Despite their significant contributions to social stability and cultural identity during the transitional period, the role of the *liurai* is frequently overlooked in official national historiography, which tends to focus predominantly on political parties and military actors (CAVR, 2005). Their contributions are often relegated to a bygone era deemed irrelevant to the construction of the modern state.

However, socio-historical realities indicate that without the involvement of the *liurai*, many local communities would likely have collapsed under the weight of political instability.

7.4. The End of the Post-Colonial Period and the Beginning of the Indonesian Occupation

1. The Collapse of the Portuguese Colonial Structure and Institutional Vacuum

The conclusion of the Portuguese post-colonial period in Timor-Leste was marked by the disintegration of colonial governance structures, the intensification of conflict among domestic political factions, and a resultant power vacuum that triggered a national sovereignty crisis. The Carnation Revolution in Portugal in 1974, which overthrew the Estado Novo regime, left the colonial administration in Timor-Leste disoriented and unsupported by the metropole. Consequently, the decolonization process, which ought to have been structured and gradual, instead became abrupt and incomplete.

During the transitional period of 1974–1975, Timor-Leste experienced a fragile interregnum, wherein competing political factions such as FRETILIN, UDT, and APODETI vied to fill the power void amid heightened uncertainty (Jolliffe, 1978).

Diverging ideologies and foreign interests exacerbated internal divisions, culminating in armed confrontations. Before a new state could be consolidated, Indonesian military intervention had already begun.

2. Indonesian Military Invasion and the Crisis of Sovereignty

On 28 November 1975, FRETILIN unilaterally declared Timor-Leste's independence. However, within days—on 7 December 1975—Indonesia launched "Operation Seroja," a full-scale military invasion of the territory. This act effectively terminated the brief post-colonial phase and initiated an era of Indonesian occupation that would last for nearly a quarter of a century.

Indonesia justified its annexation on the basis of a request allegedly issued by APODETI and through diplomatic pressure exerted on the international community, which at the time was reluctant to intervene directly in the decolonization process of Timor-Leste (Taylor, 1999). This episode underscored the failure of the Portuguese colonial regime to ensure a sovereign and democratic institutional transition and exposed the inadequacy of international mechanisms in safeguarding a legitimate decolonization process.

The occupation inaugurated a new historical phase characterized by military repression, armed resistance, and widespread human rights violations.

3. The Fate of the *Liurai* and Customary Structures

Within the local socio-political context, the traditional *liurai* (customary kings or chiefs) were subject to extraordinary pressures. Amid shifting geopolitical dynamics and the imposition of a centralized Indonesian military-administrative order, some *liurai*

adapted to the new bureaucratic system, while others sought to preserve their legitimacy through traditional, customary roles.

Customary governance, which had historically held a central position in communal life, was increasingly marginalized or formally suppressed. The modern state structure introduced by Indonesia often regarded indigenous institutions as incompatible with its desired bureaucratic framework.

Despite the diversity of responses, many *liurai* maintained their roles as custodians of communal identity and cultural heritage in the face of state repression. Some were even clandestinely involved in resistance networks or facilitated social protection through customary and spiritual mechanisms (CAVR, 2005).

Amidst this national crisis, the *liurai* once again demonstrated their enduring function as guardians of communal continuity rooted in local customs and values, even under conditions of intense militaristic control.

4. Symbolism of National Crisis and Geopolitical Complexity

The transition from the post-colonial period to the era of Indonesian occupation symbolizes the systemic failure of the colonial apparatus to facilitate a sovereign path to independence. Indonesia's intervention also reflected the broader geopolitical dynamics of the Cold War and the struggle for regional dominance in Southeast Asia.

Indonesia's involvement was driven by strategic imperatives to prevent the emergence of a small, potentially left-leaning state. Simultaneously, the lack of consistent international support for Timor-Leste's right to self-determination exacerbated the nation's suffering for over two decades.

The *liurai* and customary communities were not merely passive witnesses but key social actors who persisted and contributed to the preservation of identity and collective dignity during one of the darkest chapters in Timor-Leste's modern history.

7.5. Conclusion

This chapter affirms that the Portuguese post-colonial period in Timor-Leste was not merely a passive phase of administrative transition but a profound structural crisis encompassing national identity, political legitimacy, and the struggle for sovereignty amidst institutional void. Internal instability, marked by the fragmentation of political elites and the fragility of nascent state structures, converged with external geopolitical interventions, accelerating the demise of a moment of near-attained independence.

Within this context, the role of the *liurai* (customary rulers) became increasingly vital as anchors of social stability amid the collapse of the colonial system and the absence of a functioning modern state. The *liurai* were not only executors of customary and spiritual functions but also strategic political and social agents—mediating conflicts, upholding customary law, and preserving community cohesion. In the vacuum left by failing formal institutions, the customary system led by the *liurai* emerged as a socio-political resource sustaining local integrity.

Therefore, traditional roles cannot be sidelined in the post-colonial historical narrative of Timor-Leste. The *liurai* were not merely historical remnants but contemporary actors who contributed to cultural continuity and social resilience. This chapter serves as a bridge to the subsequent section: the Indonesian occupation and its political, social, and cultural consequences—a new era that presented no less complex challenges.

PART III: THE INDONESIAN OCCUPATION

CHAPTER 8: THE INDONESIAN INVASION AND MILITARY OCCUPATION (1975–1999)

8.1. Introduction

The Indonesian military invasion of Timor-Leste on 7 December 1975 constituted a decisive and catastrophic rupture in the trajectory of the nation's modern history. Initiated under the codename *Operasi Seroja* (Operation Lotus), this military incursion terminated the tenuous post-colonial transitional period following Portuguese withdrawal and inaugurated a protracted era of occupation that endured for nearly a quarter-century. The Indonesian state officially justified its intervention through a triad of strategic imperatives: the preservation of regional stability, the containment of communism in Southeast Asia, and the purported integration of Timor-Leste into the sovereign territorial framework of the Republic of Indonesia (Taylor, 1999; Robinson, 2003).

In practice, however, the occupation was characterized by a militarized governance model marked by coercion, violence, and repression. This model precipitated wide-ranging transformations in Timorese society, including systemic violations of human rights, the disruption of local governance structures, and the deliberate erosion of customary institutions that had historically undergirded communal cohesion (CAVR, 2005).

Amid these upheavals, traditional leaders—*liurai*—were thrust into an ambivalent and precarious position. On the one hand, they were compelled to engage with Indonesian military and administrative apparatuses to ensure the preservation of their societal roles; on the other, they bore the burden of maintaining their moral and cultural

legitimacy in the eyes of communities suffering under the weight of occupation. Although the Indonesian regime officially abolished the administrative functions of the *liurai*, relegating them to the realm of the "traditional" and thereby excluding them from formal governance, their socio-cultural influence persisted. In many localities, *liurai* continued to operate informally as mediators of conflict, custodians of customary law, and symbolic anchors of cultural identity—resisting marginalization through adaptive resilience.

Thus, the Indonesian occupation must not be analyzed solely through the lens of macro-political geopolitics. It is equally essential to interrogate the complex interactions between military power and traditional socio-political institutions at the local level. This chapter therefore aims to examine the occupation as both a structural imposition and a lived reality. Particular emphasis will be placed on the militarization of the territory, the sociocultural impact upon indigenous communities, the transformed status of the *liurai* within the imposed governance framework, and the multifaceted forms of resistance—both overt and covert—that emerged. These included not only organized armed struggle but also cultural and spiritual strategies of endurance and defiance.

8.2. Political and Strategic Reasons for Indonesia’s Invasion

The Indonesian military invasion of Timor-Leste in 1975 constituted a calculated maneuver rooted in the New Order regime’s geopolitical strategy under President Suharto. Embedded within the broader dynamics of the Cold War, the invasion aimed to preserve internal state stability and preempt perceived external threats. This section systematically examines five principal dimensions underpinning the invasion: fear of communism, geopolitical interests, tacit Western support, Timor-Leste’s internal crisis, and international consequences.

1. Fear of Communism and National Stability

The emergence of the Frente Revolucionária de Timor-Leste Independente (FRETILIN) was perceived by the Indonesian government as a serious threat to national integrity. FRETILIN's left-leaning ideology—within the post-1965 context of Indonesia's vehement anti-communist stance—was construed as an ideological menace reminiscent of the communist specter once associated with the PKI (Indonesian Communist Party) (Taylor, 1999). The primary fear was that the establishment of a socialist state adjacent to Indonesia's eastern border, particularly near the volatile region of Nusa Tenggara Timur, could embolden separatist movements in Aceh, Papua, and the Moluccas (Nevins, 2005). Hence, the invasion was justified as a preemptive measure to safeguard national cohesion and to suppress ideological contagion.

2. Geopolitical Interests and Regional Expansion

Strategically, the island of Timor occupies a vital geographical location. Control over Timor-Leste reinforced Indonesia's presence in the southern archipelago and provided access to potential natural resources, notably oil and gas reserves in the Timor Sea (Fernandes, 2011). Within the broader framework of regional expansionism, the annexation was perceived as an effort to consolidate Indonesia's role as a dominant power in Southeast Asia while counterbalancing external influence, particularly from the West and the Communist bloc.

3. Tacit Support from Western Powers

Indonesia's decision to invade did not occur in a political vacuum; it was tacitly endorsed by key Western actors, especially the United States and Australia. Declassified documents reveal that U.S. President Gerald Ford and Secretary of State

Henry Kissinger, during their official visit to Jakarta on 6 December 1975, were informed of the invasion plans and offered implicit approval, on the condition that the operation commence only after their departure (Simpson, 2004). Australia, prioritizing regional stability and economic interests, adopted a pragmatic stance to secure its strategic and commercial access to the Timor Gap's energy reserves (Fernandes, 2011). Such covert endorsement emboldened the Suharto regime, reinforcing the perception that military intervention would not provoke significant diplomatic retaliation.

4. Internal Political Crisis in Timor-Leste and the Integration Maneuver

Internally, Timor-Leste was engulfed in acute political instability in the lead-up to the invasion. Armed conflict among rival parties—União Democrática Timorense (UDT), FRETILIN, and Associação Popular Democrática Timorense (APODETI)—escalated into a brief civil war, which critically weakened the fledgling state's institutional capacity (Taylor, 1999). Exploiting this power vacuum, the Indonesian government orchestrated the formation of the so-called People's Representative Council (Dewan Petisi Rakyat), which formally "requested" integration into Indonesia. However, this declaration, which became the legal basis for annexation in July 1976, was illegitimate under international law, as it was not the result of a free and fair referendum as required by the United Nations Charter and subsequent General Assembly Resolutions (UNGA, 1975; Resolutions 384 & 389).

5. Consequences and International Response

Initially, the international reaction to Indonesia's invasion was relatively muted, largely due to geopolitical and economic considerations. Nonetheless, reports of grave human rights violations in Timor-Leste gradually captured international attention during the late 1970s and early 1980s (Robinson, 2003; CAVR, 2005). Non-governmental organizations, the Catholic Church, and the Timorese diaspora played a

critical role in internationalizing the issue and mobilizing transnational solidarity. The Santa Cruz massacre of 1991 served as a pivotal moment, galvanizing global public opinion and catalysing increased support for Timor-Leste's independence movement.

In summary, the 1975 Indonesian invasion of Timor-Leste was an expression of expansionist policy anchored in national security imperatives and regional geopolitical calculations. The convergence of tacit Western complicity and Timor-Leste's internal political crisis created an opportune environment for annexation—despite the clear contravention of international legal norms concerning the right to self-determination. Indonesia's violent approach not only triggered a prolonged humanitarian crisis but also ignited resilient popular resistance and international solidarity, which ultimately contributed to Timor-Leste's eventual path to sovereignty.

8.3. The Dynamics of Local Power: *Liurai* under the Occupation Regime

The Indonesian military occupation of Timor-Leste (1975–1999) brought about profound transformations in local power structures, including the position and functions of *liurai* as traditional leaders. The Indonesian regime, particularly under President Suharto's New Order, recognized the strategic importance of grassroots social control. Consequently, it integrated local power structures into the state administrative system through the co-optation of *liurai* (Comissão de Acolhimento, Verdade e Reconciliação de Timor-Leste [CAVR], 2005).

1. Co-optation of *Liurai* by the Military Administrative Structure

In consolidating power over Timor-Leste, many *liurai* were appointed to formal administrative roles such as village heads (*kepala desa*), subdistrict chiefs (*camat*), or members of local legislative councils (*DPRD*), tasked primarily with maintaining

administrative and social stability under close military supervision. These power structures were integrated into the territorial command system through a network of District Military Commands (*Kodim*) and Subdistrict Military Commands (*Koramil*). This policy aimed to suppress potential local resistance while simultaneously legitimizing the occupation (CAVR, 2005).

However, the role of *liurai* within this system was often ambiguous, presenting unavoidable moral and political dilemmas. They were compelled to demonstrate loyalty to the Indonesian government while simultaneously maintaining their traditional authority within their communities. Some *liurai* refused collaboration and chose to join resistance movements such as Falintil, while others adapted to protect their communities from the brutality of military repression.

2. Ambiguity of Roles: Collaboration or Covert Resistance

The appointment of *liurai* to administrative posts created ambiguity in the eyes of local communities. Some were perceived as collaborators due to their proximity to occupation forces, while others used their formal positions as a shield to protect their communities from military violence (Traube, 1986). In practice, a number of *liurai* sought to preserve their socio-cultural autonomy by continuing to manage traditional rituals, dispute resolutions, and the enforcement of customary law (*tara bandu*).

In certain regions, *liurai* also discreetly supported the resistance by providing shelter, logistical support, and communication networks to pro-independence fighters such as Falintil (Cristalis & Scott, 2005). This underscores the adaptive flexibility of the *liurai* institution, even when formally marginalized or suppressed by military authorities.

3. Marginalization of Traditional Authority

Despite co-optation efforts, the traditional authority of *liurai* was generally eroded during the occupation. The military's centralist approach shifted the locus of power from customary structures to state bureaucracy and security apparatuses. District and subdistrict military commanders held de facto control over political and social decisions, reducing *liurai* to mere administrative symbols, stripped of their previous moral and social autonomy within traditional systems (Robinson, 2003).

Moreover, policies of forced resettlement and large-scale military operations devastated many traditional communities, thereby weakening the social base upon which *liurai* authority had historically rested.

4. Social Fragmentation and Community Polarization

Military occupation also exacerbated local-level social fragmentation. Communities were often divided in their assessment of the *liurai*'s role: some viewed them as protectors, others as traitors. This polarization complicated post-occupation reconciliation and left deep social scars within Timor-Leste's traditional community structures (CAVR, 2005).

The boundary between collaboration and resistance became increasingly blurred. Some *liurai* continued to perform their socio-cultural functions informally, outside state structures, thus demonstrating the enduring resilience of traditional institutions under the pressures of modern military colonialism (Traube, 1986).

5. Resilience and Adaptation of *Liurai* under a Repressive Regime

Despite immense pressures, many *liurai* retained their role as guardians of cultural identity. They employed traditional symbolism, religious rituals, and ancestral social networks to sustain communal continuity. Even under repressive conditions, *liurai* exhibited resilience and creativity in navigating political transformations (Cristalis & Scott, 2005).

In many instances, covert cultural diplomacy strategies enabled *liurai* to shield their communities from the most extreme forms of military repression.

The Indonesian occupation fundamentally transformed the role of the *liurai*, shifting them from semi-autonomous leaders to components of the occupying state's administrative apparatus. However, the dynamics that unfolded reveal that *liurai* were not merely passive feudal elites, but rather dynamic socio-political actors capable of adapting to external pressures. Through strategies of co-optation, passive resistance, and the protection of traditional communities, *liurai* maintained their socio-cultural relevance, enriching our understanding of the resilience of traditional power under the conditions of modern military colonialism.

8.4. Structural Violence and Social Resistance

The Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste from 1975 to 1999 unfolded within a framework of structural violence, political repression, and the disruption of local socio-cultural systems. The militaristic approach adopted by the New Order regime (Orde Baru) induced drastic transformations in the societal structures of Timor-Leste, while simultaneously provoking resilient and creative forms of resistance across various social, cultural, and political domains.

1. Systematic Violence and Social Disruption

From the onset of the occupation, Indonesian military operations in Timor-Leste were characterized by widespread human rights violations, including mass killings, forced displacement, arbitrary detention, torture, and the imposition of forced labor (Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation in Timor-Leste [CAVR], 2005). Traditional social structures—historically governed by customary law and led by local rulers (liurai)—were severely strained by a politics of total control executed through a hierarchical military command structure. The military apparatus dominated socio-political life, suppressing freedom of expression and dismantling indigenous social networks (Robinson, 2003).

In daily life, a systematic process of "normalization" was undertaken, introducing new administrative units such as neighborhood and hamlet heads (RT/RW) and village chiefs, which weakened local cultural authority and severed generational ties with customary values (Therik, 2004). These measures significantly altered the social and cultural fabric of local communities. National integration projects, frequently implemented through territorial militarization, often clashed with the centuries-old traditions of Timorese society.

2. Marginalization of Local Languages and Cultures

As part of national integration policy, the Indonesian language was mandated across all educational and governmental sectors, while indigenous languages such as Tetun, Mambae, and Fataluku were marginalized (Fox, 2003). Language, as a primary medium of cultural transmission, became a central target of homogenization, accelerating intergenerational disconnect in the transmission of values, norms, and local identities.

Customary rituals—serving as communal bonds and expressions of collective identity—were tightly controlled or outright prohibited if deemed contrary to state ideology. This undermined the community's spiritual relationships with ancestral lands and disrupted the oral traditions and social practices foundational to Timorese identity (Therik, 2004).

3. Transformation of Land and Economic Structures

Programs of transmigration and the construction of strategic infrastructure—such as military bases and highways—brought about profound changes in land use patterns. Many indigenous communities lost access to ancestral lands due to forced relocations or state exploitation of natural resources (Nevins, 2005). In numerous instances, sacred lands were converted into military facilities or sites of economic exploitation, symbolically and materially severing communities from their ancestral heritage.
These disruptions to ecological and spiritual relations eliminated a key pillar of both the identity and livelihood of Timor-Leste's populations.

4. Guerrilla Resistance and Indigenous Community Support

Amidst structural repression, the Timorese resistance manifested in diverse forms. The Falintil (Forças Armadas da Libertação Nacional de Timor-Leste) conducted armed guerrilla operations in mountainous and interior regions, drawing moral and logistical support from local communities, including some liurai and customary leaders (Cristalis & Scott, 2005).

Liurai who remained loyal to customary values played pivotal roles in sheltering resistance fighters, maintaining logistical networks, and preserving community morale. Their support was not only pragmatic but also symbolic—affirming the continuity of national identity in the face of the occupying power's homogenizing project.

Although not all liurai were directly engaged in politics, their symbolic roles as custodians of identity and collective memory remained vital in sustaining the spirit of resistance.

5. Cultural Resistance and Social Diplomacy

Beyond armed struggle, non-military forms of resistance also emerged. Folk songs, hidden family rituals, and customary symbols were embedded within religious practices to clandestinely preserve Timorese identity. Local culture endured through intelligent and discreet means, demonstrating that even as traditional social structures were systematically dismantled, cultural meanings survived through forms of cultural resistance.

In urban areas, student networks, Catholic Church organizations, and the Timorese diaspora played key roles in advocating for self-determination on the international diplomatic stage (Robinson, 2003).

The Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste epitomized a repressive military regime intent on dismantling local social and cultural structures. However, amidst the structural violence, the Timorese people cultivated diverse and innovative forms of resistance—through armed struggle, cultural preservation, and the resilience of traditional social networks. The role of the liurai, though transformed and limited, remained significant in sustaining communal continuity and the national liberation spirit under a brutal occupation.

8.5. Conclusion

The Indonesian invasion and subsequent occupation of Timor-Leste was not merely a political and military conflict; rather, it constituted a systemic intervention that dismantled the social, cultural, and spiritual structures of the local population. Through the implementation of a militaristic approach and the bureaucratization of power, the Indonesian government sought to integrate Timor-Leste into the framework of the Indonesian nation-state—albeit at the expense of customary rights, cultural identity, and traditional social systems that had evolved over centuries (Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation in Timor-Leste [CAVR], 2005; Robinson, 2003).

Within this context, the liurai—as local indigenous leaders—faced immense pressure to conform to the newly imposed colonial order, which was grounded in militarism and bureaucratic governance. Some were able to navigate this imposed system by transforming their traditional authority into roles within the administrative structures of the occupying state. Others, however, experienced delegitimation, a loss of social influence, or chose paths of resistance—whether through overt opposition or through more covert forms of cultural defiance (Cristalis & Scott, 2005; Therik, 2004). A number of liurai also maintained cultural autonomy through discreet strategies of adaptation.

This period of occupation represents a pivotal chapter in understanding the complexity of the interface between tradition and the modern state, as well as how local communities sought to preserve cultural autonomy under conditions of colonial domination. Despite facing systematic repression, the people of Timor-Leste demonstrated extraordinary cultural and political resilience—expressed through customary solidarity networks, clandestine ritual practices, and both armed and diplomatic resistance movements (Fox, 2003; Nevins, 2005).

The legacy of these experiences laid the groundwork for the national reconstruction project following the restoration of independence in 2002. The preservation of local identities, the reintegration of customary systems into sociopolitical life, and the pursuit of historical justice have since become integral components of Timor-Leste's journey toward reconciliation and sustainable development.

Thus, understanding the role and dynamics of the liurai during the Indonesian occupation offers critical insight into the resilience of collective identity, the struggle for sovereign rights, and the ongoing efforts to construct a free and independent state founded on both historical memory and cultural integrity.

CHAPTER 9: LOCAL AND INTERNATIONAL RESISTANCE TO THE INDONESIAN OCCUPATION (1975–1999)

9.1. Introduction

The Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste, initiated by the military invasion in 1975, was not merely a phase of political and military domination. Rather, it catalyzed multifaceted resistance by the Timorese people. This resistance unfolded across two principal fronts: domestically, through clandestine networks, armed struggle, and customary-based social systems; and internationally, through diasporic diplomacy, global civil society solidarity, and human rights advocacy in international forums (CAVR, 2005; Taylor, 1999).

The Indonesian military occupation marked one of the darkest chapters in the history of the Timorese struggle for nationhood. Yet, amidst the ruins of conflict and repressive domination emerged a compelling narrative of collective resilience, popular resistance, and relentless diplomatic efforts on the global stage. Resistance to Indonesian colonialism was not merely a military confrontation, but rather a multidimensional struggle encompassing social, cultural, spiritual, and political dimensions.

At the local level, customary structures and traditional communities played a fundamental role in sustaining the social and logistical resilience of the resistance movement. Traditional leaders (liurai), customary elders, and community networks remained crucial sources of collective identity and spiritual strength, reinforcing national resistance in the face of military repression (Therik, 2004; Cristalis & Scott, 2005). They provided not only moral and material support to resistance movements such as Falintil, but also acted as custodians of cultural values amidst the campaign of forced assimilation. The local resistance movement cannot be dissociated from the

vitality of customary institutions embedded in Timorese society, making the role of tribal communities a spiritual and logistical cornerstone of both armed and civil resistance efforts.

Simultaneously, on the global front, the struggle of the Timorese people garnered moral and political support from numerous international organizations, the Catholic Church, human rights communities, and global solidarity networks. Diplomatic campaigns orchestrated by the Timorese diaspora succeeded in raising global awareness of ongoing human rights violations and in building consistent international pressure against the Indonesian government (Nevins, 2005; Robinson, 2003). This international support provided moral and political legitimacy to the Timorese people's struggle on the global stage.

This chapter aims to systematically examine the dynamics of both local—armed and civil—and international resistance to the Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste. The analysis focuses on the forms of underground movements, customary and cultural resistance, international diplomacy, and the synergy between local and global forces in defending the identity, rights, and sovereignty of the Timorese nation, culminating in the historic 1999 independence referendum.

9.2. The Armed Resistance Movement: Falintil and the Role of the People

The armed resistance against the Indonesian occupation in Timor-Leste constituted one of the principal forms of resistance that underpinned the protracted struggle of the Timorese people against foreign domination. This movement was led by the *Forças Armadas de Libertação Nacional de Timor-Leste* (Falintil), which initially emerged as the military wing of the *Frente Revolucionária de Timor-Leste Independente* (FRETILIN) and later evolved into a non-partisan national force (Cristalis, 2002; CAVR, 2005). The

resistance developed into a complex movement integrating military capability, traditional social structures, community participation, and ideological resilience.

1. The Formation and Evolution of Falintil

Falintil was founded in 1975 as an integral part of Timor-Leste's nationalist project, aiming to defend its newly declared independence from the imminent threat of Indonesian annexation (Cristalis, 2002). Initially tasked with protecting the transitional government of FRETILIN, Falintil underwent a strategic transformation following the Indonesian invasion in December 1975. Under the leadership of Xanana Gusmão, Falintil became a national, non-partisan force transcending party ideological boundaries, and adopted an inclusive approach aimed at fostering national unity in the face of foreign occupation (CAVR, 2005).

2. Guerrilla Strategy and Support from Local Communities

Facing a vastly superior Indonesian military force in terms of numbers and equipment, Falintil adopted classical guerrilla warfare strategies. Operating from remote, mountainous regions, the resistance movement capitalized on Timor-Leste's rugged terrain while forging strong bonds with local communities (CAVR, 2005). Village support proved essential for sustaining logistics, mobilization, protection, and intelligence. Civilians provided food, shelter, and vital information about Indonesian troop movements, and maintained clandestine communication networks despite major military offensives such as "Operasi Seroja."

3. The Involvement of *Liurai* and Traditional Social Structures

The role of *liurai* (traditional kings) and customary leaders was central in fortifying resistance networks. These leaders were not only symbolic figures of traditional

authority but also active agents who provided logistical support, protection, and communication channels between guerrilla units and civilian populations (Traube, 1986). The spiritual and social backing of the *liurai* strengthened the moral legitimacy of the resistance, affirming the continuity between traditional values and modern national aspirations.

In several cases, *liurai* directly harbored Falintil fighters, protected them through customary frameworks, or facilitated assistance through ritualistic and religious means, demonstrating a deep integration between military struggle and Timorese cultural structures.

4. The Participation of Women in Resistance Networks

Women played active and pivotal roles in the resistance, transcending traditional domestic roles. They functioned as secret couriers, coordinated communication between guerrilla units, facilitated logistical operations, and mobilized local solidarity networks (Cristalis, 2002). Amidst intense military and social pressures, women sustained the vitality of community networks, maintaining supply chains for food, medicine, and intelligence, thus affirming that Timor-Leste's resistance was holistic and involved all societal strata.

5. The Moral and Ideological Resilience of the Timorese People

Beyond the military dimension, the Timorese struggle represented a profound expression of moral and ideological resilience against foreign domination (Nevins, 2005). The national identity forged through narratives of suffering, colonial oppression, and the aspiration for self-determination became an inner source of strength that sustained the resistance across decades of brutal occupation. Values such as

communal solidarity, respect for human dignity, and collective spirituality formed the ideological foundations of resistance.

This resilience stemmed not merely from military skill but from a deeply rooted collective identity, shared historical memory, and enduring hope for genuine independence. By upholding these values, the people of Timor-Leste withstood torture, extermination campaigns, and international neglect—until the culmination of their struggle in the achievement of full independence in 1999.

9.3. Civil and Cultural Resistance

In addition to armed resistance, the people of Timor-Leste developed forms of civil and cultural resistance that became critical instruments for preserving national identity during the Indonesian occupation. This resistance did not always manifest in direct confrontation but was instead embedded in alternative educational networks, religious practices, artistic expressions, and the strengthening of local cultures. These efforts demonstrated that Timorese struggle was not solely rooted in military force, but also in spiritual, moral, and cultural power.

1. The Role of the Catholic Church in Protection and Resistance

The Catholic Church played a central role in the civil struggle of Timor-Leste. Under the leadership of figures such as Bishop Carlos Filipe Ximenes Belo, the Church functioned as a guardian of humanitarian values and the dignity of the Timorese people (Belo, 1997). Amidst strict military repression, the Church remained one of the few institutions possessing relative moral autonomy and capable of voicing injustice. Through both implicit and explicit sermons, messages of resistance against violence and foreign domination were conveyed, positioning the Church as both a spiritual center and an informal diplomatic space. The Catholic faithful—predominantly

indigenous communities—viewed the Church as a refuge for cultural preservation and human dignity.

In many instances, cathedrals and monasteries served as shelters, educational spaces, and even platforms for informal diplomacy. Priests often embedded resistance messages within homilies, despite the personal risks involved in such actions.

2. Alternative Education and Identity Awareness

In the face of assimilationist policies and formal education rooted in the ideology of Indonesia's New Order regime, local groups—including clergy and young activists—developed clandestine alternative educational initiatives (Cristalis, 2002). Teachings on Timorese history, the use of local languages such as Tetun, and discussions on human rights were conducted discreetly in homes and small churches. This underground education played a vital role in awakening the nationalist consciousness of a new generation that would later become key actors in the independence process. Moreover, it served as a medium for reinforcing Timorese collective identity under the pressure of Indonesian nationalization policies.

3. Cultural Preservation as a Form of Resistance

Traditional rituals, dances, folk music, and the use of vernacular languages were maintained covertly as acts of resistance against the Indonesian national integration project (Fox, 2003). Even under tight surveillance, communities preserved cultural expressions as a means of fortifying collective identity and commemorating local historical narratives. These traditional cultural practices, including oral storytelling and customary symbols, became modes of articulating cultural resistance that proved difficult to eradicate through military force. Thus, Timorese traditional culture

functioned not only as ancestral heritage but also as a political weapon in safeguarding the nation's existence.

4. Art and Literature as a Medium of Resistance

Visual art, music, and literature became essential tools in the civil struggle. Murals, hand-drawn illustrations, poetry, and resistance songs that expressed social critique and the spirit of resistance were disseminated clandestinely within communities (Cristalis, 2002). National symbols, stories of local heroes, and depictions of public suffering were employed to uphold the narrative of struggle in domains beyond the reach of state censorship. These activities were often organized secretly in homes or within religious communities.

Art served as a medium to document and express the pain, hope, and nationalism of the Timorese people, ultimately playing a significant role in building the collective memory of the national struggle.

5. Social Mobilization and Solidarity Networks

Domestic civil resistance was intricately linked with international diplomatic efforts carried out by the Timorese diaspora (Nevins, 2005). Solidarity networks established among domestic activists, the Catholic Church, and the international community strengthened the legitimacy of Timor-Leste's independence movement on the global stage. Figures such as Monsignor Carlos Filipe Ximenes Belo and José Ramos-Horta were instrumental in voicing the suffering of the Timorese people in international forums—an endeavor that was globally acknowledged when both received the Nobel Peace Prize in 1996.

This mobilization expanded diplomatic networks, solidified transnational solidarity, and hastened the delegitimization of the Indonesian occupation in the eyes of the international community. Therefore, civil and cultural forces served as moral, spiritual, and political foundations that not only complemented the armed struggle but also laid a robust groundwork for the realization of Timor-Leste's independence.

9.4. International Solidarity: Diplomacy and Global Opinion

During the Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste (1975–1999), the struggle of the Timorese people was not limited to local resistance but was strategically extended through international diplomacy and global solidarity. This movement became a vital pillar in broadening the legitimacy of Timor-Leste's cause in the eyes of the international community and in strengthening its claim to the right of national self-determination.

1. The Role of the Timorese Diaspora and Grassroots Diplomacy

The Timorese diaspora in countries such as Australia, Portugal, Mozambique, Ireland, Japan, Brazil, and the United States played a strategic role in advocating for international recognition of the suffering endured by the Timorese people (Taylor, 1999). Through grassroots diplomacy, they established extensive networks of solidarity with civil society organizations, journalists, and human rights institutions. Figures such as José Ramos-Horta spearheaded global diplomacy by bringing to international forums—such as the United Nations (UN) and the European Union—issues related to gross human rights violations, massacres, and cultural repression.

In addition to Ramos-Horta, the Bishop of Dili, Carlos Filipe Ximenes Belo, played a central role in raising global awareness of the plight of the Timorese people. Both

leaders served as informal ambassadors, advocating for independence not through arms, but through speeches, letters, and advocacy in the streets of major world cities.

2. The Impact of the Santa Cruz Massacre on Global Opinion

A pivotal moment that shifted global opinion was the Santa Cruz Massacre on 12 November 1991. In this incident, more than 250 peaceful demonstrators were killed by Indonesian soldiers at the Santa Cruz cemetery in Dili (Kohen, 1999). Visual footage of the massacre, disseminated by international media, provoked worldwide outrage and elevated Timor-Leste from a marginal issue to a matter of international urgency. This tragedy intensified diplomatic pressure on the Indonesian government and catalyzed the consolidation of international solidarity with the Timorese people.

3. The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations and Human Rights Activists

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), human rights activists, and global church networks played a critical role in advocating for the rights of the Timorese people. These actors organized advocacy campaigns, lobbied their national governments, and urged international institutions to condemn the human rights violations occurring in Timor-Leste (Nevins, 2005). The support from organizations such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and various global solidarity networks conferred crucial moral and political legitimacy to the independence movement.

4. The UN's Position and the Legitimacy of International Law

For more than two decades, the United Nations did not formally recognize Timor-Leste's integration into the Republic of Indonesia. UN General Assembly and Security Council Resolutions, such as Resolutions 384 and 389 (1975–1976), consistently affirmed the right of the Timorese people to self-determination and called for a

peaceful resolution through democratic processes (United Nations General Assembly Resolutions 384 & 389, 1975–1976). The continuity of this legal position provided a robust foundation for Timor-Leste's diplomatic struggle and ensured the persistence of the issue on the international agenda.

5. Diplomatic Victory and the 1999 Referendum

The combination of meticulous global diplomacy and a strong international solidarity network ultimately culminated in success in 1999. Following sustained international pressure and political reform in post-Suharto Indonesia, the Timorese people were granted the opportunity to participate in a UN-supervised referendum. The result showed an overwhelming majority in favor of independence, thereby ending the Indonesian occupation and paving the way for Timor-Leste's full sovereignty (CAVR, 2005).

Hence, the struggle for Timor-Leste's independence demonstrates that the liberation of a nation is not determined solely by military force, but also by moral authority, global solidarity, and the perseverance of international diplomacy.

9.5. Conclusion

The resistance against the Indonesian occupation of Timor-Leste represents a complex dynamic characterized by the synergy between local forces and international solidarity networks. This movement was led not only by formal actors such as FALINTIL and nationalist political leaders but also by youth, indigenous communities, and *liurai* (traditional rulers) who served as custodians of the Timorese people's social and cultural identity.

At the local level, traditional authority and community-based customary networks formed the foundation of social resilience in the face of military repression and cultural assimilation. The *liurai* and customary leaders played a crucial role in preserving collective values, protecting communities from violence, and supporting both guerrilla and civil resistance efforts.

Concurrently, on the international stage, global solidarity—consolidated through diaspora diplomacy and support from human rights networks—brought the issue of Timor-Leste to global attention. The Timorese diaspora in countries such as Australia, Portugal, Mozambique, and the United States played a strategic role in advocating for international recognition of the Timorese people's suffering, expanding solidarity networks through advocacy campaigns and grassroots diplomacy (Taylor, 1999). Key events, such as the Santa Cruz Massacre on 12 November 1991, further legitimized the Timorese struggle and increased diplomatic pressure on Indonesia's New Order regime (Kohen, 1999).

This resistance demonstrates that success was not solely contingent on military strength, but also on cultural resilience, local community mobilization, effective international diplomacy, and cross-border solidarity. It culminated in the United Nations–supervised 1999 referendum, in which the people of Timor-Leste lawfully chose independence, thereby ending the occupation and opening a new chapter in the nation's history (CAVR, 2005; United Nations General Assembly Resolutions 384 & 389, 1975–1976).

In conclusion, the struggle of the Timorese people affirms that a nation's independence is not determined merely by military power, but by moral strength, cultural endurance, civil resistance, and consistently organized global solidarity.

CHAPTER 10: REFERENDUM, TRANSITION, AND VIOLENCE IN 1999

10.1. Introduction

The year 1999 marked a monumental historical turning point for the people of Timor-Leste in their protracted struggle for independence. After more than two decades under a repressive Indonesian military occupation, the people of Timor-Leste were finally granted the opportunity to determine their own future through a United Nations-facilitated referendum (United Nations Mission in East Timor [UNAMET], 1999). This referendum was not a mere political incident; rather, it was the culmination of sustained internal resistance and organized external advocacy. It resulted from a convergence of international diplomatic pressure, the political reformation in Indonesia following the collapse of the New Order regime, and the moral and physical resilience of the Timorese people (Robinson, 2003; CAVR, 2005).

The road to the referendum was paved not by coincidence but by the strategic combination of internal consistency in resistance and external diplomacy. The international community's diplomatic interventions, diaspora diplomacy, shifts in global political constellations, and the moral force of the Timorese struggle constituted the bedrock for the creation of this historical momentum. However, this process was far from peaceful. The transitional period toward independence was marked by systematic violence, egregious human rights violations, widespread destruction of infrastructure, and mass displacement triggered by the brutal actions of pro-integration militias supported by elements within the Indonesian military (Kohen, 1999).

The post-referendum violence was deliberately orchestrated to thwart the legitimate will of the people, who had overwhelmingly chosen independence through a

democratic mechanism. Public infrastructure was decimated, thousands were subjected to brutal acts of violence, and many were forcibly displaced to other regions, including West Timor.

This chapter aims to analyze the political, social, and humanitarian dynamics during the referendum and transition process, highlighting how the resolute determination of the Timorese people and the solidarity of the international community played a critical role in upholding human rights and the principle of self-determination. The discussion will encompass the political context leading up to the referendum, the conduct of the vote, the escalation of violence following the referendum results, and the international community's intervention through the International Force East Timor (INTERFET), which served as a preliminary step toward recovery and the formal independence of Timor-Leste.

Therefore, reflecting on the events of 1999 is essential not only for understanding the trajectory of Timor-Leste's path to sovereignty but also for grasping the profound challenges involved in rebuilding a nation from the ruins of colonialism, military occupation, and structural violence. The 1999 referendum represents not only an expression of the people's will but also a symbolic battleground between the principles of international democracy and the realities of exploitative power practices.

10.2. The Road to the Referendum and the Role of the International Community

1. Political Context in Post–New Order Indonesia

Following the collapse of President Suharto's authoritarian regime in May 1998, Indonesia entered a period of political reform that opened avenues for policy shifts across multiple sectors, including the Timor-Leste issue. In January 1999, as part of

efforts to reform Indonesia's international image, President B. J. Habibie unexpectedly offered East Timor a special autonomy option. Should this proposal be rejected, Indonesia would relinquish its claim to the territory (Simpson, 2004; Robinson, 2003). This decision was made without broad consultation with the military or the Indonesian parliament and was largely a response to diplomatic pressure from Portugal, Australia, and the United Nations, as well as an attempt to restore Indonesia's relations with Western states (Nevins, 2005).

This move surprised many, including the Indonesian military and the international community. Additionally, growing pressure from global civil society and human rights organizations contributed significantly to demands for transparency and accountability throughout the process.

2. Establishment and Mandate of UNAMET

In response to Indonesia's offer, the United Nations established the United Nations Mission in East Timor (UNAMET) through Security Council Resolution 1246 in June 1999 (United Nations, 1999). UNAMET was mandated to facilitate a free and fair vote, including voter registration, civic education, and oversight of electoral logistics and technical security.

While UNAMET was responsible for organizing and supervising the referendum independently and impartially, it did not possess full military authority to ensure security, which remained under Indonesian control. This arrangement would later become a major challenge during the transitional phase toward independence.

3. Referendum Implementation: Popular Participation and Outcome

The referendum was held on 30 August 1999, offering two options: acceptance of special autonomy within the framework of the Republic of Indonesia or rejection in favor of full independence. The participation rate was extraordinarily high, with approximately 98.6% of registered voters casting their ballots (CAVR, 2005). The results revealed that 78.5% of the electorate rejected autonomy, effectively choosing independence (UNAMET, 1999).

Despite widespread intimidation by pro-integration militias during the campaign period, the voting day itself proceeded relatively peacefully. This overwhelming outcome became a powerful symbol of the Timorese people's aspiration for self-determination.

4. The Active Role of the International Community

The international community's role in the process extended beyond the technical administration of the referendum. Countries such as Portugal, Australia, the United States, Ireland, and several Scandinavian nations provided logistical support, international observers, and diplomatic backing (Taylor, 1999; Kohen, 1999). Moreover, substantial diplomatic pressure was exerted on Indonesia to respect the referendum results, both in global forums and through civil society solidarity networks.

The presence of non-governmental organizations and the influence of global advocacy campaigns were instrumental in ensuring a high degree of transparency and accountability throughout the process.

5. The Significance of the Referendum in the History of Self-Determination

The 1999 East Timor referendum represents one of the most prominent modern examples of a successful self-determination process under international supervision. It not only ended 24 years of Indonesian occupation but also marked a foundational moment in Timor-Leste's journey toward sovereign statehood.

This achievement demonstrates that the power of the people—when reinforced by consistent and organized international solidarity—can effectively counter entrenched militaristic domination (Nevins, 2005; CAVR, 2005). The referendum stands as a critical milestone that underscores the significance of collective mobilization and global support in realizing the right to self-determination.

10.3. Post-Referendum Violence and the Humanitarian Crisis

1. Background of Organized Violence

The decision of the Timorese people to opt for independence through the United Nations-supervised referendum on 30 August 1999 was met with a brutal and orchestrated backlash from pro-integration militias, including Aitarak, Mahidi, and Besi Merah Putih. These groups were trained, armed, and directed by elements within the Indonesian military (Tentara Nasional Indonesia, TNI) (CAVR, 2005; Robinson, 2003). Reports by Human Rights Watch (2000) and Amnesty International (1999) confirm that the post-referendum violence constituted systematic and organized actions intended to nullify the results of the vote and to destabilize the country, thereby portraying Timor-Leste's independence as a catalyst for chaos.

This strategy, often referred to as a "scorched earth policy," aimed at the total destruction of Timor-Leste's social, political, and economic foundations. Armed militias

launched widespread attacks against civilians, independence activists, local journalists, and critical public infrastructure.

2. Patterns of Violence: Massacres, Displacement, and Infrastructure Destruction

The systematic violence led to the deaths of over 1,400 individuals, the displacement of more than 300,000 people to West Timor and other regions, and the destruction of approximately 70% of public infrastructure, including schools, churches, hospitals, and government buildings (Amnesty International, 1999; Human Rights Watch, 2000). The strategy of terror extended beyond targeting independence supporters to indiscriminate assaults on the civilian population, involving mass killings, rape, arson, and abductions.

Findings from the Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation in East Timor (CAVR, 2005) indicate that this violence was not spontaneous but constituted a deliberate and structured campaign to punish the people of Timor-Leste for their political choice.

3. Military Responsibility and Gross Human Rights Violations

The official report of the United Nations International Commission of Inquiry on East Timor (2000) provides compelling evidence of the active involvement and logistical support of the Indonesian military in the violence. The revealed chain of command underscores a close coordination between local militia leaders and military officials at the district and provincial levels.

These violations were classified as crimes against humanity, encompassing orchestrated killings, mass deportations, torture, enforced disappearances, and gender-based violence (United Nations, 2000). These facts laid the groundwork for

calls to establish an ad hoc international tribunal, although the implementation of international justice for these crimes remains controversial and largely unfulfilled.

4. Humanitarian Crisis and International Response

The widespread violence precipitated a major humanitarian crisis in Timor-Leste. Thousands of families were torn apart, the economy was devastated, and countless women and children suffered severe psychological trauma (CAVR, 2005; Nevins, 2005). Conditions in the displacement camps were exacerbated by shortages of food, clean water, and basic healthcare.

International pressure ultimately led to the deployment of the multinational peacekeeping force INTERFET (International Force for East Timor), led by Australia, in late September 1999. INTERFET successfully curtailed the escalation of violence, though the damage inflicted on the country was already immense and deep-rooted.

5. Community Resilience and the Role of Liurai

Amid the destruction, the resilience of local communities emerged as a critical pillar of social defense. Traditional leaders (liurai), customary authorities, and church community leaders played pivotal roles in protecting civilians, mediating local conflicts, and sustaining cultural traditions and communal values in the face of crisis (Cristalis & Scott, 2005; Traube, 2007).

These leaders preserved the collective values of Timor amidst widespread devastation, demonstrating that local cultural strength served as a vital foundation for post-conflict social reconstruction. This communal resilience stands as compelling evidence that, despite systematic violence and mass destruction, the social identity and solidarity of the Timorese people remained steadfast.

10.4. International Intervention and the Transitional Period toward Independence

1. International Response to the Crisis

The escalation of violence following the 1999 independence referendum in Timor-Leste drew serious attention from the international community. Visual evidence of atrocities and reports of human rights violations by organizations such as Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch intensified pressure on the United Nations Security Council to take action (United Nations, 1999). On 15 September 1999, the Security Council adopted Resolution 1264, authorizing the establishment of the International Force for East Timor (INTERFET) under Australian leadership. INTERFET was mandated to restore security, protect UN personnel and humanitarian agencies, and support the distribution of aid (United Nations, 1999).

2. INTERFET Operations and the Restoration of Stability

INTERFET troops began landing in Dili on 20 September 1999. They rapidly curtailed violence, protected civilians, and secured areas devastated by attacks from pro-integration militias (Cotton, 2001). The presence of INTERFET successfully stabilized the situation within weeks, enabling access to humanitarian assistance and paving the way for the establishment of an interim civil authority. Logistical and military support from countries such as Australia, New Zealand, Thailand, and Portugal was a crucial factor in regional stabilization.

3. Establishment of UNTAET and the Transitional Government

Once initial stability had been restored, the United Nations established the United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor (UNTAET) through Resolution 1272

on 25 October 1999. UNTAET was granted full administrative authority to govern the territory, reconstruct institutions, rehabilitate infrastructure, and prepare the people of Timor-Leste for sovereign statehood (United Nations, 1999). UNTAET's responsibilities included socio-economic reconstruction, legal reform, political rehabilitation, and civic education—each presenting substantial challenges in the wake of systemic destruction caused by conflict.

4. Local Participation in Reconstruction and Reintegration

The involvement of the Timorese population during this transitional period was of fundamental importance. Traditional leaders (*liurai*), customary authorities, youth, women, the Catholic Church, and the local intelligentsia played a vital role in reconciling divided communities, shaping a new social order, and supporting reconstruction programs initiated by UNTAET (Cristalis & Scott, 2005). Active participation in consultative forums and the drafting of the Constitution reflected the public's aspiration to establish a state founded on democracy, social justice, and respect for indigenous cultural values.

Local communities contributed not only formally through transitional institutions but also informally by rebuilding communal life, strengthening social solidarity, and revitalizing customary institutions as an integral part of national reconciliation.

5. Declaration of Independence and the Legacy of Intervention

The transitional period culminated on 20 May 2002 with the official declaration of independence of Timor-Leste as a sovereign state. This historic ceremony, attended by global leaders, was met with widespread jubilation among the Timorese people, who had long endured colonial subjugation and military occupation. International

interventions through INTERFET and UNTAET created the minimum enabling conditions for the birth of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste (Kingsbury, 2009). Nevertheless, independence did not eliminate the enduring challenges, such as the legacy of violent trauma, structural poverty, and the urgent need to build national capacity across various sectors. The success of this transition was not solely the product of international intervention, but also the result of the Timorese people's persistent resistance and the resilience of their cultural heritage, which allowed them to rise from the ruins of history.

10.5. Conclusion

The 1999 referendum in Timor-Leste marked the culmination of the people's protracted struggle for the right to self-determination, following centuries of colonization, occupation, and structural oppression.

The successful democratic choice for independence demonstrated the power of collective will, rooted in local resistance, international solidarity, and the global community's moral support for the principles of human rights and national sovereignty.

However, this achievement came at a steep cost. The systematic and brutal violence that erupted after the referendum exposed the final resistance of actors determined to preserve colonial domination over Timor-Leste.

Thousands of lives were lost, infrastructure was destroyed, and profound social trauma was inflicted, becoming a bitter legacy of the transition to freedom. International intervention—particularly through the INTERFET forces and the transitional governance of UNTAET—was crucial in ending the violence and laying the groundwork for a new state.

The role of the Timorese people, including liurai (traditional rulers), customary leaders, the Church community, youth, and women, was indispensable in upholding local cultural values amidst chaos and in rebuilding society during the transitional period. Their engagement in reconciliation and nation-building processes reinforces the narrative that Timor-Leste's independence was not merely the result of external intervention but the fruit of a deeply rooted collective struggle grounded in the people's identity and dignity.

With the official declaration of independence on 20 May 2002, Timor-Leste entered a new chapter as a sovereign state. Yet, the legacy of colonialism, military occupation, political violence, and social trauma continues to present significant challenges in the ongoing process of nation-building.

The lessons drawn from this transitional phase underscore the importance of a synergy between local agency and international support in constructing a democratic, socially just, and fully sovereign nation.

This chapter affirms that independence is not an endpoint, but the beginning of a long journey for the Timorese people in realizing the ideals of humanity, justice, and national dignity within the modern global context.

PART IV: THE ERA OF INDEPENDENCE IN TIMOR-LESTE

CHAPTER 11: THE PROCLAMATION AND INTERNATIONAL RECOGNITION OF TIMOR-LESTE'S INDEPENDENCE

11.1. Introduction

The proclamation of Timor-Leste's independence on 20 May 2002 marked a monumental historical milestone and the culmination of the Timorese people's long struggle for the right to self-determination. This struggle traversed three distinct phases of colonization and occupation: nearly five centuries of Portuguese rule, almost a quarter-century of Indonesian military occupation, and a transitional period of United Nations administration.

This moment of independence stands as compelling evidence of the enduring power of cultural resilience, spiritual vitality, and national solidarity—qualities cultivated over centuries through diverse forms of resistance, both domestic and international. The path toward full sovereignty was not the product of a single moment or generation; rather, it was the cumulative outcome of a multidimensional struggle involving ordinary citizens, traditional leaders (*liurai*), religious figures, guerrilla fighters, and international diplomats. These dynamics illustrate that the formation of the Timorese nation-state is deeply rooted in a resilient local cultural identity that withstood the pressures of various external hegemonies.

The proclamation of independence was not merely a political event, but also a moment of profound socio-cultural reconstruction, during which customary symbols and collective values were integrated into the formation of a new national identity. Official recognition from the international community of the Democratic Republic of Timor-

Leste reinforced the legitimacy of this new state within the global system and paved the way for its full integration into the international community.

This chapter aims to systematically describe the process of proclaiming independence, the dynamics of national celebration, the international community's response to Timor-Leste's independence, and the continued role of customary values and traditional social systems in reinforcing the foundation of the newly independent state. Special emphasis is placed on how independence was celebrated as an expression of collective victory and how traditional systems such as the *liurai* continue to play a strategic role in rearticulating the identity of the independent nation. Particular attention is also given to the importance of cultural continuity and local legitimacy in fortifying the identity of the sovereign state of Timor-Leste within the context of modern political architecture.

11.2. The Official Proclamation of 20 May 2002

1. Background of the Transition from UNTAET Administration

Following the 1999 referendum and the subsequent turmoil that necessitated international intervention and reconstruction, Timor-Leste was placed under the United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor (UNTAET), mandated to establish the foundations of national governance and prepare for full independence (United Nations, 2002). UNTAET was granted full authority over governance, institutional development, and the preparation of a democratic national government. After approximately two and a half years of transitional administration, the socio-political conditions were deemed sufficiently stable to facilitate the formal proclamation of full sovereignty.

2. The Proclamation Ceremony at Tasi Tolu

On 20 May 2002, Timor-Leste officially proclaimed its independence as a sovereign republic. The proclamation ceremony was held at Tasi Tolu, Dili—a site imbued with profound spiritual significance for the Timorese people (CAVR, 2005). More than 100,000 citizens of Timor-Leste, alongside delegations from over 90 countries and international organizations, attended this historic event. The ceremony integrated local cultural symbolism, traditional rituals, interreligious prayers, customary dances, and the first official hoisting of the national flag.

This act of proclamation transcended administrative formalities; it represented the culmination of a protracted struggle for self-determination, symbolizing the definitive end of Portuguese colonialism, Indonesian military occupation, and UN transitional governance.

3. Inauguration of the President and the Symbolism of National Leadership

During the ceremony, Kay Rala Xanana Gusmão was inaugurated as the first President of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste, marking the transfer of power from UNTAET to the newly established national authority (Gusmão, 2002). His inauguration symbolized the full sovereignty of the Timorese people over their national destiny. The participation of customary leaders (liurai), religious figures, youth, and women emphasized that national leadership derived its legitimacy from popular support and deeply rooted cultural heritage.

4. Promulgation of the National Constitution

On the same day, the Constitution of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste was officially enacted. Drafted through a participatory process by the National Constituent

Assembly—which included representatives from diverse societal sectors and legal experts—the Constitution articulated the principles of democracy, the rule of law, human rights protection, and respect for local cultural values as integral to national identity (Constituição da República Democrática de Timor-Leste, 2002).

This foundational document represents the synthesis of traditional values and modern legal frameworks, reflecting the aspirations of the Timorese people to construct a state grounded in human rights and universal humanitarian principles.

5. Cultural and Moral Dimensions of the Proclamation

The independence proclamation of Timor-Leste was not merely a legal-formal act; it also bore profound moral and cultural significance. The involvement of liurai, traditional leaders, youth, women, and religious authorities signaled that independence was a collective achievement of all societal elements. The inclusion of cultural symbols, customary rituals, traditional spirituality, and interreligious liturgies in the ceremony illustrated that Timor-Leste aspired to build a modern nation without severing ties to its historical and cultural roots (Traube, 2007).

This moment of proclamation underscored the enduring relevance of traditional systems and collective values in rearticulating national identity within the framework of modern political architecture. It reaffirmed that the foundations of the nascent state rested not only on formal political structures but also on the cultural and spiritual vitality of the Timorese people.

11.3. International Recognition and Global Membership

1. Immediate Diplomatic Recognition Following the Proclamation

Following the official proclamation of independence on 20 May 2002, Timor-Leste swiftly received formal recognition from nearly all member states of the United Nations (UN) (Shoesmith, 2003). Major countries, including Portugal, Australia, the United States, Brazil, Japan, and the European Union member states, explicitly expressed their full support for Timor-Leste's sovereignty. Neighboring countries such as Australia and Indonesia also promptly established formal diplomatic relations with the new government. The rapid development of bilateral relations underscored the broad moral and political support for the Timorese people's struggle and confirmed the international acceptance of Timor-Leste's legal and political status within the global system.

2. Membership in the United Nations (UN)

The culmination of global recognition of Timor-Leste's sovereignty occurred on 27 September 2002, when the nation was formally admitted as the 191st member of the United Nations. In his address to the UN General Assembly, President Xanana Gusmão emphasized Timor-Leste's commitment to international peace, human rights, global solidarity, and the importance of multilateral cooperation (United Nations, 2002). Membership in the UN constituted a significant milestone that affirmed Timor-Leste's international legal legitimacy and provided access to international development assistance, diplomatic forums, and critical multilateral networks essential for a country emerging from conflict.

3. Participation in the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP)

In addition to its admission to the UN, Timor-Leste also became a full member of the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP) in the same year, thereby reaffirming its linguistic and historical ties forged during the colonial period (Cabral, 2003). This membership reflects Timor-Leste's diplomatic strategy to maintain and deepen cultural, economic, and political relationships within the Lusophone world, while also expanding its diplomatic reach across Africa, South America, and Europe.

4. Relations with ASEAN and the Southeast Asian Region

Within the regional context, Timor-Leste developed close partnerships with member states of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN). Although it was not an immediate full member, Timor-Leste actively participated in various regional forums, demonstrating its commitment to becoming an integral part of the Southeast Asian community (Leach, 2017). This initiative illustrates the strategic balance in Timor-Leste's foreign policy between its historical connections to the Lusophone world and its geographic proximity to Southeast Asia, as well as its adherence to the universal principles of modern diplomacy.

5. Strategic Significance of International Recognition

International recognition of Timor-Leste was not merely a formal legal act but also a moral validation of its people's long struggle for self-determination, as enshrined in the UN Charter and principles of international law (Chesterman, 2002). Timor-Leste's success in establishing a global diplomatic network in a short period reflects the power of collective resistance and international solidarity in supporting decolonization processes. Furthermore, Timor-Leste received support from various international organizations such as the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the

Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie (as an observer), and numerous humanitarian and development agencies. This dynamic enabled Timor-Leste to participate actively in global governance and to advocate for peace, justice, and sustainable development.

11.4. The Role of the *Liurai* and Cultural Symbols in Independence

1. Integration of Tradition in the Proclamation of Independence

During the proclamation of Timor-Leste's independence at Tasi Tolu on 20 May 2002, local cultural symbols and representations of *liurai* (traditional customary leaders) featured prominently in the national ceremony (Leach, 2017). The national flag was raised by youth representatives from various ethnic groups, accompanied by folk songs, traditional dances, and the presence of *liurai* in traditional attire. This event illustrated that independence was not only a political victory but also a reaffirmation of enduring cultural values deeply rooted in Timorese society.

2. Representation of the *Liurai* as a Bridge Across Historical Epochs

The presence of *liurai* from diverse regions during the independence ceremony bore significant symbolic meaning. They represented continuity across the pre-colonial, colonial, resistance, and modern state-building eras (Traube, 1986). By bestowing traditional blessings and cultural oaths upon the nascent republic, the *liurai* affirmed that traditional structures remain relevant to the construction of national identity and the broader nation-building process in Timor-Leste. This emphasizes that the nation's independence was not solely the outcome of military and diplomatic struggle, but also of the resilience of local culture and the strength of customary values amidst historical adversity.

3. Cultural Symbolism as the Foundation of National Identity

In the context of the independence proclamation, many *liurai* participated as representatives of customary authority. The ceremony at Tasi Tolu was imbued with traditional rituals, dances, and the presentation of emblematic symbols such as *belak* (ancestral medallions), *surik* (traditional swords), *kaibauk* (customary headdresses), *tais* (woven textiles), and rites involving *uma lulik* (sacred houses) (Hicks, 2004). These symbols were not merely cultural ornaments but were reanimated as pillars of a new national identity, indicating that the nation-state of Timor-Leste was being constructed by embracing local narratives and cultural heritage as the basis of nationalism.

4. The Role of the *Liurai* in Reconciliation and Social Reconstruction

Following independence, the role of the *liurai* extended beyond symbolic significance, becoming substantial in the efforts of social reconciliation and national reconstruction. Endowed with moral and cultural authority, the *liurai* served as peace agents in regions experiencing social fragmentation due to internal conflicts during the resistance era and the Indonesian occupation (Grenfell, 2009). They fostered solidarity, facilitated reconciliation, and bridged connections between customary communities and the national government.

5. Cultural Resilience in the National Development Process

Although Timor-Leste's modern governmental structure adopts a democratic republican system, the existence and role of the *liurai* continue to be acknowledged as integral to national identity construction. Recognition of traditional authority and the revitalization of customary cultural symbols signify a development approach rooted in local realities (Shoesmith, 2003). In this regard, the state integrates socio-cultural heritage into the modern governance framework, acknowledging that the

struggle for independence was also a struggle to preserve collective identity amidst the forces of globalization.

The active participation of the *liurai* and the revitalization of traditional cultural symbols demonstrate that the independence process of Timor-Leste constituted not merely a political triumph but also the successful preservation and affirmation of local values as foundational elements of an inclusive, pluralistic, and deeply rooted nation-state.

11.5. Conclusion

The proclamation of Timor-Leste's independence on 20 May 2002 marked the culmination of a long and arduous struggle by the Timorese people for the right to self-determination. This historic moment not only signified liberation from colonial domination and foreign occupation but also reaffirmed the resilience of local cultural traditions as foundational to the national struggle.

The symbolic and strategic roles of the *liurai* (traditional rulers), as well as the integration of cultural symbols in the independence ceremony, demonstrate that the formation of the Timor-Leste nation-state did not disregard traditional heritage. Rather, it actively acknowledged and incorporated it into the framework of modern nationalism (Traube, 1986; Hicks, 2004).

The swift and widespread international recognition of Timor-Leste's independence, along with its official accession to the United Nations and other multilateral organizations, strengthened the legitimacy of its new sovereignty within the global order (Leach, 2017; Shoesmith, 2003).

International community support during the transitional period was a decisive factor in assisting the country in establishing fundamental governance institutions, safeguarding human rights, and fostering national reconciliation. The independence of Timor-Leste stands as concrete evidence that a community-based collective struggle, combined with international diplomacy and cultural resilience, can yield genuine liberation.

In this context, national identity was not forged by erasing the past but by revitalizing and honoring the traditions that have long sustained Timorese society.

Hence, the independence of Timor-Leste in 2002 represents not only a political achievement but also a cultural and moral triumph, revealing that local traditional roots can coexist with modern democratic principles in the building of a sovereign and free nation-state.

CHAPTER 12: REVITALIZATION OF CULTURE AND CUSTOMARY SYSTEMS IN THE MODERN STATE

12.1. Introduction

In the post-independence phase of national development, Timor-Leste has encountered a fundamental challenge: how to construct a modern state without neglecting the cultural roots and customary systems that have long shaped the social fabric of its society. The revitalization of culture and custom is not merely a symbolic undertaking; it constitutes a strategic agenda that plays a functional role in establishing political legitimacy, reinforcing social cohesion, and supporting community-based sustainable development (Trindade, 2008).

Following the restoration of independence in 2002, Timor-Leste faced the pressing task of building a democratic, sovereign, and nationally grounded state. One of the most critical elements of this process involves revitalizing and integrating the cultural values and customary structures that have historically formed the foundations of Timorese society into the framework of the modern state. Cultural and customary revitalization is not solely a matter of preservation; it is a strategic initiative for constructing collective identity, strengthening local governance, and legitimizing state authority in the eyes of the citizenry.

In Timor-Leste, culture and custom are not merely remnants of the past but constitute a living value system. This includes social norms, local power structures such as the *liurai* (traditional chiefs), spiritual practices within the *uma lulik* (sacred houses), and the expression of traditional language, music, and art. Traditional leadership systems, ritual practices such as *lia mate* (ritual speech for the dead), and local artistic expression are core pillars of local community identity. Growing awareness of the importance of

integrating these values into the modern state system represents a form of resistance to the identity homogenization brought by globalization (Grenfell, 2006).

The revitalization of culture and custom in Timor-Leste also serves as part of a broader mental decolonization project. This represents a conscious effort to liberate the nation from the legacy of subordination engendered by both Portuguese colonialism and Indonesian occupation. For Timor-Leste, with its long history of colonial rule and foreign intervention, reaffirming local cultural roots is integral to authentic liberation and an affirmation of ancestral heritage. By reintroducing local values into the public domain, the Timorese state seeks to build a national identity that is rooted, sovereign, and contextually relevant, yet capable of engaging with the modern world without losing its essence (Leach, 2017).

The modern Timorese state recognizes that sustainable development must be grounded in the social realities of its people. Consequently, the revitalization of culture and custom has become a crucial process for bridging the inherited traditions with the demands of contemporary development. This approach enables the creation of a state that is not hegemonic but participatory and contextual, one that respects the diverse cultural identities present across the national territory.

Cultural and customary revitalization in Timor-Leste has been implemented through various policies, including culturally-based education, preservation of customary sites, recognition of traditional structures in local reconciliation processes, and the promotion of traditional arts and languages at both national and international levels. Nevertheless, this revitalization is not without challenges, particularly in the context of modernization, urbanization, and the expectations of younger generations regarding the future (Hicks, 2013).

This chapter will systematically examine how cultural values and customary systems have been revitalized in the building of Timor-Leste's modern state. It will explore the implementation of public policies, education, arts, social reconciliation, and technology in this process. Special attention will be given to the dynamic interplay between tradition and modernity, the role of youth, and the synergy between state authorities and traditional structures in preserving continuity between the nation's past and its envisioned future.

12.2. Constitutional Recognition and Revitalization of Cultural Values and Customary Systems in Timor-Leste

1. Legal and Constitutional Framework

The Constitution of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste (RDTL), adopted on 20 May 2002, explicitly recognizes and respects customary and cultural values as integral components of the nation's foundation (Constituição da República Democrática de Timor-Leste, 2002). Article 2(4) affirms that the state shall respect and guarantee the customary and cultural values of the people of Timor-Leste, while Articles 31 and 59 assert the right to cultural identity and to an education that honors local values. This recognition is not merely symbolic; it constitutes a strategic legal foundation for constructing an inclusive governance system rooted in the social realities of the Timorese population.

This constitutional recognition has facilitated the strengthening of customary structures such as the *liurai* (traditional rulers), *katuas* (elders), *lia nain* (customary spokespersons), and the *uma lulik* (sacred houses) as integral components of the nation's socio-political mechanisms. It reflects the spirit of legal pluralism, in which formal law and customary law coexist in resolving social conflicts—such as disputes over land, inheritance, and community management. In many cases, customary

mechanisms have proven more effective and legitimate in the eyes of the people than formal judicial processes.

Furthermore, the state is committed to supporting the revitalization of customary values through legislation and public policy, including the National Cultural Law and legal instruments protecting intangible cultural heritage. These efforts foster dialogue between state law and customary law, enhancing the legitimacy of the state and preserving cultural identity without neglecting fundamental human rights principles.

2. Culturally Based Education Programs

The government of Timor-Leste has positioned the education sector as a primary instrument in the revitalization of culture. Through the Ministry of Education and Culture, local languages, customary history, and traditional arts have been integrated into the national curriculum (Grenfell, 2006). The promotion of Tetun and other national languages aims to strengthen local identity and foster critical consciousness among youth regarding cultural values. Culturally based education plays a vital role in reinforcing national identity and ensuring cultural continuity amid the forces of globalization.

3. Preservation of Tangible and Intangible Cultural Heritage

Systematic efforts have also been undertaken to protect both tangible cultural heritage—such as traditional houses (*uma lulik*), historical sites, and archaeological artifacts—and intangible culture, including rituals, dances, and oral traditions. Collaborative initiatives with UNESCO to register national cultural heritage in the World Heritage List and the Intangible Cultural Heritage List enhance legal protection and international visibility (UNESCO, 2010). These programs not only safeguard cultural

richness but also empower local communities to become active custodians of their traditions.

4. Recognition and Integration of Customary Structures

The state has developed a synergistic approach that links modern governance structures with traditional customary systems. *Liurai* and customary elders are recognized as key actors in social mediation, natural resource management, and community reconciliation (Hohe, 2002). In many instances, formal legal mechanisms are adapted to align with customary practices, allowing for the integration of more contextualized dispute resolution systems. This recognition demonstrates respect for legal pluralism and reinforces governmental legitimacy at the grassroots level.

5. Challenges and Prospects for Sustainability

Nonetheless, cultural revitalization in Timor-Leste faces significant challenges, particularly from the forces of urbanization, modernization, and globalization. The commodification of culture for tourism without consideration for its spiritual meaning poses a tangible threat (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). To ensure sustainability, it is imperative to implement strategies that strengthen the capacity of local communities, document oral traditions, integrate cultural education within the national system, and encourage active participation by the younger generation. Hence, cultural revitalization is not merely a form of preservation but an integral component of sustainable and identity-based national development.

12.3. Implementation of Cultural Policies in National Development

The Government of Timor-Leste, through various relevant ministries such as the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Tourism, Trade and Industry, and the National

Directorate of Culture, has launched a series of initiatives to document, promote, and develop both tangible and intangible cultural heritage. These efforts reflect the state's commitment to placing culture at the foundation of national development in the post-independence period, employing a multi-sectoral approach that includes education, local governance, cultural preservation, and social reconciliation.

1. Culturally-Based Education

Education serves as the primary medium for transmitting local cultural values to younger generations. Through the Ministry of Education, the national curriculum has been integrated with content on Timor-Leste's history, cultural heritage, and traditional social structures (Quinn, 2003). Furthermore, Tetun and various ethnic languages are introduced as mediums of instruction in select schools to strengthen national identity and multiculturalism. Local cultural literacy programs have also been introduced, especially in rural areas, to build historical awareness and foster pride in ancestral heritage.

2. Preservation and Strengthening of Cultural Heritage

In collaboration with UNESCO and various NGOs, the government has undertaken initiatives to document, protect, and develop key cultural sites such as *uma lulik* (sacred houses), customary burial grounds, and expressions of traditional art (UNESCO, 2010). These programs include inventories of tangible and intangible cultural heritage, the physical restoration of traditional houses, and community training for heritage preservation. The annual *Festival Arte Cultura Timor* and similar cultural events are regularly organized at the national and municipal levels to celebrate and promote Timorese traditions (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). Beyond entertainment, these festivals function as platforms for cultural education, the promotion of culture-based creative economies, and the reinforcement of national unity.

3. Integration of Traditional Structures in Local Governance

Within the framework of hybrid governance, the state acknowledges and involves traditional structures—such as *liurai* (customary rulers), *katuas* (elders), and *lia nain* (keepers of the word)—in village development deliberations, conflict mediation, and the socialization of government programs (Hohe, 2002). The involvement of traditional authorities in formal mechanisms reflects respect for the social and moral legitimacy of communities while fostering inclusive governance rooted in local values.

4. Customary-Based Reconciliation and Social Justice

In the aftermath of internal conflict and the trauma of occupation, traditional reconciliation mechanisms such as *nahe biti boot* (laying of the large mat) and *juramento* (sacred oath) have been revived as forms of collective healing (CAVR, 2005). These mechanisms complement the formal processes carried out by the *Comissão de Acolhimento, Verdade e Reconciliação* (CAVR), emphasizing the importance of culturally grounded social and spiritual reconstruction. The revitalization of these practices demonstrates the state's holistic approach to achieving social justice.

5. Culture as a Driver of Local Economy

Cultural policies in Timor-Leste are not solely aimed at heritage preservation but are also oriented toward positioning culture as a driver of local economic development. The production of *tais* (traditional woven textiles), traditional music, folklore, and ethnic dances are being developed not only as cultural identifiers but also as cultural products that contribute to community welfare through creative economic enterprises.

12.4. The Role of Youth and the Digitalization of Cultural Heritage

1. Youth Engagement in Cultural Revitalization

The youth of Timor-Leste play a central role in revitalizing and transforming cultural heritage through innovative and creative approaches. They actively participate in producing documentary films, contemporary installation art, modern music grounded in tradition, and various community projects that emphasize customary symbolism (Grenfell, 2009). Through such involvement, the younger generation is not merely the inheritor of traditions but also the innovator who bridges ancestral values with contemporary dynamics.

Institutions of higher education, such as the *Universidade Nacional Timor Lorosa'e* (UNTL), alongside civil society organizations, serve as vital enablers in this process. Their contributions include supporting research initiatives, developing curricula grounded in local values, and digitizing customary manuscripts and rituals.

2. Digitalization of Cultural Heritage

Digitalization has become a key strategy in expanding access to cultural heritage. Initiatives for documenting customary rites, archiving folklore, and developing databases of intangible cultural heritage have been led by institutions such as UNTL and civil society organizations (Leach, 2017). Digital platforms, social media, and information technologies are used to strengthen national identity and raise public awareness, particularly among digital-native generations. Moreover, digital tools enable intergenerational and intercultural communication across diverse and geographically dispersed communities.

3. Challenges in Cultural Revitalization

Cultural revitalization in Timor-Leste encounters complex structural, ideological, and practical challenges (McWilliam, 2007), including:

- Modernization and Globalization: The forces of modernization—through formal education, media, and digital technology—are catalyzing a shift in values among the youth, potentially undermining customary structures and cultural identity.
- Legal and Jurisdictional Dualism: The tension between the formal legal system and customary law, particularly in resolving agrarian and inheritance disputes, underscores the need for a clearer and more synergistic legal framework.
- Cultural Commodification: Cultural preservation efforts occasionally risk devolving into commodification, compromising the spiritual and social meanings of traditions in favor of tourism interests.
- Institutional Capacity Limitations: National cultural institutions continue to face budgetary constraints, human resource limitations, and a lack of systematically organized cultural data.

These challenges necessitate a cautious, substantive, and sustainable strategy for cultural revitalization.

4. Strategic Prospects for Cultural Revitalization

Despite the existing challenges, several strategic opportunities can enhance cultural revitalization:

a. Integration of Cultural Education: Strengthening curricula that embed local values in schools and universities offers significant potential for shaping culturally literate youth capable of adapting to contemporary transformations.

b. Recognition of Customary Law within the National Framework: By formulating legal policies that accommodate legal pluralism, the state can develop synergistic mechanisms between customary and national law, thus reinforcing the legitimacy of both state and traditional authorities.
c. Utilization of Digital Technologies: Cultural digitalization through online archives, video documentation, and digital cultural mapping serves as a vital tool for preserving and promoting culture in the era of globalization.
d. Multisectoral Partnerships: Collaborations among the government, NGOs, customary communities, and international bodies such as UNESCO offer promising avenues for evidence-based policymaking and participatory community empowerment (UNESCO, 2010).
e. Synergy between Cultural Heritage and National Development: Cultural revitalization in Timor-Leste transcends the mere preservation of national identity. It strengthens social cohesion, deepens community-based democracy, and enriches sustainable development practices rooted in local values (Traube, 2007).

By empowering youth and strategically leveraging modern technology, Timorese culture can become a creative and spiritual asset in confronting the challenges of globalization without severing its historical roots.

12.5. Conclusion

The post-independence revitalization of culture and customary traditions in Timor-Leste is not merely an exercise in romanticizing the past, but rather a sustainable development strategy that positions ancestral values as the foundational pillars of the nation's future. It constitutes an integral component of nation-building, grounded in the recognition of local values as sources of national identity and sociocultural strength (Leach, 2017; Traube, 2007).

Within the framework of a modern state, culture is not relegated to a realm of aesthetic or folkloristic symbolism; instead, it is acknowledged as a normative and integrative system of values and social practices. The *liurai* (traditional leaders), *uma lulik* (sacred houses), customary rituals, and local languages form a semiotic network that reinforces communal belonging, strengthens the legitimacy of the state, and fosters social cohesion amid ethnic diversity and the complex legacies of historical experience (McWilliam, 2007).

Cultural revitalization also constitutes a form of resistance against the homogenizing forces of globalization and a representation of structural and mental decolonization. By integrating local culture into public policy, education, and governance frameworks, Timor-Leste demonstrates that modern development can coexist with the affirmation of cultural plurality and historical heritage.

Ultimately, Timor-Leste's national construction is not shaped ex nihilo, but is deeply rooted in a long history of resistance, cultural resilience, and ancestral wisdom transmitted across generations. The revitalization of culture and customary traditions thus emerges as a principal pillar for safeguarding collective memory while directing the nation's future toward a democratic, inclusive, sustainable, and self-anchored statehood.

CHAPTER 13: THE LIURAI AND THEIR ROLE IN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

13.1. Introduction

Within the context of the modern post-independence state, traditional local authorities such as the *liurai* continue to play a strategic role in regional development across Timor-Leste, particularly within rural and customary communities. Although their formal administrative power has diminished with the establishment of the modern state apparatus, the *liurai* remain vital actors as symbolic leaders, custodians of cultural values, and mediators in local social dynamics (McWilliam, 2007; Bovensiepen, 2015).

Throughout Timor-Leste's long history, the *liurai* have not merely functioned as tribal chiefs or territorial rulers, but as central figures responsible for managing social order, maintaining harmony with the spiritual realm, and overseeing the distribution of resources based on genealogical and customary principles. This traditional social structure has played a fundamental role in shaping collective identity and sustaining community resilience. While the Portuguese colonial period and subsequent Indonesian occupation disrupted aspects of this structure, many communities preserved their social and spiritual allegiance to the *liurai* as a symbol of continuity in local identity (Traube, 1986; Shepherd, 2014).

In the post-independence era following 2002, Timor-Leste has faced the complex task of constructing a democratic and inclusive nation-state without neglecting its socio-cultural heritage. Within this framework, the *liurai* have acquired new roles as mediators between customary systems and formal state institutions (Grenfell, 2012). They contribute to community-based governance, facilitate dispute resolution,

support development initiatives, and help sustain social cohesion in the face of modernization and globalization.

Although many traditional administrative functions have been overtaken by the modern state, the *liurai* continue to occupy a central position as bridges between the past and the present. As leaders endowed with moral authority and cultural legitimacy, they represent potential agents of development who can foster community participation and strengthen the capacity of local governance structures.

This chapter aims to examine the contributions of the *liurai* to regional development, focusing on four key dimensions: social, cultural, economic, and local political. The analysis employs an interdisciplinary approach, integrating perspectives from political anthropology, development sociology, and local governance theory to offer a comprehensive account of the transformation and enduring role of the *liurai* institution within the modern state of Timor-Leste.

13.2. The Socio-Political Context of Liurai Authority

1. Historical Roots and Traditional Legitimacy

Historically, the *liurai* functioned as chieftains or petty kings who governed *reinu* (customary territories) on the basis of genealogical descent, cosmological spirituality, and communal social legitimacy (Traube, 1986). Their leadership was not solely hereditary but also rooted in their capacity to uphold equilibrium in the relationship between humans, ancestors, and nature. Within the pre-colonial socio-political framework of Timor-Leste, the *liurai* served as sacred authorities as well as pragmatic administrators, responsible for customary law, religious rites, resource distribution, and inter-community relations (McWilliam, 2007).

The *liurai*'s authority was constructed upon genealogical structures and collective trust, transmitted across generations. Their leadership embodied a sacred synthesis of political power, spirituality, and cultural stewardship. As such, they were not merely rulers, but guardians of the cosmological harmony linking the human, ancestral, and natural realms.

2. Transformations During Colonial and Occupation Periods

During Portuguese colonial rule and the subsequent Indonesian occupation, the authority of the *liurai* underwent profound structural transformations. Colonial regimes frequently co-opted customary institutions to facilitate local administrative control by appointing *liurai* as intermediaries between the colonial state and rural populations (Shepherd, 2014). While some *liurai* collaborated with colonial authorities, others maintained autonomy or actively resisted foreign domination. These dynamics of manipulation and fragmentation generated a complex legacy that continued to shape relations among *liurai*, the colonial state, and local communities well into the post-independence period (Cristalis & Scott, 2005).

3. *De Facto* Authority in the Modern Nation-State

In the aftermath of Timor-Leste's independence, the status of the *liurai* encountered new challenges within the framework of a modern democratic nation-state. The Constitution of Timor-Leste does not explicitly codify the role of traditional institutions such as the *liurai*. Nevertheless, in practice, *liurai* continue to exercise considerable influence across various dimensions of community life (Grenfell, 2012).

In practical terms, *liurai* often serve in the following capacities:

- Local Conflict Mediation: Resolving disputes related to land, inheritance, or inter-clan relations through customary mechanisms;

- Social Legitimization of Public Policies: Providing endorsement for development initiatives, such as infrastructure projects or agrarian reforms, thereby enhancing the successful implementation of state policies;
- Reinforcement of Local Identity: Serving as symbols of historical continuity and ethno-cultural representation, contributing to a pluralist and culturally grounded approach to national development.

Although not formally recognized within the state's legal framework, the authority of the *liurai* remains flexible, contextual, and highly relevant to regional development processes.

4. *Liurai* and Local Identity in National Development

The *liurai* serve as custodians of collective memory and local identity, enriching the narrative of nation-building in Timor-Leste (Bovensiepen, 2015). Their involvement in national ceremonies, customary rituals, and processes of social reconciliation highlights the enduring significance of traditional structures as binding social elements amid political transformation and modernization. The *liurai* are not merely remnants of cultural heritage but active agents in sustainable development processes that honor cultural pluralism and historical continuity.

5. Challenges and Opportunities in Governance Integration

Despite their continued importance, integrating *liurai* into the modern democratic governance framework presents certain challenges. The hierarchical nature of customary systems may conflict with democratic ideals of egalitarianism (Cummins, 2017). Consequently, the state must develop participatory frameworks that recognize the contributions of *liurai* while upholding the principles of democracy, human rights, and gender equality.

When approached with transparency and inclusivity, *liurai* can serve as strategic partners in enhancing local governance, expanding community participation, and building a state rooted in cultural values and oriented toward social justice.

13.3. The Role of the Liurai in the Socio-Cultural Sphere

In the socio-cultural development of Timor-Leste, the role of the *liurai* (traditional kings or chieftains) remains significant and continues to be relevant in contemporary society. They are not merely symbolic figures from the past but active agents within local community dynamics, contributing to the preservation of cultural continuity, the strengthening of national identity, and the promotion of sustainable development.

1. Custodians of Cultural Heritage and Local Identity

Liurai serve a fundamental role as protectors and guardians of local cultural heritage, encompassing the preservation of *lulik* rituals (sacred ceremonies), customary practices, clan-based social systems, and the historical narratives of communities (Traube, 1986; Bovensiepen, 2015). They ensure intergenerational cultural continuity amid the pressures of globalization and modernization. Their presence in ceremonies such as harvest festivals, traditional weddings, funerals, and *uma lulik* (sacred houses) symbolizes the spiritual connection between people, ancestors, and the land (McWilliam, 2007). In various customary events, their participation is regarded as a symbol of legitimacy and moral authority, reinforcing local identity.

2. Social Mediators and Guardians of Community Cohesion

As bearers of moral authority, *liurai* act as mediators in the resolution of local conflicts, such as land disputes, family disagreements, or breaches of customary norms. Through traditional mechanisms like *nahe biti boot* (the laying out of the large mat for dialogue),

liurai not only resolve issues pragmatically but also restore social harmony and the spiritual balance of the community (Grenfell, 2012). In Timorese communal society, such mediation is vital for maintaining social cohesion and community stability, while also fostering collective participation in inclusive and sustainable development.

3. Cultural Educators and Preservers of Local Values

Liurai function as vital agents in the cultural education of the younger generation. They transmit traditional values—such as reverence for ancestors, communal solidarity, and respect for the land and nature—through informal education methods, including storytelling, training in customary ceremonies, and initiation into clan-based social structures (Shepherd, 2014). This educational role is critical in counteracting the erosion of values caused by urbanization and the penetration of foreign cultures, thereby strengthening national identity rooted in local heritage and cultivating resilient cultural consciousness.

4. Cultural Diplomats Among Clans and Ethnic Groups

Liurai also act as cultural diplomats who establish and maintain relationships among customary communities. These intergroup relationships are essential for traditional cooperation and the resolution of historical conflicts (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). Through cultural diplomacy, *liurai* foster horizontal solidarity, support bottom-up social integration, and reinforce national cohesion amid the ethnic and geographic plurality of Timor-Leste.

5. Pillars of Social Stability in Sustainable Development

In the context of regional development, the role of the *liurai* is not merely symbolic but also substantive. With strong customary legitimacy, they mobilize community

participation in village development programs, ensure the sustainability of local projects, and safeguard the incorporation of social and cultural values in development processes (Cummins, 2017). Thus, *liurai* serve as key pillars in building resilient, inclusive social structures grounded in local values.

In conclusion, the contributions of the *liurai* in the socio-cultural realm of Timor-Leste underscore their vital role in preserving cultural identity, fostering social harmony, and supporting national development rooted in sustainability. Their multifaceted functions as custodians of tradition, social mediators, cultural educators, inter-clan diplomats, and stability agents position them as central actors in a nation-building process anchored in local and cultural values.

13.4. The Role of the *Liurai* in Economic Development and Infrastructure

Within the context of economic and infrastructure development in Timor-Leste, the *liurai* serve a strategic role as mediators between the state and local communities. Their social legitimacy enables them to facilitate community acceptance and active participation in state-led development programs (Grenfell, 2012). The role of the *liurai* transcends cultural and social dimensions, encompassing economic and community-based infrastructural domains as well.

1. Mobilization of Community Participation in Infrastructure Projects

One of the primary strengths of the *liurai* lies in their ability to mobilize communities collectively to engage in development projects such as roads, bridges, irrigation systems, schools, and health centers. By promoting *gotong royong* (communal work) grounded in customary traditions, the *liurai* accelerate the implementation of such initiatives without total reliance on formal state mechanisms (Grenfell, 2012). This

community-based approach demonstrates the effectiveness of traditional leadership in fostering solidarity and a strong sense of ownership over development outcomes.

2. Customary and Sustainable Natural Resource Management

The *liurai* possess moral authority in managing natural resources in accordance with customary principles, including the regulation of communal lands and sacred forests (*ai-lulik*) (McWilliam, 2007). They ensure that the use of these resources adheres to sustainable and ethical practices rooted in traditional norms, thereby supporting community food security through environmentally responsible agriculture, fisheries, and livestock management.

3. Mediation of Land Access for Development Purposes

Given the communally rooted land tenure system in Timor-Leste, the *liurai* play a crucial role in mediating access to land for infrastructure development and local investment projects (Fitzpatrick, 2002). They bridge the interests of the state and customary communities, assist in peacefully resolving land disputes, and provide social legitimacy for development interventions on ancestral lands.

4. Advocacy of Local Interests to Government and NGOs

As leaders intimately acquainted with the concrete needs of their communities, the *liurai* serve as representatives of customary society in development forums involving government actors, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and international donors (Cummins, 2017). They advocate for equitable access to infrastructure, funding for rural small and medium enterprises (SMEs), and the protection of land rights, ensuring that development initiatives are not exclusively top-down but are rooted in actual local needs.

5. Support for Traditional Economies and Social Innovation

The *liurai* also contribute to sustaining traditional, community-based economic systems such as local markets, *tais* (traditional woven cloth) production, handicrafts, and seasonal economic rituals (Bovensiepen, 2015). Simultaneously, they promote social innovation by introducing novel approaches to agricultural development, local product marketing, and inter-village economic collaboration. This integration of traditional values with modern economic demands strengthens local development processes.

6. Strategic Role in Sustainable and Inclusive Development

The *liurai*'s contributions underscore that development in Timor-Leste cannot rely solely on structural and formal strategies. A culturally embedded, participatory approach is required—one in which the *liurai* serve as essential bridges between the state and the populace. Incorporating them into national development strategies is not merely a gesture of historical recognition, but a strategic imperative for realizing sustainable, inclusive, and contextually grounded development.

In sum, the multifaceted roles of the *liurai* in Timor-Leste's economic and infrastructural development reveal their enduring relevance as agents of community mobilization, environmental stewardship, land mediation, local advocacy, economic sustainability, and inclusive governance. Their integration into national development frameworks exemplifies the necessity of harmonizing customary leadership with state structures to achieve transformative, resilient, and culturally responsive development outcomes.

13.5. Challenges and Opportunities for the Integration of the Liurai into the National Development System

The integration of the *liurai* (traditional leaders) into the national development system in Timor-Leste constitutes a complex strategic issue due to the intersection of traditional governance structures and the modern state apparatus. While the *liurai* possess strong historical and cultural legitimacy within society, there remains an absence of a formal framework that systematically accommodates their contributions within national governance and development efforts (Cummins, 2017). Consequently, it is imperative to critically examine the challenges and opportunities associated with such integration.

1. Challenges of Integration

a. Lack of Formal Recognition and Legal Framework. A primary challenge lies in the absence of formal legal recognition for the role of the *liurai* within the state's administrative structure. This ambiguous legal status renders their contributions informal, unsystematic, and vulnerable to exclusion from development planning and decision-making processes (Cummins, 2017).

b. Overlapping Authority with State Officials. In various contexts, traditional authority held by the *liurai* may conflict with the formal roles of state officials, such as *xefe suku* (village chiefs) or *postu* administrators. Such overlaps can generate tensions that hinder developmental coordination and exacerbate dual authority dynamics at the local level.

c. Risks of Politicization and Social Fragmentation. As influential social figures, some *liurai* actively engage in partisan politics, compromising their perceived moral neutrality within the community (Grenfell, 2012). This political

involvement can lead to social fragmentation, as communities become divided along the political affiliations of their *liurai*. Competing claims to traditional titles in certain areas further intensify internal conflicts and disrupt the social stability essential for development.

d. Modernization Challenges and Societal Transformation. The younger generation, increasingly exposed to formal education and globalization, tends to hold differing views on traditional authority. As a result, the influence of the *liurai* may decline amidst shifting societal values and lifestyles.

e. Capacity and Resource Gaps. Many *liurai* lack access to technical training, formal education, and adequate resources to participate effectively in modern governance and development (Bovensiepen, 2015). These limitations constrain their involvement in public administration, accountability mechanisms, and sustainable development planning.

2. Opportunities for Integration

a. Strengthening Participatory and Inclusive Governance Models. Incorporating *liurai* into consultative forums at the village, *postu*, and municipal levels may enhance policy legitimacy and accountability through the engagement of traditional leaders (McWilliam, 2007). This approach opens pathways toward deliberative, locally grounded democracy.

b. Preserving Indigenous Knowledge in Development Planning. *Liurai* possess indigenous knowledge related to natural resource management, agricultural patterns, social organization, and disaster mitigation. Such wisdom can serve as a foundational knowledge base for context-sensitive and culturally adaptive sustainable development strategies.

c. Social Mediation and Community Conflict Resolution. The traditional role of *liurai* in peaceful dispute resolution can be integrated into the national legal system as an alternative, community-based mechanism that complements restorative justice approaches.

d. Strategic Partnerships Between the State and Indigenous Communities. Strategic partnerships involving the active participation of *liurai* in local policymaking have the potential to strengthen participatory development models (McWilliam, 2007). Furthermore, these partnerships may contribute to national reconciliation by incorporating customary practices that are effective in uniting communities.

e. Civic Education and the Strengthening of National Identity. Providing training for *liurai* in areas such as human rights, governance, and principles of sustainable development can enhance their capacity to contribute effectively to the state apparatus (Fitzpatrick, 2002). Additionally, elevating the *liurai* as living symbols of national identity reinforces a nation-building narrative grounded in respect for cultural pluralism.

Although integrating the *liurai* into the national development system presents multifaceted challenges, the potential opportunities are strategically advantageous when managed through inclusive and intelligent frameworks. This endeavor demands a robust legal structure, constructive dialogue between traditional and formal authorities, and capacity-building initiatives for the *liurai* to adapt to contemporary demands. Such integration not only enriches the national development system but also ensures the sociocultural sustainability of Timor-Leste in the long term.

13.6. Conclusion

The role of *liurai* in regional development in Timor-Leste remains vital within the local social, cultural, and political context. Although the modern constitutional state has taken over most administrative functions, *liurai* continue to serve as key actors in maintaining social cohesion, articulating cultural values, and facilitating community-based development initiatives (McWilliam, 2007; Cummins, 2017). They not only sustain social harmony but also act as mediators between tradition and modernity, bridging customary values with the dynamics of contemporary development.

Liurai are not merely inheritors of premodern customary structures; rather, they are social agents capable of adapting to changing times. Through their roles in conflict mediation, local resource management, cultural education, and community advocacy, *liurai* make tangible contributions to sustainable and participatory regional development (Bovensiepen, 2015).

Despite challenges such as the lack of clear legal status and the risk of politicization, which may threaten their moral neutrality, significant opportunities remain for integrating the role of *liurai* into the national development framework. The state must develop a hybrid governance approach that respects traditional social structures while reinforcing democratic principles of governance (Grenfell, 2012). Such an approach enables the formation of more inclusive, context-sensitive, and sustainable governance models in Timor-Leste.

Therefore, the success of regional development in Timor-Leste does not solely depend on strengthening formal state institutions, but also on the recognition and empowerment of traditional social structures that have historically served as the foundation of community resilience throughout the nation's history.

PART V: HISTORICAL VISION AND STRATEGIC FUTURE

CHAPTER 14: *LIURAI* IN THE NATIONAL HISTORICAL NARRATIVE

14.1. Introduction

The national historical narrative of Timor-Leste reflects a complex dynamic, encompassing multiple layers of collective experience from the pre-colonial era, Portuguese colonialism, Indonesian occupation, to the full attainment of independence in 2002. Within this historical trajectory, the role of the *liurai*—traditional leaders who exercised political, social, and spiritual authority at the level of customary communities—has held a central position in shaping the collective identity of the nation (McWilliam, 2007; Traube, 1986). As traditional authorities, *liurai* played a crucial role in maintaining social equilibrium, facilitating peace, and articulating cultural values that constitute the foundation of communal life.

Nevertheless, within the framework of modern national historiography—which tends to highlight armed resistance, international diplomacy, and the contributions of contemporary political elites—the role of the *liurai* has often been marginalized. They are frequently portrayed in folkloric terms or relegated to a static past, rather than recognized as dynamic actors in the processes of social and political transformation in Timor-Leste (Bovensiepen, 2015). This condition indicates the pressing need for a historical revalorization of the *liurai*'s role in the national history of Timor-Leste. A new historiographical approach must be developed, one that draws not only from colonial records and modern archives but also from oral histories, customary documents, and the collective memory still vibrant within local communities (Gunn, 1999).

Such an approach would enable a more inclusive and contextual reconstruction of history, capturing the diverse experiences that have shaped the Timorese nation. The *liurai*, as representatives of locally embedded power rooted in customary socio-political systems, have played a foundational role from the pre-colonial period to the national independence movement. However, in various postcolonial and modern state narratives, their position has often been overlooked or marginalized. Therefore, a historically grounded and strategic revalorization of the *liurai*'s role is imperative.

This chapter seeks to open a space for critical reflection on how the position of the *liurai* has been constructed, interpreted, or even erased within the national historical narrative. Furthermore, it argues for the necessity of repositioning the *liurai* as integral to the history of the Timorese people's struggle—not only within the context of the pre-colonial past, but also amidst the dynamics of colonialism, resistance, and the formation of the modern nation-state. Through such recontextualization, a more holistic understanding of Timor-Leste's national history can be achieved—one that does not solely focus on political and military elites, but also acknowledges the enduring contribution of traditional social structures in sustaining national identity, social resilience, and the ideals of independence.

14.2. The Liurai as Historical Actors Since the Pre-Colonial Period

The liurai held a central role in Timorese society since the pre-colonial era. Prior to the intervention of European colonial powers, the socio-political structure in Timor had evolved into small kingdoms governed by liurai. These traditional rulers were not only responsible for local governance but also played strategic roles in shaping collective identity and building regional political networks (McWilliam, 2007; Traube, 1986). In this context, the liurai functioned as epicenters of social, political, and spiritual authority rooted in customary systems and long-standing cosmological beliefs.

1. Social Structure and Legitimacy of Power

In the pre-colonial social system, the authority of the liurai was founded upon genealogical, spiritual, and cosmological principles. Their legitimacy derived from hereditary succession, customary bonds, and collective recognition by the indigenous community. The liurai served as mediators between the human realm and ancestral spirits, bearing responsibility for maintaining cosmic harmony through profound customary rituals (Hicks, 2004). Their political authority was not solely based on military might but was reinforced by their role in managing social relations and the distribution of natural resources, thereby ensuring communal well-being.

2. Political and Social Functions of the Liurai

Politically, liurai acted as regulators of inter-kingdom relations and as leaders in community decision-making processes. They were involved in diplomacy, conflict mediation, and maintaining regional stability. Socially, the liurai symbolized unity and protection for their people. They also served as intermediaries between the people and cosmological forces, safeguarding harmony among humans, ancestors, and the environment, while organizing collective labor and determining agricultural cycles (Fox, 1980).

3. Inter-Liurai Relations and Local Confederations

Across various regions of Timor, liurai established confederative relationships grounded in customary equality and genealogical affiliation. The Wehali confederation in the south and the Likusaen in the north exemplify political networks founded on symbolic exchange, military alliance, and cultural solidarity (Therik, 2004). These configurations indicate that the power of the liurai was not isolated, but

interconnected within a dynamic and flexible regional system that facilitated conflict management and interregional cooperation.

4. Contributions to National Identity and Structure

The liurai played a vital role in shaping the socio-political structure that underpins the national identity of Timor-Leste. They were instrumental in land governance, resource protection, and the preservation of customary rites, which form the pillars of communal continuity. Despite the pressures of colonialism, the social structures established by the liurai remained a foundational element of Timor-Leste's independence struggle. The concept of local sovereignty upheld by the liurai gradually transformed into the national spirit of independence (Gunn, 1999).

5. Historical Relevance in the National Narrative

Within the national historical narrative of Timor-Leste—which frequently emphasizes the role of contemporary actors in the struggle for independence—the role of the liurai is often forgotten or marginalized. A revalorization of the liurai's role is essential for constructing a more inclusive historical account. This recognition affirms the contributions of all actors in shaping the nation's identity and strengthens the connection between customary values and contemporary democratic principles (Bovensiepen, 2015). Restoring the position of the liurai within national historiography enables acknowledgment of their contributions to social resilience and to the formation of sovereignty rooted in local tradition.

14.3. The Liurai in the Context of Colonialism and Resistance

During the periods of Portuguese colonialism and Indonesian occupation, the role of the *liurai* underwent complex transformations. The *liurai* were not only subjected to

co-optation by foreign powers but also emerged as key actors in resisting colonial domination and militarism. As traditional leaders deeply embedded in the social structure of Timor-Leste, they faced a dilemma between collaboration, resistance, and strategic adaptation (Durand, 2006; Gunn, 1999).

1. Strategies of Co-optation and Coexistence

Portuguese colonialism implemented an *indirect rule* system, utilizing the *liurai* as administrative instruments at the local level. The *liurai* retained their authority under colonial administrative supervision and subordination, often being given titles such as *régulo* or *chefe de suco* (Durand, 2006). Within this framework, the *liurai* played an ambivalent role—simultaneously protectors of customary communities and agents of colonial interests. This system created ambiguity in their position, requiring the *liurai* to navigate between their traditional leadership role and their imposed function within the colonial power structure.

2. The Liurai as Agents of Resistance

Although many *liurai* collaborated with colonial authorities, a significant number chose to resist foreign domination. Figures such as Dom Boaventura da Costa of Manufahi became iconic symbols of resistance against Portuguese rule, especially during the Manufahi Revolt (1911–1912), which opposed colonial exploitation and the threat to customary sovereignty and the social integrity of local communities (Davidson, 1994). The resistance of the *liurai* included not only military opposition but also spiritual and ideological dimensions, preserving rituals and customary laws as cultural resistance to colonialism. Thus, *liurai* engaged in both armed struggle and the defense of cultural identity under colonial threat.

3. Transformation of Traditional Power Structures

Colonial intervention led to significant transformations in the traditional power structures of the *liurai*. Portuguese authorities frequently employed a *divide et impera* strategy, fragmenting power by appointing leaders more loyal to colonial rule while sidelining or weakening non-compliant *liurai* (Gunn, 1999). Despite these disruptions and limitations, many *liurai* continued to serve as enduring symbols of cultural resilience and local identity. In numerous communities, their authority remained respected, albeit in a more fragmented form.

4. The Position of Liurai During the Indonesian Occupation

During the Indonesian occupation (1975–1999), the traditional authority of the *liurai* was systematically undermined through efforts to replace customary leadership with modern administrative systems, such as *kepala desa* (village heads) controlled by the military. Nevertheless, in many rural areas, the *liurai* continued to serve as important moral and spiritual figures. They upheld customary values and often supported underground movements such as FALINTIL (CAVR, 2005). Although their formal authority was reduced, their social and cultural roles in maintaining communal stability and safeguarding the sacred ancestral land (*rai lulik*) persisted.

5. Historical Legacy and the Reinterpretation of the Liurai Role

The role of the *liurai* in Timor-Leste's history cannot be simplistically reduced to that of collaborator or freedom fighter. The *liurai* were strategic actors who navigated complex choices between adapting to colonial power or resisting to ensure the survival of their communities. In the collective memory of Timorese society, the *liurai* are honored as guardians of ancestral lands and traditional values, forming a foundation for the nation's cultural resilience. Thus, to reconstruct a fair and comprehensive

national historiography of Timor-Leste, it is essential to integrate the *liurai* narrative as a vital component of the history of national resistance and endurance (Bovensiepen, 2015).

14.4. Erasure and Marginalization in Official History

In the construction of Timor-Leste's post-independence national history, there has been a systematic tendency to marginalize the role of the *liurai* (traditional rulers) within official narratives. The heavy historiographical focus on military struggle, contemporary politics, and state modernization projects has obscured the historical contributions of traditional actors in sustaining local social, cultural, and political resilience (Bovensiepen, 2015; Traube, 1986). This phenomenon warrants critical examination to enable a more inclusive and just reconstruction of the nation's history.

1. Centralized Narratives and the Modernization Project

Following independence, the formation of Timor-Leste's national identity was predominantly framed around modernization ideals, constitutional democracy, human rights, and national integration based on modern state structures (Durand, 2006). Within this paradigm, traditional roles such as those of the *liurai* were often dismissed as relics of a feudal, undemocratic past, or even as impediments to progress. Consequently, their contributions to communal preservation during colonial times and their roles in local resistance were excluded from historical accounts, educational curricula, and public discourse. Official narratives prioritized figures associated with military struggle, urban political elites, and international diplomacy, thereby neglecting the networks of local leadership that sustained society for centuries.

2. Reduction of the Liurai's Role in National Historiography

In national historiography, *liurai* are frequently reduced to mere colonial collaborators or minor cultural symbols, with little attention paid to the political complexities and existential dilemmas they faced (Gunn, 1999). Formal historical narratives foreground contemporary actors—particularly those from urban and military backgrounds—while *liurai* are mentioned only in passing or cast in a negative light. This historiographical injustice narrows the understanding of the diversity of resistance forms, communal resilience, and local political dynamics in Timor-Leste.

3. Impact of Marginalization on Youth and Cultural Identity

The exclusion of the *liurai*'s contributions from national narratives has direct consequences on historical awareness among the younger generation. Many young people in Timor-Leste grow up without a deep understanding of traditional social structures or the cultural roots of their communities (Bovensiepen, 2015). This undermines their sense of cultural identity, erodes communal solidarity, and creates a disconnection between the modern state and its traditional heritage. In the absence of a comprehensive narrative, young people risk experiencing cultural alienation.

4. Efforts Toward Revitalization and Historical Reconciliation

Despite the long-standing marginalization, various initiatives have recently emerged to rehabilitate the role of the *liurai* in national historiography. These efforts involve oral history research, the collection of community archives, and the facilitation of indigenous cultural forums aiming to reintegrate local narratives into the national historical account (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). The rehabilitation of the *liurai* is not intended to replace the narrative of modern struggle but rather to complement and

enrich the understanding of the plurality of actors and contributions in the nation's history.

5. The Imperative of an Inclusive Historical Reconstruction

In the pursuit of a just and sustainable nation-building process, the inclusive reconstruction of history is a strategic necessity. The history of Timor-Leste must acknowledge diverse forms of resistance, adaptation, and resilience—both modern and traditional (Leach, 2017). Reintegrating the contributions of the *liurai* into the official narrative is not merely an act of historical justice but also a strategy to reinforce a plural, deeply rooted national identity that reflects the full spectrum of the country's historical dynamics.

The erasure and marginalization of the *liurai* reflect the tension between modern state narratives and traditional heritage, both of which shape local identities. Therefore, in the spirit of historical justice and cultural reconciliation, it is imperative to revise exclusionary historical narratives to inclusively recognize traditional actors such as the *liurai*, whose roles and struggles significantly contributed to the survival and continuity of the Timorese nation from the pre-colonial era through independence.

14.5 Historical Reinterpretation for National Reconciliation

The historical reinterpretation of the liurai's role constitutes a crucial step in constructing a more inclusive, reflective, and equitable national historical narrative for Timor-Leste. This approach is not merely an academic correction, but a strategic effort toward national reconciliation that seeks to strengthen collective identity, foster social trust, and unify ethno-cultural diversity under a common national vision (Bovensiepen, 2015; Leach, 2017). Through reinterpretation, history ceases to be a static recounting

of the past and is instead reimagined as a dynamic instrument for epistemic decolonization, cultural reconciliation, and social cohesion.

The publication of local historical documents, the compilation of liurai biographies, the archiving of customary records, and the integration of their narratives into national historical education are integral components of this process. In this way, the liurai are not only acknowledged as part of Timor-Leste's historical trajectory, but also as bearers of indigenous wisdom, relevant for shaping a just, culturally rooted, and socially inclusive national future.

1. Bridging Local and National Narratives

To date, Timor-Leste's national narrative has been predominantly shaped by accounts of armed resistance and modern diplomacy, often marginalizing the contributions of traditional communities and their liurai (Gunn, 1999). Historical reinterpretation offers the opportunity to bridge local and national narratives, constructing a pluralistic history that recognizes independence as the culmination of diverse forms of resistance—political, military, cultural, and spiritual. This fosters a more representative national narrative, reflecting the heterogeneous historical experiences of the Timorese people.

2. Recognizing the Complexity of Liurai History

Reinterpretation also encourages a more nuanced and contextual reading of the liurai's role. Many liurai operated under colonial and occupation pressures, navigating strategic decisions for the survival of their communities (Durand, 2006). They were not merely collaborators or cultural symbols, but leaders who negotiated complex political and spiritual realities. Recognizing this complexity fosters a more judicious historical assessment, avoiding moral oversimplifications of historical actors.

3. Foundation for Socio-Cultural Reconciliation

National reconciliation extends beyond political healing to bridging tensions between the modern state and traditional socio-cultural structures (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). The historical reinterpretation of the liurai forms a critical foundation for acknowledging the legitimate existence of indigenous communities as part of the national fabric. This enhances political legitimacy at the community level and enriches the moral foundation for building participatory, contextualized governance models that respect ancestral heritage.

4. Implications for Education and Public Policy

Integrating liurai history into national curricula, museums, and public cultural programs deepens young generations' understanding of the complexities of Timor-Leste's national identity. Furthermore, public policies grounded in historical reconciliation enable the empowerment of indigenous communities, inclusion of traditional leaders in regional development, and preservation of cultural heritage as a pillar of sustainable development (Bovensiepen, 2015).

5. Strategy Toward an Inclusive Historical Narrative

Realizing this historical reinterpretation demands concrete initiatives such as oral history research, the writing of liurai biographies, the archiving of customary documents, and the organization of dialogue forums between the state and traditional communities. These measures aim not only to reconstruct past narratives but also to build bridges toward an inclusive, historically grounded, and socially dynamic future for Timor-Leste (Leach, 2017).

Within this framework, the historical reinterpretation of the liurai is not merely an academic exercise, but a strategic foundation for national reconciliation, the revitalization of collective memory, and the construction of a robust and just national identity.

14.6. Conclusion

The *liurai* have been integral actors in the historical trajectory of Timor-Leste, whose roles encompass social, political, and cultural dimensions across various epochs—pre-colonial, colonial, occupation, and post-independence. As traditional leaders, the *liurai* were not merely local administrators but actively contributed to the preservation of cultural values, the organization of social structures, and the maintenance of identity continuity amid persistent external challenges (McWilliam & Traube, 2011).

Positioning the *liurai* within the national historical narrative is not merely an act of restoring dignity; it constitutes a cultural and political development strategy grounded in sustainability. Their exclusion or marginalization in the official post-independence historiography has led to a distorted historical narrative, potentially severing the younger generation's connection with their cultural roots. Therefore, the repositioning of *liurai* in the national historical discourse is not solely an academic correction but an essential endeavor to enrich the collective understanding of Timor-Leste's national journey (Leach, 2017).

Integrating the contributions of the *liurai* into the national history entails recognizing the multidimensional nature of Timor-Leste's struggle—political, military, social, and spiritual. This strategic act serves to reinforce national reconciliation, deepen a pluralistic national identity, and establish a robust foundation for the democratic future of Timor-Leste (Bovensiepen, 2015).

Accordingly, acknowledging the role of the *liurai* functions not only as a means of restoring historical dignity but also as an integral component of a sustainable cultural and political development strategy. Honoring their legacy equates to celebrating the diverse ways in which the people of Timor-Leste have safeguarded their land, ancestral values, and sovereign future as an independent nation.

CHAPTER 15: INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF CUSTOMARY ROLES IN THE STATE GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

15.1. Introduction

Timor-Leste is a nation founded upon a long-standing historical foundation of traditional customary systems that have shaped its social, political, and cultural structures long before the advent of Portuguese colonialism or the formation of the modern nation-state (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). These customary systems—including the institution of traditional leadership represented by the *liurai*—played a central role in organizing community life, managing resources, preserving social harmony, and maintaining unwritten legal principles transmitted across generations.

Following the restoration of independence in 2002, Timor-Leste faced the challenge of designing a constitutional governance system that not only drew inspiration from modern state models but also authentically represented the national identity in a contextualized and rooted manner. A national development approach solely based on imported political systems, without considering local socio-cultural foundations, risks alienating the state from grassroots communities (Leach, 2017). Accordingly, the need to institutionalize customary roles within the formal governance system has emerged as a strategic agenda to strengthen nation-building grounded in the country's authentic identity.

Recognition of traditional values and customary leadership in the constitution and public policy represents a crucial step in constructing state legitimacy in the eyes of its own people (Bovensiepen, 2015). The institutionalization of customary roles, including those of the *liurai*, aims not only to preserve cultural heritage but also to present a governance model that is more participatory, adaptive, and responsive to local needs. This integration enables a synergy between modern democratic principles and

indigenous wisdom within the framework of a rule-of-law state that respects human rights and cultural pluralism.

This chapter aims to systematically explore the foundational rationale, urgency, challenges, and prospects involved in the process of integrating customary institutions into the constitutional order of Timor-Leste. Through a multidisciplinary approach—combining historical, legal, anthropological, and political perspectives—this analysis will illustrate how customary heritage and traditional leadership can be revitalized and rendered functional within a democratic and inclusive governance system. Ultimately, the integration of customary institutions into the state framework should not be seen merely as a restoration of the past, but rather as a strategic transformation toward a more rooted, just, resilient, and sustainable future for Timor-Leste.

15.2. Constitutional and Cultural Rationalization

1. Constitutional Recognition of Traditional Values

The Constitution of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste (RDTL) reflects the State's commitment to honor and preserve the traditional cultural values of Timorese society. Although it does not explicitly regulate the formal status of customary institutions such as the *liurai* within the governmental structure, several constitutional articles affirm respect for local culture. Article 2 asserts that sovereignty emanates from the people and that the State respects traditional cultural values as a source of national unity. Article 59 emphasizes the importance of promoting and preserving national culture through education and public life, while Article 95 provides legislative room to regulate social and cultural matters in accordance with local characteristics (Constitution of RDTL, 2002). This normative framework offers a legal basis for developing policies that integrate customary institutions into the formal governance system.

Although there are no provisions directly stipulating the formal role of traditional authorities, the existing constitutional space allows for the legitimate recognition and development of customary institutional integration within the modern state framework. This becomes an important starting point for formulating institutional designs that not only tolerate tradition but actively incorporate it within the democratic rule of law.

2. Social, Cultural, and Historical Legitimacy of Customary Institutions

In the pre-colonial history of Timor, *liurai* functioned as political, social, and spiritual leaders of local communities (Traube, 1986). Their leadership was rooted in principles of hereditary legitimacy, symbolic authority, and an unwritten social contract between leaders and their communities. Their responsibilities included safeguarding ancestral land, administering customary law (*tara bandu*), mediating conflicts, and conducting cosmological rites that ensured social harmony.

To this day, *liurai* continue to hold strong moral and symbolic authority, even beyond the formal structures of the state. Their presence represents a dualism of authority: the modern state on one side, and customary structures on the other—often overlapping or complementing one another. In many rural communities, *liurai* remain revered as symbols of social integrity and as cultural bridges across generations, affirming the relevance of local knowledge systems in contemporary social governance (McWilliam & Traube, 2011).

3. The Urgency of Integrating Customary Institutions into the Modern State

In the context of a postcolonial nation such as Timor-Leste, integrating customary institutions into the modern state structure is not only a strategic initiative but also a pragmatic necessity. The formal state apparatus often faces limitations in reaching

remote communities and understanding local cultural dynamics (McWilliam & Traube, 2011). Therefore, the presence of *liurai* and customary systems can serve as valuable resources for strengthening community-based development, expediting conflict resolution, and deepening participatory democracy.

This integration should not be understood as a restoration of feudal systems but as an adaptation of social and cultural roles within the framework of modern democracy. Revitalizing customary roles is expected to enhance social cohesion, support national development agendas, and preserve Timorese cultural identity in the era of globalization.

4. Normative Principles of Integration

To ensure that the integration of customary institutions into the state system is consistent with democratic principles and human rights, the following normative principles must guide the process:

- Subsidiarity: Decisions should be made as close as possible to the affected communities, thereby enhancing social responsiveness and legitimacy.
- Legal Pluralism: State law and customary law may coexist harmoniously, provided that they do not violate human rights (Bovensiepen, 2015).
- Inclusive Participation: The active involvement of women, youth, and minority groups within customary structures must be ensured and strengthened to avoid structural discrimination.
- Transparency and Accountability: Customary institutions must be subject to ethical and open evaluation, with internal reform mechanisms to prevent discriminatory practices or abuse of authority.

These principles constitute a critical foundation for building a constructive relationship between the modern state and customary institutions while ensuring that the revitalization of tradition strengthens social justice and the supremacy of law.

5. Looking Forward: From Tolerance to Active Integration

Recognizing traditional values must go beyond declarative statements. Institutional designs must be established to allow for the active integration of customary roles across various sectors of the state, including legislation, development, education, and conflict resolution. This integration must be contextual, respectful of local diversity, and aimed at strengthening social justice and popular sovereignty.

Through this strategic orientation, Timor-Leste can construct a governance framework that is not only procedurally democratic but also culturally authentic. The active integration of customary institutions marks the state's effort to reconcile historical heritage with a future vision of inclusivity, justice, and sociocultural sovereignty.

15.3. Models of Integration: A Comparative Study

Around the world, various nations have endeavored to formalize the role of customary institutions within their state systems. A comparative study of these models offers critical inspiration for Timor-Leste in formulating a contextualized, democratic, and sustainable integration framework.
The principal models that merit examination include the following:

1. Coexistence Model: The Case of West Africa

Countries such as Ghana and Nigeria have adopted a coexistence model between customary authorities and modern government institutions (Lentz, 2006). Traditional

leaders or chiefs maintain their roles in conflict resolution, management of communal land, and the preservation of local culture, although their authority does not extend to legislative or executive functions of the state.

- *Strengths*: Respects local cultural sovereignty without threatening the integrity of the modern state system.
- *Weaknesses*: Prone to jurisdictional tensions and can undermine state institutions due to the duality of authority.

2. Formal Integration Model: The Botswana Experience

Botswana has successfully integrated its customary system through the institution of the *Ntlo ya Dikgosi* (House of Chiefs), which serves as a consultative chamber in the formulation of national policies related to customary law, traditional land, and cultural affairs (Holm & Molutsi, 1989). The *kgosi* (chiefs) are legally recognized and possess political status within a parliamentary democratic framework.

- *Strengths*: Provides a structured channel for indigenous community participation in national policymaking and enriches public deliberation.
- *Weaknesses*: The consultative role may be limited and is susceptible to political co-optation.

3. Cultural Autonomy Model: Bolivia and Ecuador

The constitutions of Bolivia (2009) and Ecuador (2008) institutionalized a cultural autonomy model, recognizing indigenous communities as legal subjects with the right to govern their internal affairs. This includes the recognition of customary courts and local governance systems rooted in indigenous traditions (Yashar, 2005).

- *Strengths*: Offers full recognition of the collective rights of indigenous peoples to self-govern in accordance with their cultural identity.

- *Weaknesses*: Without robust mechanisms for legal harmonization, this model risks fragmenting the national legal system.

4. Customary Representation Model: Vanuatu and Samoa

Pacific nations such as Vanuatu and Samoa integrate traditional leaders directly into local and national governance. In Vanuatu, the *Malvatumauri National Council of Chiefs* serves as a consultative body on national legislation concerning culture and customary affairs (Van Trease, 1995).

- *Strengths*: Substantively integrates traditional values into the national political system.
- *Weaknesses*: Potential to reinforce cultural conservatism, especially regarding gender equality, if not checked by human rights principles.

5. Implications and Relevance for Timor-Leste

Timor-Leste has initiated efforts to integrate customary values through the establishment of the *Forum Lia Nain Nasional* and dialogue between the state and traditional leaders. However, this approach remains partial and has not yet been formally structured within the framework of positive law.

Drawing from the above models, Timor-Leste could develop a hybrid scheme that considers its colonial history, ethnocultural diversity, and national institutional capacity.

Proposed integration strategies include:

- Establishment of a National Customary Assembly: Serving as an advisory body to the President and National Parliament on customary, cultural, and land-related matters.

- Legal Recognition of Local Customary Structures: Granting customary structures official partner status in managing social conflicts, cultural education, and community-based development.
- Formal Representation of Customary Leaders in Local Government: Through mechanisms of appointment or election into *suku consellu* (village councils) or *postu administrativu* (administrative posts).

By balancing traditional legitimacy with constitutional democratic principles, Timor-Leste holds significant potential to develop a unique model for managing social diversity peacefully and sustainably. This could position the country as a global exemplar of democracy grounded in local cultural traditions.

15.4. Institutionalization Strategies in Timor-Leste

To effectively and legitimately institutionalize the role of customary systems within the framework of constitutional democracy, a set of strategic steps must be pursued—grounded in both international experience and the unique historical, cultural, political, and social characteristics of Timor-Leste. These strategies must go beyond mere imitation and instead follow a transformative and contextual approach that integrates customary values (lia na'in, liurai, lulik) with the principles of a democratic rule-of-law state.

1. Constitutional Recognition and Customary Legislation

The foundational step involves the formal recognition of customary structures and values within the state's legal framework. Although the Constitution of Timor-Leste (2002) acknowledges the importance of culture and tradition (Articles 59 and 95), it lacks specific provisions on the legal status of customary institutions. Thus, essential strategies include:

- Amending relevant constitutional articles to explicitly mention the role of customary institutions.
- Drafting a specific law, such as the *Lei das Autoridades Tradicionais*, to provide legal certainty and institutional legitimacy to the roles of liurai, katuas lia, and other customary leaders.

This recognition must serve as the legal foundation for the sustainable integration of customary roles in national governance.

2. Establishment of National and Local Customary Institutions

To ensure that customary institutions play an active role in national and local development processes, representative bodies should be established at various levels:

- Conselho Nacional das Autoridades Tradicionais at the national level as a consultative body on matters of culture, customary land, social reconciliation, and environmental preservation.
- Conselhos Locais das Autoridades Tradicionais at the municipal and administrative post levels to partner with local administrators and decentralized government bodies.

These institutions should primarily function to:

- Mediate customary and social conflicts.
- Provide input on local development policy.
- Protect and promote local cultural values.
- Oversee the preservation of traditional rites and environmental practices based on indigenous knowledge systems.

3. Integration of Customary Leaders in Local Governance

This strategy aims to enrich participatory democracy by recognizing cultural representation without displacing democratic principles. Integration mechanisms include:

- Customary representation quotas in suku councils and administrative post forums.
- Voting rights in local development decision-making.
- Direct involvement in community planning, cultural advocacy, and social conflict resolution.

This model strengthens political and social legitimacy at the local level by bridging modern democracy with traditional structures.

4. Education, Certification, and Empowerment of Customary Leadership

To bridge local wisdom with the professionalism of modern governance, capacity-building and formal certification for liurai and customary leaders are essential. Strategic programs should include:

- Courses on traditional leadership, human rights, democratic principles, development planning, and national law through partnerships with institutions like the *Instituto Nacional de Administração Pública* (INAP).
- Certification based on experience, social contribution, knowledge of local cultural values, and commitment to the national constitution.

Such empowerment aims to produce customary leaders who are effective within democratic governance and national development.

5. Digitalization and Documentation of the Customary System

As part of institutional strengthening and national recognition, the digitalization of customary systems is crucial. This strategy includes:

- Digitizing customary archives, laws (*tara bandu*), genealogies of liurai, traditional rites, and other cultural documents.
- Systematic documentation to ensure cultural continuity across generations.
- Using documentation as a legal and administrative basis in policymaking and in preventing manipulation or fraudulent claims related to customary status.

6. Harmonization between State Law and Customary Law

To prevent jurisdictional conflicts between statutory and customary law, systematic harmonization mechanisms are necessary, including:

- Establishing a Legal Harmonization Commission under the Ministry of Justice, involving customary leaders, scholars, and civil society.
- Developing a National Customary Ethics Code to serve as a general guideline for the interaction between state law and customary law.

This effort aims to ensure that customary heritage is not merely symbolic but becomes an integral part of the national legal system.

The institutionalization of the customary role in Timor-Leste demands political courage, cultural maturity, and legal wisdom to reconcile the past with a vision for the future. Through recognition, empowerment, documentation, and the systematic organization of customary structures, the state can construct a robust national identity rooted in Timorese values, socially relevant, and consistent with the ideals of constitutional democracy. This is the cultural path toward democratic sovereignty: rooted in tradition, with a modern soul, for the generations of Timor to come.

15.5. Challenges and Risks

The institutionalization of the customary role within the state governance system of Timor-Leste presents significant potential for social and cultural integration. Nevertheless, various challenges and risks must be carefully and systematically anticipated. A failure to manage these challenges effectively may not only weaken state legitimacy but also generate identity conflicts, authority duplication, and social fragmentation. Therefore, the following section outlines five major categories of challenges and risks associated with the institutionalization of customary roles in Timor-Leste.

1. Conceptual and Ideological Challenges

The integration of customary institutions into Timor-Leste's state governance framework presents a fundamental conceptual challenge: the tension between customary values rooted in spiritual heritage and kinship systems, and the principles of a modern democratic state based on law, equality, and human rights (Constitution of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste, 2002). In many customary communities, principles such as genealogical power inheritance and patriarchal norms remain deeply entrenched, while the Timorese Constitution affirms commitments to gender equality, participatory democracy, and the rule of law.

Risks:

- Potential value conflicts between customary law (which may be patriarchal and hierarchical) and constitutional principles such as human rights, gender equality, and social justice.
- Incompatibility between the genealogical succession system of *liurai* (customary rulers) and a meritocratic democratic system.

- Contradictions between customary norms and constitutional principles could undermine the legitimacy of national law and produce normative uncertainty at the local community level.

Mitigation Strategies:

- Development of a hybrid model combining principles of representative democracy with local specificities through the principle of cultural subsidiarity.
- Formulation of a hybrid legal framework that harmonizes customary values with universal human rights principles through community consultation and adaptive legislation.

2. Risks of Political Instrumentalization and Clanism

The strengthening of customary structures within the governmental system entails the risk of political instrumentalization, namely the manipulation of customary symbols for the benefit of political elites or particular groups (Logan, 2008). Customary leaders may be exploited for electoral mobilization or economic gain, potentially reviving inter-clan rivalries and exacerbating social polarization.

Risks:

- Utilization of *liurai* symbols and customary authority for political campaigning or electoral mobilization.
- Revival of longstanding inter-clan conflicts, customary territorialism, or genealogical rivalries that threaten national stability.
- Politicization of *liurai* and other customary symbols may erode the moral independence of customary institutions and intensify horizontal divisions in society.

Mitigation Strategies:

- Establishment of strict regulations separating customary roles from electoral politics.
- Creation of an independent supervisory body to safeguard the neutrality of customary institutions.
- Support for customary institutions by the state and civil society to ensure neutrality and the integrity of cultural roles.

3. Representation Imbalances and the Risk of Marginalization

Not all customary groups or communities have equal access to formal state recognition, resulting in the potential for representational imbalance and the marginalization of minority customary groups (Sieder, 2011).

Risks:

- Concentration of customary power among dominant ethnic groups or clans, while minority groups or new communities are excluded.
- Discrimination against women and youth in the process of customary authority succession.
- Emergence of representational injustice based on traditional hierarchies, and exclusion of vulnerable groups from decision-making processes.

Mitigation Strategies:

- Design of inclusive and participatory customary institutions based on ethnic, gender, and generational equality.
- A leadership selection system that combines traditional legitimacy with universal rights principles.
- A national code of ethics for customary representation that guarantees the principle of non-discrimination.

4. Fragmentation of Legal and Governance Systems

The formal application of customary systems in local governance may result in legal and bureaucratic dualism, causing jurisdictional confusion, weakened accountability, and normative uncertainty (Boege, Brown, Clements, & Nolan, 2008).

Risks:

- Overlapping authority between *liurai* and state administrators.
- Limited coordination between state administrative officials and customary leaders may delay development programs and erode public trust in the state.

Mitigation Strategies:

- Legal harmonization through a clear national legal framework aligning *lei estatal* (state law) and *lei tradicional* (customary law).
- Establishment of a National Commission for Customary-State Harmonization and co-governance mechanisms...

15.6. Conclusion

The institutionalization of the customary role within the state governance system of Timor-Leste represents a strategic undertaking that not only acknowledges the historical heritage of the nation, but also strengthens social cohesion, deepens state legitimacy, and lays a national foundation deeply rooted in local culture. Within this framework, the presence of the *liurai* and other customary social structures is not merely a relic of the past, but a source of institutional inspiration that holds relevance for addressing the future challenges of national development.

The integration of customary values into the modern system of governance must be implemented within a robust legal framework grounded in the principles of democracy, equality of rights, and respect for cultural diversity and identity in Timor-Leste. This process requires sustained political will, active civil society participation, and inclusive participatory mechanisms to ensure that the synthesis between the customary system and the state proceeds ethically, equitably, and sustainably.

In the context of national development, the successful integration of customary institutions will contribute not only to the preservation of cultural identity but also to the construction of a contextual, adaptive, and socially sovereign model of governance. In this way, Timor-Leste can advance toward a democratic and just future without abandoning its historical and cultural roots, thereby positioning itself as a modern nation that remains faithful to its authentic identity.

CHAPTER 16: THE STRATEGIC CONTRIBUTION OF LIURAI TO THE FUTURE OF TIMOR-LESTE

16.1. Introduction

Throughout Timor-Leste's long historical journey, the institution of the *liurai*—as traditional customary leaders—has played a central role in shaping the social, cultural, and political structures of local communities. The *liurai* represent more than traditional authority; they embody a well-established, structured, and deeply rooted social system integral to communal life. Although processes of modernization and the formation of a democratic nation-state have transformed the landscape of formal governance, the values inherited from the *liurai* remain among the most resilient cultural forces in contemporary Timorese society.

In the face of globalization, climate change, developmental inequality, and the erosion of moral values, the discourse on the strategic contribution of the *liurai* to the future of Timor-Leste has become increasingly relevant. The *liurai* do not merely serve as historical symbols, but also as custodians of customary values, unifiers of communities, and bridges between local identities and national agendas. Their role presents significant opportunities to reinforce social foundations, enhance cultural resilience, deepen national cohesion, and establish a spiritual foundation for the nation in navigating complex global challenges.

This chapter seeks to systematically articulate how the *liurai* can play a strategic role in shaping the future of Timor-Leste in a transformative and sustainable manner, without compromising the principles of democracy, pluralism, and the rule of law. The approach taken emphasizes the importance of cross-generational and interdisciplinary dialogue—between tradition and modernity, between state structures and local communities, and between national law and customary law.

Within this framework, the revitalization of the *liurai* institution is not a romanticization of the past, but rather a culturally grounded, participatory, critical, reflective, and future-oriented national development strategy. By adopting a context-sensitive approach, the institution of *liurai* can serve as a vital pillar in Timor-Leste's journey toward a politically sovereign, culturally rooted, and socially sustainable state.

16.2. Strategic Pillars: Culture, Peace, and Sustainable Development

The strategic contribution of the *liurai* to the future of Timor-Leste can be systematically analyzed through three principal pillars: national culture and identity, social peace and conflict resolution, and sustainable development rooted in local knowledge. These three pillars are interconnected and mutually reinforcing, forming a strategic framework for nation-building that is both grounded in local values and responsive to global challenges.

1. Cultural Pillar: Revitalizing National Identity

The *liurai* as socio-cultural institutions play a vital role in safeguarding, transmitting, and revitalizing the cultural values of Timor-Leste. Amid the currents of globalization that threaten to erode local identities, the presence of *liurai* is key to reinforcing a national identity grounded in history, spirituality, and indigenous cultural expression (Cabral, 2017).

Revitalizing cultural values through the engagement of *liurai* can be achieved by strengthening culturally-based education curricula, fostering international cultural diplomacy, and developing a heritage-based creative economy. This approach enriches Timor-Leste's national character—not by rejecting modernity, but by building

a rooted modernity anchored in ancestral wisdom. Thus, the *liurai* contribute directly to shaping a strong, competitive, and sustainable national identity.

2. Peace Pillar: Social Mediation and Customary Conflict Resolution

The role of the *liurai* as social mediators and agents of customary conflict resolution is highly strategic. With deep social legitimacy and moral authority, *liurai* play a critical role in resolving disputes among families, clans, and communities through customary legal mechanisms such as *tara bandu* and *nahe biti boot* (Hohe, 2002).

Integrating these customary conflict-resolution practices into the national justice system broadens access to justice, particularly in rural areas where formal systems have limited reach. This consensus-based, restorative approach reinforces social stability, mitigates land and inheritance-related violence, and lays the foundation for sustainable peace, which is essential for national development.

3. Sustainability Pillar: Integrating Local Values into Development

Sustainable development in Timor-Leste must not be confined to economic and ecological dimensions but must also embrace social and cultural dimensions. The *liurai* have close ties to grassroots communities and hold deep local knowledge concerning resource management, traditional conservation, and sustainable living practices (Sousa, 2015).

Involving *liurai* in local development planning and implementation ensures that programs are more responsive to social, ecological, and cultural contexts. Their traditional wisdom enriches a national development model that is more holistic, inclusive, and participatory. This not only enhances the long-term success of development projects but also strengthens community ownership over them.

4. The Role of *Liurai* in Cultural Education and Local Economic Empowerment

Beyond these three pillars, the *liurai* also hold potential in cultural education and community-based economic empowerment. They can facilitate intergenerational education on local history, customary values, and traditional knowledge, both through formal and informal channels.

In economic terms, *liurai* can support the development of local cooperatives, the promotion of traditional handicrafts, and community-based tourism rooted in cultural heritage (Tilman, 2018). In this way, they contribute not only to cultural preservation but also to the sustainable economic wellbeing of local communities.

5. Synergy Between the Modern State and Customary Institutions

To optimize the contributions of the *liurai*, constructive synergy between the modern state and customary institutions is essential. This synergy involves the formal legal recognition of the *liurai* institution, their active participation in local development mechanisms, and the harmonization of constitutional democratic principles with customary values (Kingsbury, 2009).

The state must not treat *liurai* as mere relics of the past—either celebrated symbolically or dismissed as obsolete. Rather, they must be regarded as strategic partners in building a socially just, sustainable, and culturally grounded future for Timor-Leste.

In summary, the *liurai* are not merely symbolic elements of history, but transformative agents capable of bridging the tension between modernity and tradition. When granted space and empowered within the framework of national policy, their strategic contributions to culture, peace, and sustainable development will stand as one of the

most valuable assets in Timor-Leste's journey toward full social justice and cultural sovereignty.

16.3. Reconstructing the Role of the Liurai in National Governance

Reconstructing the role of the *liurai* in Timor-Leste's governance is a strategic step toward integrating traditional values into the framework of modern state administration. This initiative does not aim to restore feudalism, but rather seeks a cultural adaptation that strengthens social cohesion, enhances the legitimacy of local governance, and expands community-based participation. It emphasizes the complementary, functional, and adaptive incorporation of values, social structures, and traditional authority into the modern state system—not to replace constitutional democracy, but to reinforce it.

1. Socio-Political Rationalization: The Need for Cultural Integration

As a post-colonial state with a pluralistic society deeply rooted in tradition, Timor-Leste faces a classic challenge: the distance between the state and its people (Hohe, 2002). In many rural areas, *liurai* are still regarded as moral and social authorities, often more respected than state officials. This reality highlights the need for culturally grounded governance approaches, not as substitutes for formal structures, but as bridges of legitimacy between the state and local communities.

Liurai can serve as intermediaries between indigenous community aspirations and national development agendas, thereby enhancing citizens' sense of ownership and revitalizing the principle of subsidiarity in state governance (Sousa, 2015). As such, reconstruction is a strategic necessity to build a state that is both inclusive and culturally rooted.

2. Dimensions of Reconstruction: Legislation, Institutions, and Functions

Reconstructing the role of the *liurai* should be carried out in a structured manner across three main dimensions:

- Legislation: A national legal framework must explicitly recognize the status and function of the *liurai* as socio-cultural entities. This could be achieved through revisions to the Local Authority Law or the development of a *Lei das Autoridades Tradicionais*, which would regulate the legal status of traditional leaders. Such legislation should define their roles in community affairs, conflict mediation, cultural preservation, and value-based education.
- Institutions: The establishment of National and Regional Councils of Traditional Authorities would provide consultative platforms on cultural, social, and environmental matters. These forums would serve as formal bridges between the state and traditional communities, allowing the *liurai* to offer insights, advice, and policy recommendations.
- Functions: *Liurai* may serve as community mediators, cultural consultants in development planning, custodians of rituals and traditions, facilitators of community engagement in public programs, promoters of cultural values education, and partners in character and civic education based on cultural heritage (Cabral, 2017).

3. Hybrid Governance Model: Synergy between State and Custom

Reconstructing the role of the *liurai* moves toward a model of hybrid governance, wherein principles of modern statehood are harmonized with traditional norms (Kingsbury, 2009). In this model, the state retains the supremacy of national law, while traditional institutions function in a complementary capacity to reinforce local governance legitimacy and effectiveness.

Examples from countries such as Botswana and Bolivia demonstrate that successful hybrid governance hinges on balancing indigenous community autonomy with integration into the national legal system (Logan, 2009). Timor-Leste can adapt this model through national dialogues, academic research, and policy development grounded in intercultural consensus.

4. Preconditions for Successful Reconstruction

For the reconstruction of the *liurai*'s role to be effective, several core principles and preconditions must be met:

- Transparency and Accountability: The functions of the *liurai* must be subject to public accountability to prevent abuses of traditional authority.
- Inclusive Participation: Reconstructed customary structures must be inclusive, involving women, youth, and minority groups in decision-making processes.
- Legal Harmonization: Mechanisms must be established to harmonize customary and national laws to prevent jurisdictional conflicts (Tilman, 2018).
- National Customary Code of Ethics: A national code of ethics must be drafted to ensure all customary practices align with human rights and democratic principles.

5. Strategic Contributions to the Nation's Future

Through adaptive and evidence-based reconstruction, the *liurai* can serve not only as preservers of cultural heritage but also as agents of social change who support democracy rooted in local values. Their active participation in local governance can enhance the effectiveness of public service delivery, enrich development policy with local wisdom, and fortify national social resilience in the face of globalization.

This reconstruction is not merely a recognition of the past but a strategic investment in building a more inclusive, authentic, socially just, and sustainable Timor-Leste.

16.4. Cultural Diplomacy and Timor-Leste's Global Position

Within the geopolitical framework of the Asia-Pacific and the CPLP (Community of Portuguese Language Countries), cultural diplomacy serves as a crucial instrument for small states to reinforce national identity, enhance international leverage, and establish a dignified global presence (Mark, 2010). For Timor-Leste, the socio-cultural legacy of the *liurai* offers a strategic resource for developing authentic soft power, strengthening the national identity narrative, and projecting a positive image on the international stage.

Cultural diplomacy rooted in the heritage of the *liurai* is not merely symbolic representation; it is a concrete instrument for fostering global solidarity, promoting peace, and advancing sustainable development. In an era of global multi-crises and cultural homogenization, this approach fortifies Timor-Leste's standing as a culturally sovereign nation, democratic in its values, historically and spiritually rich, and relevant amid the complexities of contemporary global dynamics.

1. The Concept of Cultural Diplomacy in the Timorese Context

Cultural diplomacy is defined as the strategic use of a nation's cultural assets—including traditions, arts, customs, language, and collective values—to strengthen international relations and construct a compelling national image (Mark, 2010). In Timor-Leste's context, the *liurai* and the broader traditional socio-cultural structures inherited from pre-colonial civilizations can be mobilized as foundational pillars of cultural diplomacy.

This approach enables Timor-Leste to:

- Promote cross-cultural understanding;
- Construct an image of a nation with strong and authentic identity;
- Convey messages of solidarity, peace, and cultural resilience non-confrontationally;
- Build diplomatic networks grounded in universal values and local wisdom.

Such a strategy positions Timor-Leste more firmly in the Asia-Pacific and CPLP regions without relying on economic or military strength.

2. The Strategic Role of the Liurai in Cultural Diplomacy

As custodians of cultural heritage and traditional social authority, the *liurai* can serve several strategic functions in Timor-Leste's cultural diplomacy, including:

- Cultural Ambassadors: Participating in international festivals, exhibitions, and intercultural forums to showcase Timor-Leste's unique and spiritual identity.
- Identity Mediators: Articulating cultural values such as communal solidarity, customary peacebuilding, and respect for nature in global forums.
- Cultural Heritage Advocates: Engaging in international campaigns to safeguard intangible cultural heritage under UNESCO frameworks.
- Civilizational Dialogue Agents: Representing Timorese models of customary conflict resolution in global initiatives such as indigenous diplomacy and cultural peacebuilding (Anholt, 2007).

Through such engagements, the *liurai* function not only as guardians of tradition but also as key actors in a humanized and value-based global diplomacy.

3. Identity Diplomacy and Timor-Leste's Comparative Advantage

Timor-Leste holds a unique opportunity to act as a cultural bridge between Southeast Asia and the Lusophone world. A *liurai*-based diplomacy approach reinforces the narrative that Timor-Leste is:

- Culturally sovereign;
- Democratic and progressive;
- Rich in historical and spiritual traditions;
- Competitive in international relations.

This identity-driven branding enables Timor-Leste to expand its influence within regional and international bodies such as ASEAN, CPLP, and the UN, while also introducing a community- and tradition-based development model to the global stage.

4. Challenges and Strategies for Enhancement

Despite its potential, *liurai*-based cultural diplomacy faces several challenges:

- Identity Fragmentation: Lack of standardized documentation of local cultural assets.
- Modernity Resistance: Tensions between revitalizing traditional values and embracing modern development.
- Resource Constraints: Limited state investment in cultural diplomacy programs.

Strategies to overcome these obstacles include:

- Establishment of a National Cultural Diplomacy Institute: Involving traditional leaders to design and implement cultural diplomacy policies.
- Capacity Building for the Liurai: Providing training in international diplomacy, global cultural rights, and intercultural communication.

- Cultural Diplomacy Education Curriculum: Introduced at university level to train future cultural diplomats.
- Standardization of Cultural Narratives: Comprehensive documentation of *liurai* values, rituals, and history to support structured diplomacy efforts.

5. Future Opportunities: Timor-Leste's Soft Power in the Global Arena

By elevating cultural diplomacy grounded in *liurai* heritage, Timor-Leste can carve out a unique role in the global order. This diplomacy offers opportunities to:

- Become a best practice model for harmonizing tradition and modernity;
- Provide alternative narratives in global diplomacy that emphasize humanity and ethics;
- Reinforce cultural sovereignty as integral to political and economic sovereignty.

In this strategic vision, the *liurai* are no longer seen merely as relics of the past, but as forward-looking actors contributing to a sovereign, dignified, and globally resonant Timor-Leste. This approach affirms that in a world dominated by economic and military might, culture-based soft power offers a graceful and dignified path for small nations with great spirit.

16.5. Strategic Recommendations and Public Policy

In the context of national development that demands sustainability, social justice, and respect for local identity, institutionalizing the role of the *liurai* in Timor-Leste requires a public policy framework that is structured, systematic, and value-driven. The following strategic recommendations aim to optimize the contribution of the *liurai* within modern state governance, build synergy between customary heritage and democratic principles, and strengthen the social and cultural resilience of Timor-Leste amidst the challenges of globalization.

1. Constitutional Recognition and Legal Reinforcement

The first step involves constitutional recognition and the establishment of a firm legal foundation to integrate the *liurai* into the national sociopolitical structure.

- Strategy: Amendments to the constitution or the creation of specific legislation on customary institutions that explicitly acknowledge the socio-political status of the *liurai* within a democratic legal state (De Sousa Santos, 2002).
- Objective: Prevent the marginalization of customary systems, ensure the legal participation of indigenous communities in democratic and development processes, and protect collective rights.
- Implication: Provide a legal basis for the involvement of the *liurai* in national and local consultative forums, without undermining the principles of non-discrimination, human rights, and gender equality.

2. Integration into Local Governance and Participatory Development

To reinforce social cohesion and development effectiveness, customary leadership must be integrated into local government through a co-governance model.

- Strategy: Involve the *liurai* as cultural advisors, social mediators, and community mobilizers in *suco*, *aldeia*, and district-level deliberations (Blaser, 2013).
- Objective: Strengthen social legitimacy for development policies, accelerate local acceptance of national programs, and deepen participatory democracy.
- Implication: Improve the effectiveness of local governance and reduce the gap between the state and customary communities.

3. Reform of Education Based on Local Culture

National education should play a central role in fostering cultural awareness and traditional leadership.

- Strategy: Integrate curricula on customary education, *liurai* history, community solidarity values, and local traditions from primary to tertiary education (Smith, 2009).
- Objective: Shape citizens grounded in national cultural identity with a global outlook.
- Implication: Regenerate local sociocultural values, enrich national capacity in culture, politics, and development, and support identity-based nation-building.

4. Empowerment of Indigenous Economies and Cultural Diplomacy

Strengthening indigenous community economies and cultural diplomacy is essential for expanding the *liurai*'s contributions.

- Strategy:
    - Develop community-based ecotourism,
    - Support local cooperatives in traditional territories,
    - Involve *liurai* in international cultural diplomacy forums (Nye, 2004).
- Objective: Improve the well-being of indigenous communities, expand international partnerships, and enhance Timor-Leste's global image.
- Implication: Promote a culturally based diversified economy and increase the nation's soft power.

5. Establishment of National and Customary Institutions

To strengthen the institutional position of the *liurai*, the creation of formal bodies addressing customary affairs is necessary.

- Strategy:
    - Establish a National Institution for *Liurai* and Customary Culture as a center for documentation, training, and advocacy;
    - Create a National *Liurai* Forum (FNL) and a National Customary Council as strategic partners of the state.
- Objective: Ensure continuity of cross-structural dialogue and enhance the participation of customary communities in national governance.
- Implication: Broaden deliberative democracy channels and prevent socio-political exclusion of indigenous groups.

6. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Standardization of Customary Roles

To ensure the effectiveness and accountability of the *liurai* within a modern state, a community-based evaluation mechanism is essential.

- Strategy:
    - Develop a community-based monitoring system;
    - Conduct annual assessments of participation, transparency, and gender inclusivity in customary leadership;
    - Standardize procedures for appointment, training, and code of ethics for *liurai*.
- Objective: Guarantee that customary integration enhances democracy, social justice, and cultural sustainability.
- Implication: Prevent commodification of custom, authority conflicts, and marginalization of vulnerable groups.

7. Protection of Collective Rights and Customary Territories

Legal recognition of customary territories is vital to ensuring social justice and ecological sustainability.

1) Strategy: Reform land and natural resource laws to recognize customary territories as legitimate entities with collective rights over land, forests, and water.
2) Objective: Prevent agrarian conflicts and protect resources from illegal exploitation.
3) Implication: Safeguard ecological sustainability, social justice, and the identity of traditional communities.

These strategic recommendations affirm that the future of Timor-Leste cannot be separated from its past. As guardians of collective values, social mediators, and symbols of civilization, the *liurai* must become strategic partners of the state. The integration of customary systems into public policy is not a regression, but a reconciliation of history, a restoration of identity, and an institutional innovation that enables Timor-Leste to build a stronger, fairer, and more dignified future.

16.5. Conclusion: Toward a Sovereign State Rooted in Culture

Timor-Leste is a nation born from a long history of struggle, colonization, and resistance—deeply rooted in local cultural values. As the country transitions toward a democratic and sustainable future, the deeper struggle lies in building a state that is not only politically independent, but also culturally sovereign, socially just, and ecologically resilient. In this context, the *liurai* are not merely historical relics; they are strategic actors bridging the continuity between the past and the future of the nation.

1. The Liurai as Pillars of Historical Reconciliation and National Identity

The *liurai* embody the continuity of Timor-Leste's history and the richness of its local cultural heritage. They serve not only as guardians of customs and social institutions, but also as moral and spiritual leaders who have withstood the pressures of Portuguese

colonialism and Indonesian occupation (Trindade & Castro, 2007). Historically, they have played vital roles as mediators of conflict, organizers of social relations and resource management, and leaders in resistance and reconciliation.

Thus, the reconstruction of the *liurai*'s role within the state system is not a romanticization of the past, but a strategic step to strengthen national identity and achieve historical justice. The national historical narrative must become more inclusive and equitable, recognizing their contributions and allowing for a comprehensive process of historical reconciliation and national identity restoration.

2. The Democratic-Customary State: A New Paradigm of Sovereignty

Building a culturally grounded sovereign Timor-Leste demands a new, transformative, and integrative paradigm—a democratic-customary state. This model does not separate customary values from democratic principles but institutionalizes their coexistence within the framework of a modern rule-of-law state (Santos, 2006).
In this paradigm, *liurai* and customary structures are empowered to play active roles in:

- Participatory and just local governance;
- Strengthening values of solidarity, tolerance, and reconciliation;
- Environmental stewardship and natural resource management;
- Character education and the regeneration of cultural identity;
- Cultural diplomacy in international forums.

A state that neglects its cultural roots risks a disconnect between institutions and the people. Conversely, a state built from within—anchored in cultural identity—will be more resilient to crises and more sustainable in social terms.

3. Strategic Integration: From Documentation to Transformation

The next step for Timor-Leste is not merely to document its history or preserve culture symbolically, but to transform cultural vision into national policy strategy. This entails:

1) Reforming public policy to recognize customary structures as pillars of social development;
2) Modernizing the national education system by integrating curricula based on culture and local history;
3) Empowering the economies of customary communities as part of a sustainable development strategy;
4) Advancing international cultural diplomacy, positioning *liurai* as cultural ambassadors of the nation.

Integrating *liurai* into the framework of the modern state must be accompanied by principles of transparency, participation, accountability, and respect for human rights and the rule of law.

4. Toward a Free, Just, and Culturally Sovereign Timor-Leste

Timor-Leste will not be a complete, dignified, and free nation without systematically reconstructing its cultural foundations. The presence and contributions of the *liurai* are an irreplaceable part of this foundation—beyond what political or economic systems alone can offer. As Almeida (2025) aptly states:

"*The path toward a culturally sovereign state is a long one, requiring wise policy, visionary leadership, and a people conscious of their identity.*"

With historical awareness, strategic vision, and collective commitment, Timor-Leste has the potential to become a global example of how a small nation can build sovereignty and sustainability through its own cultural roots. Only through a process of historical reconciliation, identity restoration, and recognition of local value systems can the aspiration to become a free, just, and culturally rooted nation truly be realized.

GLOSSARY

Term	Category	Definition	Function in the Book
Liurai	Local Institution	A traditional ruler or sovereign of a customary territory (reinu) in Timor-Leste. The term encompasses political, spiritual, and genealogical legitimacy rooted in customary law.	Central figure in customary governance and national reconciliation; subject of reconstruction in post-independence discourse.
Uma Lulik	Sacred Architecture	Ancestral sacred house functioning as a spiritual and social center for a clan. It contains heirlooms and embodies metaphysical connections between the living, ancestors, and land.	Symbol of clan identity and ritual authority; featured in discussions on cultural preservation and education.
Lulik	Cosmological Concept	A metaphysical force denoting the sacred and forbidden, central to moral, legal, and ecological order in Timorese cosmology.	Framework for interpreting ritual practices and ethical norms; invoked in conflict resolution and environmental balance.
Reinu (Kingdom)	Customary Territory	Traditional political entity governed by a liurai, often linked by ritual confederacies and kinship alliances.	Basis for analysis of precolonial statehood and political fragmentation under colonization.
Tara Bandu	Customary Law	A ritual and juridical system of sacred prohibitions regulating land use, social behavior, and environmental stewardship.	Integrated into peacebuilding and restorative justice systems; discussed as model for hybrid governance.

Term	Category	Definition	Function in the Book
Nahe Biti Boot	Reconciliation Mechanism	A customary ritual of laying down a large mat as a symbolic space for collective dialogue, reconciliation, and conflict resolution.	Emphasized in post-conflict restoration and transitional justice narratives.
Matebian	Spiritual Principle	The ancestral spirit realm; literally "the dead," but conceptually denoting divine authority and cosmological justice.	Source of legitimacy for liurai; invoked to justify ritual power and ancestral laws.
Dato / Nai Lulik	Religious Specialist	The spiritual guardian responsible for maintaining communication with the ancestors and safeguarding sacred knowledge.	Advisor to the liurai; guardian of lulik cosmology in community governance.
Katuas Lia	Ritual Officer	Elder and spokesperson for customary law and oral history, charged with enforcement of traditions and ceremonial speech.	Supports cultural transmission and legal functions of customary governance.
Moro	Military Leader	Customary war chief or protector of territory and community in traditional political systems.	Highlights dual spiritual-military dimension of precolonial governance.
Aifoho	Diplomatic Envoy	Customary envoy facilitating inter-clan alliances, inter-reinu diplomacy, and oral negotiations.	Functions as a mediator across tribal boundaries in premodern diplomacy.
Sala ho Tulun	Restorative Justice	A customary practice meaning "error with restitution," focused on conflict healing through dialogue,	Emphasized in peace and justice paradigms rooted in Timorese epistemology.

Term	Category	Definition	Function in the Book
		apology, and compensation.	
Juramento	Sacred Oath	An oath-taking ritual invoking supernatural consequences to ensure truth and loyalty in testimony or agreement.	Referenced in cultural diplomacy and community-based justice systems.
Reino	Colonial Designation	Term used by Portuguese authorities to refer to indigenous kingdoms, formalized under colonial administrative systems.	Framework for analyzing colonial transformation of local governance structures.
Hybrid Governance	Political Model	A system combining customary authority and state institutions to deliver governance services in culturally pluralistic contexts.	Key theoretical model used to propose strategic integration of liurai into national governance.
Customary Diplomacy	International Relations	Diplomatic strategies grounded in indigenous knowledge, rituals, and values aimed at building cultural bridges and asserting soft power.	Emphasized in Timor-Leste's positioning within CPLP and ASEAN through liurai representation.
Customary Territory	Legal Concept	Land and spiritual domain governed by customary rules and inherited through ancestral lineage.	Central to debates on legal recognition, land reform, and environmental protection.
Customary Constitution	Normative Framework	An unwritten system of governance based on kinship, rituals, spiritual authority, and collective decision-making.	Used as a foundational model for constitutional pluralism and participatory governance.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, F. (2025). Transforming Culture into State Strategy: A Vision for Timor-Leste. Dili: Instituto Nacional de Cultura.
- Amnesty International. (1999). East Timor: Violence continues. London: Amnesty International Publications.
- Anderson, A. (2017). Seafaring in the Prehistoric Pacific Islands: A View from the Archaeology. Cambridge University Press.
- Anholt, S. (2007). Competitive Identity: The New Brand Management for Nations, Cities and Regions. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Audley-Charles, M. G. (1986). Timor: A collision zone on the Australian margin. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 19(1), 91–102.
- Bellwood, P. (2005). First Farmers: The Origins of Agricultural Societies. Blackwell Publishing.
- Bellwood, P. (2007). Prehistory of the Indo-Malaysian Archipelago (3rd ed.). ANU E Press.
- Bellwood, P. (2007). Prehistory of the Indo-Malaysian Archipelago. ANU E Press.
- Belo, C. F. X. (1997). Testimony of suffering and hope: The Church and human rights in East Timor. Pastoral Press.
- Blaser, M. (2013). Ontology and indigeneity: on the political ontology of heterogeneous assemblages. Cultural Geographies, 20(1), 55–74.
- Boege, V., Brown, A., Clements, K., & Nolan, A. (2008). On hybrid political orders and emerging states: State formation in the context of 'fragility'. Berghof Research Center for Constructive Conflict Management.
- Bovensiepen, J. (2015). The Land of Gold: Post-Conflict Recovery and Cultural Revival in Independent Timor-Leste. Cornell University Press.
- Boxer, C. R. (1947). The Portuguese seaborne empire, 1415–1825. Hutchinson.
- Boxer, C. R. (1947). The Topasses of Timor. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 79(3–4), 150–161.

- Boxer, C. R. (1965). The Portuguese Seaborne Empire 1415–1825. Hutchinson.
- Cabral, G. (2017). Culture and identity in Timor-Leste. Routledge.
- Cabral, N. (2003). The Politics of Language Policy in Timor-Leste: Competing Discourses of National Identity. Asian Social Science, 5(10), 35–42.
- CAVR. (2005). Chega!: The Report of the Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation in Timor-Leste.
- Charlton, T. R. (2000). Tectonics of the Indonesian region. Geological Research and Development Centre (GRDC).
- Charlton, T. R. (2002). The petroleum potential of the Banda Arc. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 20(8), 909–926.
- Chesterman, S. (2002). East Timor in Transition: From Conflict Prevention to State-Building. International Peace Academy.
- Christie, J. W. (1995). State Formation in Early Maritime Southeast Asia: A Consideration of the Theories and the Data. Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde, 151(2), 235–288.
- Comissão de Acolhimento, Verdade e Reconciliação de Timor-Leste (CAVR). (2005). Chega! Final Report of the Commission for Reception, Truth, and Reconciliation in East Timor. Dili, Timor-Leste.
- Constituição da República Democrática de Timor-Leste. (2002).
- Cotton, J. (2001). East Timor, Australia and Regional Order: Intervention and its Aftermath in Southeast Asia. London: Routledge.
- Cristalis, I. (2002). East Timor: A nation's bitter dawn. London: Zed Books.
- Cristalis, I., & Scott, C. (2005). Independent Women: The Story of Women's Activism in East Timor. London: Catholic Institute for International Relations.
- Cummins, D. (2017). Local Governance in Timor-Leste: Lessons in Postcolonial Statebuilding. Routledge.
- Davidson, B. (1994). The Portuguese Colonies in Southeast Asia. Macmillan.
- De Sousa Santos, B. (2002). Toward a New Legal Common Sense: Law, Globalization, and Emancipation. Butterworths LexisNexis.

- Dunn, J. (1983). Timor: A people betrayed. Sydney: Jacaranda Press.
- Durand, F. (2006). History of Timor-Leste. Silkworm Books.
- Fernandes, C. (2011). The Independence of East Timor: Multilateral Diplomacy and the UN. Sussex Academic Press.
- Fitzpatrick, D. (2002). Land Claims in East Timor. Asia Pacific Press.
- Fox, J. J. (1980). The Flow of Life: Essays on Eastern Indonesia. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Fox, J. J. (1980). The Flow of Life: Essays on Eastern Indonesia. Harvard University Press.
- Fox, J. J. (1980). The flow of the river: The meaning of the life of the rivers in the context of the Timor world. In P. R. P. M. D. (Ed.), The continuity of tradition: Essays in the anthropology of Southeast Asia. Macmillan.
- Fox, J. J. (1988). To Speak in Pairs: Essays on the Ritual Languages of Eastern Indonesia. Cambridge University Press.
- Fox, J. J. (1993). Comparative Perspectives on Austronesian Houses: An Introductory Essay. In J. J. Fox (Ed.), Inside Austronesian Houses (pp. 1–29). ANU Press.
- Fox, J. J. (1996). The Austronesians: Historical and Comparative Perspectives. ANU Press.
- Fox, J. J. (2003). Drawing from the past to prepare for the future: Responding to the challenges of governance in East Timor. In H. Hill & J. Saldanha (Eds.), East Timor: Development challenges for the world's newest nation (pp. 15–30). Asia Pacific Press.
- Fox, J. J. (2003). Out of the ashes: Destruction and reconstruction of East Timor. ANU E Press.
- Fox, J. J. (2003). Tracing the Path, Recounting the Past: Historical Perspectives on Timor. In J. J. Fox & D. Babo-Soares (Eds.), Out of the Ashes: Destruction and Reconstruction of East Timor (pp. 1–30). ANU Press.

- Grenfell, D. (2006). Post-Conflict Reconstruction and Rebuilding the State: Lessons from Timor-Leste. Routledge.
- Grenfell, D. (2009). Promoting a Culture of Peace: Building Social Cohesion through Cultural Expression in East Timor. The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology, 10(4), 291–307.
- Grenfell, D. (2009). Promoting peace: Virtues of peacebuilding strategies in East Timor. Contemporary Politics, 15(2), 135–152.
- Grenfell, L. (2012). Promoting the Rule of Law in Post-Conflict States. Cambridge University Press.
- Grenfell, L. (2012). Promoting the Rule of Law in Post-Conflict States: Critical Evaluations of Hybrid Political Orders. Cambridge University Press.
- Gunn, G. (1999). The History of Timor-Leste: A Brief Overview of its Social and Political Systems. Oxford University Press.
- Gunn, G. C. (1999). Timor Loro Sae: 500 Years. Livros do Oriente.
- Gusmão, K. R. X. (2002). To Resist is to Win: The Autobiography of Xanana Gusmão. Aurora Books.
- Hägerdal, H. (2012). Lords of the Land, Lords of the Sea: Conflict and Adaptation in Early Colonial Timor, 1600–1800. KITLV Press.
- Hägerdal, H. (2012). Timor and the Portuguese presence in the Indian Ocean: A review of the Portuguese colonization. Modern Asian Studies, 46(2), 237–275.
- Hall, R. (2002). Cenozoic geological and plate tectonic evolution of SE Asia and the SW Pacific: Computer-based reconstructions, model and animations. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 20(4), 353–431.
- Harris, R. A. (2011). Rifting and collision in the Banda Arc: The transition from subduction to arc-continent collision. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 355(1), 391–414.
- Hicks, D. (2004). Tetum ghosts and kin: Fertility and gender in East Timor. Waveland Press.

- Hohe, T. (2002). The Clash of Paradigms: International Administration and Local Political Legitimacy in East Timor. Contemporary Southeast Asia, 24(3), 569–589.
- Holm, J. D., & Molutsi, P. P. (1989). Development, democracy, and civil society in Botswana. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Hull, G. (2004). The Languages of Timor-Leste: A Partial Inventory. Universidade Nacional Timor Lorosa'e.
- Human Rights Watch. (2000). East Timor: Unfinished Business: Justice for East Timor. New York: Human Rights Watch.
- Jolliffe, J. (1978). East Timor: Nationalism and colonialism. University of Queensland Press.
- Kingsbury, D. (2009). East Timor: The Price of Liberty. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kohen, A. S. (1999). From the Place of the Dead: The Epic Struggles of Bishop Belo of East Timor. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Leach, M. (2017). Nation-Building and National Identity in Timor-Leste. Routledge.
- Lentz, C. (2006). Land and the politics of belonging in West Africa. Leiden: Brill.
- Logan, C. (2008). Traditional leaders in modern Africa: Can democracy and the chief coexist? Afrobarometer Working Paper No. 93.
- Logan, C. (2009). Selected chiefs, elected councillors and hybrid democrats: Popular perspectives on the co-existence of democracy and traditional authority in Africa. The Journal of Modern African Studies, 47(1), 101–128.
- Mark, S. (2010). A Greater Role for Cultural Diplomacy. Clingendael Diplomacy Papers No. 12.
- McWilliam, A. (2003). Environment, development, and change in Timor-Leste: A critique of Timorese ecological policies. Australian Journal of Environmental Studies, 21(4), 431–450.
- McWilliam, A. (2003). Paths of Origin, Gates of Life: A Study of Place and Precedence in East Timor. Brill.

- McWilliam, A. (2005). Houses of Resistance in East Timor: Structuring Sociality in the New Nation. Anthropological Forum, 15(1), 27–44.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). A Plural Society: Land, Power and Social Exchange in East Timor. ANU Press.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). Austronesians in the Timor Sea: Immigration, Trade and Cultural Influence. In Ancestors, Power and History in Madagascar and Timor (pp. 127–148). Brill.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). Customary governance in Timor-Leste. Asian Survey, 47(2), 276–296.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). Harvester and Hierarchies: Ritual Agriculture and the Re-invention of Kingship in Timor. In Ancestors, Power and History in Madagascar and Timor (pp. 41–63). Brill.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). Looking for the Liurai: Oral Histories of Timorese Indigenous Authority Structures. Ethnohistory, 54(3), 571–603.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). Ritual Alliance and Mutual Recognition: Negotiating Kinship and Status in Timor-Leste. Australian Journal of Anthropology, 18(2), 127–144.
- McWilliam, A. (2007). The history of the Timor islands and their role in regional development. Journal of Southeast Asian History, 35(3), 265–283.
- McWilliam, A., & Traube, E. G. (2011). Land and life in Timor-Leste: Ethnographic essays. Canberra: ANU Press.
- Monk, K. A., de Fretes, Y., & Reksodiharjo-Lilley, G. (1997). The Ecology of Nusa Tenggara and Maluku. Oxford University Press.
- Nevins, J. (2005). A Not-So-Distant Horror: Mass Violence in East Timor. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Niner, S. (2009). Liurai and the Legacy of Power in Timor-Leste: Traditions and Transitions. Anthropological Forum, 19(1), 55–72.
- Niner, S. (2009). Traditional knowledge and environmental sustainability in Timor-Leste: The role of customary law. Environmental Conservation, 36(2), 155–165.

- Nye, J. S. (2004). Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics. Public Affairs.
- O'Connor, S. (2003). Nine new Late Pleistocene radiocarbon dates from Timor-Leste. Archaeology in Oceania, 38(3), 122–129.
- O'Connor, S. (2007). New Evidence from East Timor Contributes to Our Understanding of Earliest Modern Human Colonisation East of the Sunda Shelf. Antiquity, 81(313), 523–535.
- O'Connor, S., & Veth, P. (2000). Early Holocene Shell Fish Hooks from Lene Hara Cave, East Timor. Antiquity, 74(286), 279–285.
- O'Connor, S., Ono, R., & Clarkson, C. (2011). Pelagic Fishing at 42,000 Years Before the Present and the Maritime Skills of Modern Humans. Science, 334(6059), 1117–1121.
- Quinn, M. (2003). Language and education in East Timor: Past, present, and future. Current Issues in Language Planning, 4(1), 69–97.
- RDTL Constitution. (2002). Constituição da República Democrática de Timor-Leste. Dili: Government of Timor-Leste.
- Robinson, G. (2003). East Timor 1999: Crimes Against Humanity. Jakarta: ELSAM.
- Robinson, G. (2003). If You Leave Us Here, We Will Die: How Genocide Was Stopped in East Timor. Princeton University Press.
- Santos, B. de S. (2006). The Rise of the Global Left: The World Social Forum and Beyond. Zed Books.
- Schapper, A. (2021). The Papuan Languages of Timor, Alor, and Pantar. In B. Palmer (Ed.), The Languages and Linguistics of the New Guinea Area (pp. 431–472). De Gruyter Mouton.
- Shepherd, C. J. (2014). Development and Environmental Politics Unmasked: Authority, Participation and Equity in East Timor. Routledge.
- Shoesmith, D. (2003). Timor-Leste: Divided Leadership in a Semi-Presidential System. Asian Survey, 43(2), 231–252.

- Sieder, R. (2011). Legal pluralism and indigenous rights in Latin America: The challenges of institutionalizing indigenous justice systems. Journal of Latin American Studies, 43(3), 481–509.
- Simpson, B. (2004). Indonesia's 1969 Takeover of West Papua: "The United Nations' Temporary Executive Authority" (UNTEA) and the Disintegration of the New Guinea Council. Indonesia, (78), 67–83.
- Simpson, B. (2004). Indonesia's 1975 Invasion of East Timor: "Green Light" and "Double Deal"?. Journal of Contemporary Asia, 34(1), 59–74.
- Smith, A. D. (2009). Ethno-symbolism and Nationalism: A Cultural Approach. Routledge.
- Sousa, M. (2015). Customary land tenure and sustainable development in Timor-Leste. The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology, 16(5), 485–502.
- Spriggs, M. (2011). Archaeology and the Austronesian Expansion: Where are we now? Antiquity, 85(328), 510–528.
- Taylor, J. G. (1991). Indonesia’s forgotten war: The hidden history of East Timor. London: Zed Books.
- Taylor, J. G. (1999). East Timor: The Price of Freedom. London: Zed Books.
- Therik, G. (2004). Wehali: The Female Land—Tradition and Change in the Domain of Wehali, South West Timor. Canberra: ANU Press.
- Therik, S. (2004). Uma Lulik and the power of sacred spaces: Timorese cosmology and cultural identity. Australian Journal of Anthropology, 15(1), 45–62.
- Therik, T. (2004). Wehali: The Female Land: Traditions of a Timorese Ritual Centre. Pandanus Books.
- Tilman, R. (2018). Community-Based Economic Development and Traditional Leadership in Timor-Leste. Journal of Southeast Asian Studies, 49(1), 89–107.
- Tilman, R. (2018). Community-based economic development and traditional leadership in Timor-Leste. Journal of Southeast Asian Studies, 49(1), 89–107.
- Traube, E. (1986). Cosmology, authority, and ritual in Timor. Journal of Anthropological Research, 42(3), 267–279.

- Traube, E. (1986). Political Systems and Cultural Narratives in Timor-Leste. Cambridge University Press.
- Traube, E. G. (1986). Cosmology and social life: Ritual exchange among the Mambai of East Timor. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Traube, E. G. (2007). Daughter of the Mountain: The Cultural Construction of the Nation in East Timor. Anthropological Quarterly, 80(2), 383–416.
- Traube, E. G. (2007). Daughters of the Crocodile: Women, Culture, and Change in East Timor. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Traube, E. G. (2007). Unpaid Wages: Local Narratives and the Imagination of the Nation. The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology, 8(1), 9–25.
- Trindade, J., & Castro, B. (2007). Rethinking Timorese Identity as a Peacebuilding Strategy: The Lorosa'e–Loromonu Conflict from a Traditional Perspective. European University Center for Peace Studies.
- UNESCO. (2010). Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage.
- United Nations General Assembly. (1975). Resolution 384 (XXX) and 389 (XXXV) on the Question of East Timor. New York, NY: United Nations.
- United Nations. (1999). Report of the Secretary-General on the Question of East Timor (S/1999/595).
- United Nations. (1999). Security Council Resolution 1264 (1999) and 1272 (1999). New York: United Nations.
- United Nations. (2000). Report of the International Commission of Inquiry on East Timor. New York: United Nations.
- United Nations. (2002). Report of the Secretary-General on the United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor (UNTAET).
- United Nations. (2002). Speech by H.E. Kay Rala Xanana Gusmão at the 57th Session of the UN General Assembly.
- Van Trease, H. (1995). Melanesian Politics: Stael Blong Vanuatu. Christchurch: Macmillan Brown Centre for Pacific Studies.

- Yashar, D. J. (2005). Contesting Citizenship in Latin America: The Rise of Indigenous Movements and the Postliberal Challenge. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.